

## INDEX TO SCORECARDS IN PART 1

<b>Date</b>	<b>Match</b>	<b>Venue</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Page</b>
1815	Walsingham, Fakenham & Hempton v Litcham, Dunham & Brisley	Hempton Green	3	6
1818	Woking v Shere	Woking	3	7
c 1838	Kegworth v Diseworth	Kegworth	3	8
c 1859	Chalcots v Bow	London	1	9
1861	Hayes v Bromley	Hayes Common	1N	10
1867	Thornton Heath v Broad Green (Croydon)	Thornton Heath	1	11
1872	Thornbury v Bedminster Rangers	Alveston	1	12
1872/73	GH Bailey's XI v WA Collins' XI	Launceston, Australia	1N	13
1873	Carshalton House School v North Cheam School	Carshalton	1N	14
1874	Bishops Lydeard v Nether Stowey	Bishops Lydeard	1N	15
1875	James France's v Messrs Hirst's	Earls Heaton	1	16
1875/76	Marlborough v Undaunted	Sydney, Australia	1	17
1876/77	Ryde v Parramatta Galatea	Sydney, Australia	1N	18
1877	Houghton v Clifton	Manchester	1N	19
1878	Civil Service Supply Assocn v Simpkin, Marshall & Co	Holloway	1	20
1878	Christowe v Garth Garmon	Cheltenham	1	21
1878	Kintore v Kemnay	Kintore	5	22
1878/79	Balmoral v Union	Williamstown, Aus	1N	23
1880	Rev S Cornish's School v Unknown	Clevedon	4	24
1881	Thornbury v Clifton Victoria	Alveston	1	25
1881	Meriden v Nether Whitacre	Meriden	6	26
1881/82	Coy's Diggings v Redcastle	Redcastle, Australia	1	27
1881	Collarenebri Smokers v Collarenebri Non-smokers	Collarenebri, Aus	1N	28
1882	Ashcombe Park v Tunstall	Cheddleton	5	29
1882	Essendon [Juniors] v Hatfield [Juniors]	Essendon	3	30
1882	Toronto Cricket Club v Trinity College School Ex & Present	Toronto, Canada	6	31
1882	Exmouth v Incogniti	Exmouth	1	32
1882	The Priory House v Joseph Gould's House	Repton	3	33
1882/83	Unknown v Unknown	Sydney, Australia	5	34
1883	Stockbridge v Abbots Ann	Stockbridge	1	35
1883	AE Barton's XI v Portsmouth Grammar School	Portsmouth	3	36
1883/84	East Melbourne v Fitzroy	Melbourne, Australia	1	37
1884	Bexley v Emeriti	Bexley	1	38
1884	Radcliffe Bridge Wesleyans v Bingley	not known	3	39
1884	Belgrave v Shamrock	Barnes	1	40
1884	Royal Engineers v Royal Marines	Portsmouth	1	41
1884/85	Wentworth XV v Adelaide Federal XI	Wentworth, Australia	1	42
1885	Egremont v Birkenhead Victoria	Egremont	1N	43
1885	St Benedict's Second XI v Liverpool Pupil-Teachers	Liverpool	3	44
1885	Eastbourne v Bexley	Eastbourne	1	45
1885	Alliance v Granville	Drayton Park	3	46
1885	East Gloucestershire v Free Foresters	Cheltenham	1	47
1885	Beckenham v Bexley	Beckenham	1	48
1885/86	Carisbrook v Excelsior	Dunedin, NZ	3	49
1886	Freemantle v All Saints	Southampton	1	50
1886	Buckhurst Hill Juniors v Loughton Juniors	Buckhurst Hill	1	51
1886	Linby v Woodborough	Linby	3	52

1886/87	Broughtonsworth School v Binalong School	(NSW, Australia)	1N	53
1887	JH Brain's XII v KJ Key's XII	Oxford	1	54
1887	AN Hornby's XI v Church Minshull	Nantwich	1	55
1887	Crewkerne v Axminster	Crewkerne	1	56
1887	Taunton v Bridgwater	not known	5	57
1887/88	Verona v Walter Akhurst & Co	Sydney, Australia	1N	58
1887/88	Erskine v Deaf Mutes	Melbourne, Australia	3	59
1887/88	Unknown v Unknown	Burruga, Australia	5	60
1888	Aldershot Institute v Army College	Aldershot	4	61
1888	United Services v South Hampshire Rovers	Portsmouth	1N	62
1888	School House v Laxton House	Oundle	1	63
1889	Ettrick v Cheam Common	Sutton	1N	64
1889	South Woodford v Amethyst	Woodford	1N	65
1889	Iford v Kingston-by-Sea	Iford	1N	66
1889	White Street AC v Huish Grammar School	North Curry	1N	67
1889	Banbury Rovers v Rev R Edwards' XI	Banbury	1N	68
1889	Pioneer v Standing's	Accrington	1N	69
1890	Harrow Constitutional v St Pancras United	Harrow	1	70
1890	St Augustine's College v Kent College	Ramsgate	1N	71
1890	Yorkshire Gentlemen v Heworth Revellers	York	1N	72
1890/91	Albert v Stanley	Breakfast Creek, Aus	1N	73
1890/91	Sydney v Warwick	Sydney, Australia	1	74
1891	West Wrattling Park v Fitzwilliam Hostel	West Wrattling	6	75
1891	Parson Drove v Gedney Hill	Murrow	3	77
1891	Rushey Green v Royal Artillery NCOs	Catford	2	78
1892	Streatham v MCC	Streatham	1	79
1892	Lincoln Lindum v Old Reptonians	Lincoln	1	80
1892	AC Bell's XII v Melksham Choir	Seend Cleeve	3	81
1892	Devonshire Park v GW Morrison's XI	Eastbourne	1	82
1892	King Edward's GS, Saffron Walden v Newport GS	[Suffolk]	5	83
1892/93	Norwood v Adelaide	Adelaide, Australia	1	84
1893	Manchester Club & Ground v N and E Ridings of Yorkshire	Castleford	1	85
1893	Gentlemen of North Wales v MCC	Llandudno	1	86
1893	Bourton Vale v MCC	Bourton-on-the-Water	1	87
1893	Menangle v Picton	(NSW, Australia)	4	88
1893/94	Prospect Reserve v Darriman	Prospect Reserve, Aus	1N	89
1894	CT Hoare's XIII v Free Foresters	Chesterton	2	90
c 1894	Burrill Creek v Krumbach	? Burrill Creek, Aus	4	91
1895	1st Royal Munster Fusiliers v Army Service Corps	The Curragh, Ire	1	92
1895	Mitcham Second XI v Lloyd's Register	Mitcham	4	93
1895	London Asylum v Forest Club	London, Canada	6	94
c 1895	Wanderers XI v Panther	Watford	4	95
1895/96	Howard, Farrar & Co v Stray Klips	Johannesburg, SA	3	96
1895/96	Burwood v Paddington	Sydney, Australia	1	97
1895/96	Unknown v Unknown	Eshowe, South Africa	4	98
1895/96	Melbourne CC III v East Melbourne III	Melbourne, Australia	4	99
1896	Eaton Park v Sefton Park Extra XII	? Liverpool	1	100
1896	Andover v Basingstoke	Andover	1	101
1897	Leamington Collegiate School v Stratford Commercial Sch	Leamington	1	102
1897/98	Central Cumberland v Hawkesbury District	Sydney, Australia	1	103
1897/98	Middle Brighton v Cheltenham	Middle Brighton, Aus	1	104
1898	A Conan Doyle's XI v JV Macmillan's XI	Hindhead	6	105

1898/99	West Adelaide v Sturt	Adelaide, Australia	1	106
1898/99	Napier United A v Napier United B	Hastings, NZ	1	107
1898/99	Railway CC First XI v Next XV	Petersburg, Australia	4	108
1899	Eastbourne College v Bluemantles	Eastbourne	1	109
1899	Appleby Grammar School v Appleby CC	Appleby	1	110
1899	Gentlemen of Sussex v Old Cliftonians	Hove	1	111
1899/00	North v South	Sydney, Australia	1	112
1899/00	Melbourne CC Second XI v Fitzroy Second XI	Melbourne, Australia	1	113
1899/00	W McGowan's XII v Badesi Ram's XII	Patiala, India	1	114
1900	Arkley v West Herts	Arkley	1	115
1900	Eastbourne v Trinity Wanderers	Eastbourne	1	116
1900/01	Derwent v Wellington	Hobart, Australia	1	117
1900/01	Myrtleford Association v Omeo	Myrtleford, Australia	4	118
1901	Royal Military College v Silwood Park	Sandhurst	1	119
1901	AC Benson's House v HV Macnaghten's House	Eton	1	120
1901	Broadstairs & St Peter's v Visitors	Broadstairs	3	121
1901	Residents v Visitors	Eastbourne	3	122
1901	Olton v Yardley	Solihull	1	123
1901/02	E Company, 2nd Hants Regt v A Company, 2nd Hants Regt	Barberton, SA	1	124
1901/02	Sturt v North Adelaide	Adelaide, Australia	1	125
c 1901/02	Miss Macnamara's Side v Miss Tindall's Side	Camberwell, Australia	3	126
1902	Langport v Glastonbury	Langport	6	127
1902	Cowley House School v Oakfield School	High Barnet	1	128
1902	Ampleforth College v Gilling	Ampleforth	1	129
1902	Rendcomb v Chedworth	Rendcomb	1	130
1902	Castle v Junior Hawks	Clapham Common	4	131
1902	Frindsbury v Rainham	Frindsbury	5	132
1902	Cantonment School Ferozpur v Bhagwandas High School	not known, India	4	133
1902/03	Carpenterian Reformatory v Waratah (Sydney)	Eastwood, Australia	3	134
1902/03	Redfern v Paddington	Sydney, Australia	1	135
1903	St Lawrence v St Peter's, Thanet	Broadstairs	1	136
1903/04	Reynards Rd Methodist Ch v St Augustine's Ch, Moreland	Coburg, Australia	1	137
1904	Duke of Cornwall's Light Infantry Depot v St Breward	Lanhydrock	1N	138
1904	Western College A v Ashville College A	Harrogate	1N	139
1904	Enfield v MCC	Enfield	1	140
1904	Laxton House v Sidney House	Oundle	1	141
1904	Tewkesbury GS v Eldersfield School	Tewkesbury	1	142
1904	Honor Oak II v Brunswick	Peckham Rye	1	143
1904	Folkestone v Honor Oak	Folkestone	1	144
1904	Leinster v County Kildare	Dublin, Ireland	1	145
1904	London Schools Board v Southend School Board	not known	5	146
1904/05	Valley v Oxley	Brisbane, Australia	1	147
1904/05	AMP Society v Queensland Trustees	Brisbane, Australia	2	148
1904/05	Richmond Second XI v Melbourne University Second XI	Melbourne, Australia	1	149
1904/05	Clarendon v McLaren Vale	Adelaide, Australia	2	150
1904/05	Ramblers v Town	Bloemfontein, SA	4	151
1905	Phoenix v Curragh Brigade	Dublin, Ireland	1	152
1905	Matlock v Derby St Peter's	Matlock	1N	153
1905	Cotton House v Littlefield	Marlborough	1	154
1905	King's Regiment v Royal Field Artillery	Aldershot	1	155
1905	Stoke House v Stoke Place	Stoke Poges	1	156
1905/06	Redfern v Paterson, Laing & Bruce	Sydney, Australia	1	157

1905/06	Parnell v Grafton	Auckland, Australia	1	158
1906	Manor House School II v Camberwell GS II	Clapham	1	159
1906	Luton Town v South Kensington	Luton	1	160
1906	Carmarthenshire v An Essex County XI	Llanelly	1	161
1906	Streatham v HDG Leveson Gower's XI	Streatham	1	162
1906	St Lawrence v MCC	Canterbury	2	163
1906	Dover County School v Sandwich Grammar School	not known	4	164
1906/07	St John's School v St David's School	Auckland, NZ	1N	165
1906/07	George Street School v Faraday Street School	Melbourne, Australia	1N	166
1906/07	East Brunswick v South Melbourne Footballers	Melbourne, Australia	1	167
1906/07	Jeparit v Tullyvea	Jeparit, Australia	3	168
Appendix	Meriden v Nether Whitacre 1881 - Notes			a
	Toronto v Trinity College School 1882 - Notes			c

As explained in the Introduction, the headers to the scorecards are colour-coded to indicate how comprehensive the scorecard currently is, and how well the feat in question has, or has not, been authenticated from the many sources investigated. This colour-coding is echoed in a number from 1 to 6 placed in the top right-hand corner of each scorecard. The coding used in the third edition differs to some extent from that used in previous editions; the categories are now defined as follows:

**1 (Green)** Scorecards of matches in which the details and authenticity of the 'major feat' in question have been corroborated from the sources named, and scorecard details confirming the feat have been traced.

Instances of pre-1983 feats that appear well-sourced but that were not included in Ernest Gross's own lists are given the number '1N'. These feats may have been omitted by Gross because they never came to his attention, or because he knew about them but had some reason for doubting their authenticity. At present there is no clear evidence on which to base any such doubt – beyond the fact that they were not listed by Gross.

**2 (Yellow)** As above, except that the exact details of the feat are not confirmed in the sources named, even though the scorecard suggests that it is very likely. (Usually this applies in the case of large partnerships, where the scorecard shows that a substantial number of runs were added for a particular wicket, but where the precise number of runs in the partnership is not stated.)

**3 (Pale tan)** As Categories 1 or 2 above, but with few if any scorecard details available.

**4 (Orange)** Instances in which no grounds have been found to doubt the authenticity of the feat as recorded in the sources named, but no further or corroborating details have to date been traced.

**5 (Red)** Instances that cannot be corroborated despite attempts to do so in a range of sources, and for which the evidence available – or lack of it – gives rise to strong suspicions that the feat as recorded by Gross or others may not have occurred at all.

**6 (Blue)** Matches already in Cricket Archive, but where research for this project has identified significant additional or corrected details of the scorecard as given there.

The dividing-lines between these classes are not always clear-cut. In particular, some matches currently in Category 4 appear very close to meriting inclusion in Category 5; but in such cases the matches have, for now at least, been given the benefit of the doubt and left in Category 4.







Kegworth v Diseworth 1838 (?)		3
Venue	Kegworth, Leicestershire	Date 'about the 1838 season'
Result	Diseworth won by 1 run	
Toss		
Umpires		

Diseworth innings

---

Total	(all out)	1
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/1	
	O M R W	

Kegworth innings

---

Extras		0
Total	(all out)	0
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0	
	O M R W	

Gross's list of 'Small innings totals' records as follows: "1 [all out] Kegworth, v Diseworth (0), Kegworth, 1838 (Kegworth thus won by one run)". Yet Diseworth's apparent score of 0 all out is not included in the same list.

The situation is further confused by references to the match in *Cricket* 1900 (page 243) and *The Cricketer* in 1941 (21 June, page 171), which say that Diseworth were all out for 1 and Kegworth made 0. This order of events has been followed here, but without particular confidence.

No other details have been traced. The match is not recorded in S&B, nor in any newspaper currently (March 2020) in the BNA. (The item in *Cricket* says that the score[card] of this "unique" match is lost.)

Kegworth and Diseworth are/were two villages in NW Leicestershire, close to the borders with Nottinghamshire and Derbyshire (and nowadays near Junction 24 of the M1).

Ashley-Cooper (in 'Cricket Curiosities' in the *Eastern Daily Press* 6 June 1902 is ambiguous as to whether the single run was scored for Diseworth or Kegworth, though his reference to the Diseworth hero being "the vicar's groom" suggests Diseworth (Kegworth had a rector not a vicar). The closest contemporary source (*Leicestershire Mercury* 16 August 1851) says that Kegworth scored the only run of the match. Other sources say that Diseworth batted first, and their only run was an Extra. One thing that all seem agreed on is that the only run of the game was scored for the team that batted first.





Hayes v Bromley 1861			1N
Venue	Hayes Common, Kent	Date	20 July 1861
Result	Bromley won by 8 wickets		
Toss			
Umpires			

Hayes	First innings		Second innings	
WT Traill	c Blundell b Sherman	0	c Blundell b Sherman	22
Butcher	run out	0	b Sherman	0
R Crittall	b Blundell	0	run out	19
Russell	b Blundell	0	b Blundell	25
Saunter	b Sherman	0	c Solbe b Sherman	1
Thompson	b Blundell	0	c and b Sherman	2
Ledger	b Blundell	0	c Blundell b Sherman	3
Bish	not out	0	b Blundell	4
Head	b Sherman	0	c Blundell b Sherman	0
D Crittall	absent	0	b Sherman	0
Tellbury	absent	0	c Blundell b Sherman	1
Extras	b1	1	b10, w2	12
Total	(all out)	1	(all out)	89
Fall	1-7 not known, 8/1		1-9 not known, 10/89	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Sherman			0	3				8
Blundell			0	4				2

Bromley	First innings		Second innings	
Stubberfield	lbw b Russell	6	run out	4
Ellis	b Russell	3	not out	0
Sherman	c Ledger b Russell	0	not out	27
Solbe	lbw b Russell	13		
Blundell	b Traill	2		
Payne	b Traill	4		
Harwig	b Traill	8		
Carpenter	c and b Russell	1		
Mouatt	b Russell	0		
Titchmarsh	b Russell	5		
Doe	not out	0	c Crittall b Traill	0
Extras	b6, lb2	8	b7, lb2, w1	10
Total	(all out)	50	(for 2 wickets)	41
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/50		1/? , 2/?	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Russell				7				
Traill				3				1

Source: *Sporting Life* 3 August 1861

The scorecard for Hayes (2) shows all 11 batsmen being dismissed.

Thornton Heath v Broad Green (Croydon) 1867				1
Venue	Thornton Heath, Surrey	Date	5 August 1867	
Result	Broad Green (Croydon) won by an innings and 18 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Carroll, not known			

Thornton Heath	First innings		Second innings	
J Tidd	b A Dartnell	0	b A Dartnell	2
B Kemp	b A Dartnell	0	b Benwell	6
Weston	b A Dartnell	0	c Harding b A Dartnell	0
Levins	c E Randall b A Dartnell	0	b Benwell	6
Goddard	c W Dartnell b A Dartnell	0	b A Dartnell	13
Mills	c Harding b A Dartnell	0	c Harding b T Dartnell	3
Lawrence	b A Dartnell	0	c Todd b Benwell	13
Simpkins	b A Dartnell	0	b A Dartnell	0
Thomas	b A Dartnell	0	b Benwell	0
Bishop	not out	0	run out	3
'A Muff'	b A Dartnell	0	not out	1
Extras		0		2
Total	(all out)	0	(all out)	49
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0		1 to 9 not known, 10/49	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
A Dartnell			0	10				4
Benwell								4
T Dartnell								1

Broad Green (Croydon)	First innings	
W Todd	b Levins	0
H Randall	b Levins	0
G Steadman	b Levins	0
W Dartnell	b Goddard	19
A Dartnell	c Mills b Levins	8
J Fowles	not out	4
T Dartnell	b Levins	10
J Benwell	b Goddard	12
+ E Randall	b Goddard	0
T Todd	b Goddard	2
C Harding	lbw b Levins	2
Extras		4
Total	(all out)	67
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/?, 5/?, 6/?, 7/?, 8/?, 9/?, 10/67	

  

	O	M	R	W
Levins				6
Goddard				4

Source: *Bell's Life* 10 August 1867; *S&B* vol 10 page 230. Also given by Gross in vol 4 no. 3 of the *Journal of The Cricket Society*. The match is also noted in the *Sporting Gazette* of 17 August 1867.

Albert Dartnell's own memories of the match were reported in the *Surrey Evening Echo* and reprinted in *Cricket* 1909 page 242. He bowled fast underarm leg-breaks. T (Thomas) Dartnell was his father, and in all probability W Dartnell was Albert's older brother William. (Family details from ancestry.co.uk)

Some sources give the dismissal of Goddard in Thornton Heath (1) as 'c W Randall b [A] Dartnell', but this is a clear error. W Dartnell, as given in *Bell's Life* and *S&B*, is preferred here.

<b>Thornbury v Bedminster Rangers 1872</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	The Ship Field, Alveston, Gloucestershire	Date	21 May 1872	
Result	Thornbury won by an innings and 246 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Thornbury	First innings	
EM Grace	run out	211
W Smith	b Cox	32
T Hudson	retired	3
E Hatcher	b Mallard	10
A Pocock	c Cox b J Ellis	4
F Gayner	b J Ellis	0
WO Chambers	c and b J Ellis	0
H Harwood	st Ellis b Mallard	0
A Collings	b Cox	2
JN Vogan	b Cox	3
F Sturge	not out	0
Extras	b11, lb5, w10	26
Total	(all out)	291
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/291	

	O	M	R	W
Cox				3
Mallard				2
J Ellis				3
-				

Bedminster Rangers	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
EH Cook	b Gayner	2	b Grace	0
J Spurrier	b Grace	6	hit wicket b Grace	0
JC Mallard	b Grace	5	b Grace	0
J Ellis	b Grace	0	c and b Grace	6
H Greenway	b Grace	0	b Grace	0
J Sims	b Gayner	6	b Grace	11
A Cox	b Grace	0	c Hatcher b Grace	2
F Young	b Grace	2	b Gayner	0
W Ellis	lbw b Grace	1	b Gayner	1
H Blackmore	b Grace	0	not out	0
WH Partridge	not out	1	b Gayner	0
Extras		0	b2	2
Total	(all out)	23	(all out)	22
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/23		1-9 not known, 10/22	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Gayner				2				3
Grace				8				7

Included in Robert Brooke's list in 'Milestones' in *The Cricketer* March 1996 page 21 of those scoring a century and taking 14 or more wickets in a match.

Scorecard details from *Bell's Life in London* 1 June 1872 and *Bristol Times & Mirror* 24 May 1872.

EM Grace hit 16 fours and six sixes in his innings of 211, and then took 15 wickets in Bedminster Rangers' two innings.

Four days later, EM Grace played for Thornbury v Sneyd Park at Sneyd Park, and scored 158 of his side's total of 273, and took nine wickets + five wickets for a total of 23 runs as his opponents were dismissed for 21 and 28: 'In fact his score was equal to more than 200 as all of his straight drives counted only 2 when they were worth 6, and 'out of the ground' was only 4 runs' (*Bristol Times*).

GH Bailey's XI v WA Collins' XI 1872/73			1N	
Venue	Launceston, Tasmania	Date	18 January, 1 February 1873	
Result	Match tied			
Toss				
Umpires	J Long & (unknown)			

GH Bailey's XI	First innings		Second innings	
P Boland	b Atkinson	18	run out	11
WT Glynn	b Cox	20	b Martin	9
T Daly	c and b Cox	0	c Maddox b Atkinson	19
*GH Bailey	st Cox b Collins	40	c Still b Atkinson	41
R West	c and b Cox	4	b Still	3
JA Ferguson	st Cox b Collins	18	not out	26
RJ Mills	b Atkinson	2	b Atkinson	1
H Edgell	c Maddox b Atkinson	0	b Atkinson	1
TW Thomas	st Cox b Collins	1	b Still	1
L Ditcham	not out	4	b Martin	0
R Green	absent	-	b Daly	0
Extras	(b2, lb1, w4)	7	(b1, lb1)	2
Total	(all out)	114	(all out)	114
Fall	1 to 8 not known, 9/114		1 to 9 not known, 10/114	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Atkinson				3				4
Cox				3				
Collins				3				
Martin								2
Still								2
Daly								1

WA Collins' XI	First innings		Second innings	
JM Martin	b Bailey	15	b Bailey	2
+ AT Cox	b Bailey	17	b Daly	1
*WA Collins	b Bailey	40	c Sherwin b Daly	39
J Maddox	b Bailey	0	b Daly	13
A Reid	b Daly	1	lbw b Rocher	7
RS Still	b Bailey	0	st Bailey b Daly	20
T Atkinson	b Boland	24	c Sherwin b Daly	5
R Cameron	b Bailey	1	b Daly	3
F Spicer	b Bailey	0	b Bailey	0
JG Sherwin	not out	0	b Bailey	0
J Edwards	absent	-	not out	5
Extras	(b8, lb6, w1, nb1)	16	(b13, lb5, w1)	19
Total	(all out)	114	(all out)	114
Fall	1 to 8 not known, 9/114		1 to 9 not known, 10/114	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Bailey				7				3
Daly				1				6
Boland				1				
Rocher								1

Source: *Launceston Examiner* 4 February 1873. Also noted in Ken Piesse's *Extraordinary book of Australian cricket* pages 111-112. Players' initials are as given by Piesse, except for Reid (Piesse gives him no initial); the *Examiner* gives no initial to Cox and Maddox, and only a first initial to Bailey, Ferguson, Martin and Still.

This was one of a series of matches played in this season among players of the Launceston CC, in each case captained by Messrs Bailey and Collins. Close of play, Day 1: Collins' XI (1) 114 all out

CW Rocher, who took wickets in Collins (2), was included in the Bailey's XI as named in the *Launceston Weekly Examiner* on the first day of the match, but does not appear in their subsequent batting line-up. (Several others named in the *Weekly Examiner* also did not appear in the eventual scorecard; but note that after listing 11 for one side and 12 for the other – in neither case including Messrs Bailey or Collins – the newspaper added "Any other members of the club who are in the ground will also be selected to play" – suggesting that the whole match was arranged very informally. It is also not known how seriously the match was taken, as some players appear to have performed for both sides at different points in the game. To what extent the result may have been contrived is also not known.

Carshalton House School v North Cheam School 1873		1N
Venue	Carshalton House School, Carshalton, Surrey	Date 19 July 1873
Result	Carshalton House School won by an innings and 179 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

Carshalton House School First innings

F Aylwin  
+ Chapman  
AM Lloyd

Extras				
Total	(all out)			215
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/215			
	O	M	R	W

North Cheam School First innings

A Potts	b Aylwin	0		
R Bryan	lbw b Aylwin	0		
O Cuffe	c Aylwin b AM Lloyd	0		
F Hubbard	run out	0		
P Hubbard	b Aylwin	0		
S Hawkins	b AM Lloyd	0		
W Richardson	st Chapman b AM Lloyd	0		
W Ritchie	b Aylwin	0		
F Potts	c and b Aylwin	0		
W Getty	c Chapman b AM Lloyd	0		
E Grimes	not out	0		
Extras		0		
Total	(all out)	0		
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0			
	O	M	R	W
Aylwin			0	5
AM Lloyd			0	4

Second innings

	(all out)			36
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/36			
	O	M	R	W

Score details from *Yorkshire Post* 4 October 1873, *Dewsbury Reporter* 26 July 1873, and from the 'Fifty Years Ago' column in the *Northern Argus* (Clare, South Australia) 26 April 1935. Full initials of Aylwin and Lloyd are taken from a report of another Carshalton House School match in the *Croydon Advertiser* of 12 July 1873.

Lloyd is referred to throughout the card in the *Yorkshire Post* as 'AM Lloyd', implying that another player of that name may also have been playing for Carshalton House.

The North Cheam first innings lasted 37 balls (9.1 overs). Their second innings included an individual score of 14 and at least five ducks; details of the batsmen concerned, and of the remaining five innings, are not given in any source.

Bishops Lydeard v Nether Stowey 1874		Date 24 August 1874		1N
Venue	Bishops Lydeard, Somerset	Date	24 August 1874	
Result	Bishops Lydeard won by an innings and 70 runs			
Toss	Not known			
Umpires				

Bishops Lydeard		First innings	
E Knollys	c - Routley b Bickham	13	
C Morrish	b Bickham	4	
L Springett	b Bickham	3	
CP Winter	b Bickham	0	
C Smith snr	b Wade	0	
B Hill	not out	33	
C Smith jnr	c and b Wade	3	
A Shawyer	b Crang	2	
J Clark	b Rich	14	
F Culverwell	b Bickham	4	
T Clark	b Hayman	6	
Extras	b21, lb1, w2	24	
Total	(all out)	105	
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/105		

	O	M	R	W
Bickham				5
Wade				2
Crang				1
Rich				1
Hayman				1

Nether Stowey		First innings		Second innings (following on)	
C Routley	b Knollys	2		not out	0
Rich	c Shawyer b Winter	0		b Knollys	0
Crang	b Knollys	4		b Winter	0
Wade	b Winter	1		c Shawyer b Winter	0
Bickham	b Winter	4		b Winter	0
Hayman	c Smith snr b Knollys	0		b Winter	0
W Routley	st Winter	1		b Winter	0
Perrett	b Knollys	4		b Winter	0
Kiddle	b Knollys	2		b Winter	0
Cogan	b Knollys	0		b Winter	0
Lock	not out	9		c Morrish b Knollys	0
Extras	b2, lb2, w2	6		lb2	2
Total	(all out)	33		(all out)	2
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/33			1 to 9 not known, 10/2	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Knollys				6	(2)	2.2	2	0	2
Winter				3	(1)	3	3	0	8

Source: *West Somerset Free Press* 5 September 1874. Also noted in article by Gordon Ross entitled 'Pantomimically inclined performers' in *The Cricketer Quarterly Facts and Figures* vol 2 no. 2 (autumn 1974), at page 19.

The above details are as given in the *West Somerset Free Press*, other than the following which are all taken from Ross: CP (rather than just C) Winter, and the bowling details in Nether Stowey (2) (the *Press* says the innings lasted 28 balls rather than 27, without indicating the number of balls bowled by each bowler. Ross also says that Winter took seven wickets and Knollys three, and not as above, but the full scorecard version is preferred.

According to Ross, Winter took two hat-tricks in Nether Stowey (2)

The batting order in Nether Stowey(2) is unknown, so it is uncertain whether C Routley carried his bat through the innings.

It is not known if W Routley was stumped by Winter in Nether Stowey(1), or stumped off Winter's bowling.

<b>James France's v Messrs Hirst's 1875</b>			<b>1</b>
Venue	Dewsbury Clarence Ground, Earls Heaton, West Yorkshire	Date	14 June 1875
Result	Messrs Hirst's won by an innings and 41 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

James France's	First innings		Second innings	
Hemingway	b Constable	0	b Crabtree	2
Day	b Constable	0	not out	1
Hetherington	b Constable	0	b Constable	0
Heald	b Crabtree	0	b Constable	0
Lodge	b Crabtree	0	b Constable	0
Hellery	b Crabtree	0	b Crabtree	0
Firth	b Crabtree	0	b Crabtree	0
Chalk	b Crabtree	0	b Crabtree	0
Renard	b Constable	0	b Crabtree	8
Leeman	b Constable	0	run out	1
Dews	not out	0	b Constable	1
Extras		0		0
Total	(all out)	0	(all out)	13
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0		1/to 9 not known, 10/13	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Constable		2	0	5				4
Crabtree		2	0	5				5

Messrs Hirst's	First innings	
Lister	b Hemingway	1
J Halstead	b Hemingway	2
G Halstead	b Hemingway	7
Archer	b Heald	0
E Halstead	c Chalk b Hemingway	3
Constable	not out	24
Smallwood	c Chalk b Hemingway	0
Crabtree	c Leeman b Heald	7
Hodgson	c and b Renard	4
Auty	b Renard	2
Preston	run out	2
Extras		2
Total	(all out)	54
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/54	

	O	M	R	W
Hemingway				5
Heald				2
Renard				2

Source: *Dewsbury Reporter* 19 June 1875. The scores of France's two innings are given in one of Ernest Gross's books of scorecards. Discrepancies over certain names (Hellery/Ellery, Renard/Rayner, and Leeman/Leming) are here resolved in favour of the version in the contemporary newspaper.

Gross says that France's side's first innings lasted 17 balls, implying 2 overs for one bowler and 2.1 for the other. It is not known which of Constable and Crabtree bowled the first over.

Research by Harry Watton (from whom all the new information has come) indicates that this was a match between two works XI, representing James France's carpet manufacturers of Dewsbury, and Messrs Hirst, Brooke and Hirst of the Airedale Chemical Works at Hunslet – and not between two elevens selected by a Mr France and a Mr Hirst, as implied by Gross's naming of the fixture as 'France's XI v Hirst's XI'.



Marlborough v Undaunted 1875/76			1
Venue	Alliance Ground, Moore Park, Sydney	Date	8 April 1876
Result	Undaunted won by 10 wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires			

#### Undaunted innings

Thomson	b Chapman	0		
Kirk	b McDonald	0		
Eaton	c – Cooke b McDonald	0		
S Ivory	c – Cooke b McDonald	0		
E Cooper	b Chapman	0		
-Fancourt	c Edwards b [unknown]	0		
Haigh	c – Cooke b [unknown]	0		
Brown	b McDonald	0		
Scrutton	c – Cooke b [unknown]	0		
Penny	c McDonald b [unknown]	0		
-Fancourt	not out	0		
Extras		0		
Total	(all out)	0		
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0			
	O	M	R	W
Chapman			0	2
McDonald			0	4

#### Marlborough innings

FG Ward	hit wicket b - Fancourt	1		
T Richardson	c – Fancourt b [unknown]	2		
T Chapman	b Eaton	5		
Clay	b Eaton	5		
W Eldridge	c Kirk b [unknown]	2		
McDonald	c Ivory b [unknown]	1		
Miller	b Eaton	5		
W Cooke	not out	24		
M Cooke	c Scrutton b [unknown]	7		
Edwards	c Haigh b [unknown]	1		
Harman	c – Fancourt b [unknown]	1		
Extras		9		
Total	(all out)	63		
Fall	1 to 9 not known 10/63			
	O	M	R	W
- Fancourt				1
Eaton				3

Source: *The News, Shoalhaven, Broughton Creek & Ulladulla Advertiser* 22 April 1876; *Northern Star* (Lismore, NSW) 13 May 1876. The details in the *Advertiser* are said to be reprinted from *The Echo*, but that source has not been located.

As both Undaunted opening batters got off the mark, their score must have been at least 1 when they lost their first wicket: hence the result is confirmed as a ten-wicket win.

The Marlborough scorecard in the *Advertiser* totals to 63, and that figure is also given in the paper's match report. This figure is therefore preferred to the total of 93 as given in the *Northern Star* (which does not have any scorecard details). The *Advertiser* also confirms that Undaunted batted first.

The *Advertiser* does not name the bowlers responsible for many dismissals, but Ken Piesse's *Extraordinary book of Australian cricket*, on page 97, says that the Marlborough wickets were shared between opening bowlers Tom Chapman and McDonald.

'Brown' is named in published scorecards as a member of the Undaunted team, but the *Peak Hill Express* of 27 October 1905 says that 'Billy' Brown played with the Marlboroughs. On balance, the former is preferred.

Ryde v Parramatta Galatea 1876/77

1N

Venue	Ryde, Sydney, New South Wales	Date	24 March 1877
Result	Ryde won by 112 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Ryde innings

W Tunks	run out	18
Drinkwater	b Whiteman	0
Short	c Ralph b Whiteman	48
Wicks	b Whiteman	8
J Gordon	b Whiteman	4
White	b Whiteman	0
W Gordon	b Whiteman	13
Hunt	hit wicket b Whiteman	0
Pope	c Whiteman b Cannon	15
Farnell	run out	0
F Farnell	not out	2
Extras		7
Total	(all out)	115

Fall 1 to 9 not known, 10/115

	O	M	R	W
(Whiteman)				7
Cannon				1

Parramatta Galatea innings

Cannon	b W Gordon	0
Howlitt	c Tunks b Short	0
Rafter	c and b Short	0
Turkington	c Tunks b Short	0
Whiteman (1)	b Gordon	0
Hart	b Gordon	0
Whiteman (2)	b Gordon	0
Lavon	not out	0
Wall	b Gordon	0
Ralph	b Gordon	0
AN Other	[details not known]	0
Extras	(b3)	3
Total	(all out)	3

Fall 1 to 9 not known, 10/3

	O	M	R	W
(Gordon)				6
Short				3

Source: *Town & Country Journal* [Sydney] 14 April 1877. Also noted on pp 236-237 of Ken Piesses's *Extraordinary book of Australian cricket*.

The Parramatta Galatea innings is not recorded in Gross's list of totals of 3 all out.

It is not known if Parramatta Galatea fielded 10 or 11 players in this match. It is also not known which 'Whiteman' and which 'Gordon' were the successful bowlers in each innings, or which Whiteman caught Pope.

## Houghton v Clifton 1877

1N

Venue	Houghton, (?) Manchester	Date	13 August 1877
Result	Clifton won by 78 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

## Clifton innings

H Hirst	c King b Marland	6
G Jagger	c and b Marland	0
A Durrans	st J Rhodes b Marland	1
J Littlewood	c J Rhodes b Marland	0
T Ramsden	b King	5
J Bottomley	b Marland	0
G Clayton	b King	12
M Hewitt	c Lloyd b King	24
W Gill	c Gee b Marland	14
G Collins	c and b Marland	1
J Lister	not out	0
Extras		16
Total	(all out)	79
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/79	

	O	M	R	W
Marland				7
King				3

## Houghton innings

+ J Rhodes	lbw b Littlewood	0
P Lloyd	b Littlewood	0
G Williamson	b Hewitt	0
J King	b Littlewood	0
S Shaw	b Hewitt	0
S Rhodes	c Jagger b Hewitt	0
J Marland	b Littlewood	0
G Bowden	b Littlewood	0
J Pickles	run out	0
Gee	b Littlewood	0
Strafford	not out	0
Extras		1
Total	(all out)	1
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/1	

	O	M	R	W
Littlewood			0	6
Hewitt			0	3

Full scorecard from *Sunderland Daily Echo* of 25 August 1877; the match is also noted in the *Leeds Times* and *Halifax Courier*, both of 18 August 1877.

It is unclear where the two teams came from. The local newspapers identify them as 'Clifton (Brighouse)' and 'Houghton (near Manchester)'. But there is no Houghton near Manchester (or even in Lancashire or Cheshire: Houghton and Haughton, but not Houghton), and the only Houghton in Yorkshire is nowhere near Brighouse. There is a Clifton near Brighouse in Yorkshire, and from the fact that the match was reported in papers in (relatively) nearby Leeds and Halifax, this may be assumed to be the home of the winning team – though not necessarily the venue of the match.

The *Courier* and the *Echo* both name the venue of the match as 'Houghton (Manchester)'.

Civil Service Supply Association v Simpkin, Marshall & Co 1878			1
Venue	Hitchcock's Ground, Holloway, Middlesex	Date	18 May 1878
Result	Civil Service Supply Association won by an unknown margin		
Toss			
Umpires			

Civil Service Supply Association innings

Grout	c and b Goodwin	7		
Walder	run out	6		
Evans	run out	0		
Webb	b Goodwin	1		
Glynn	b Goodwin	8		
Foreman	b Goodwin	0		
Poulten	not out	0		
Phillips	c Beck b H Mull	1		
Cooper	c H Mull b Hanson	3		
Squires	b Freeman	0		
Glover	c -Mull b Freeman	0		
Extras	b1, w4	5		
Total		31		
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/31			
	O	M	R	W
Goodwin				4
Freeman				2
H Mull				1
Hanson				1

Simpkin, Marshall & Co innings

Freeman	b Grout	0		
W Mull	b Webb	0		
Hanson	b Grout	0		
Goodwin	b Grout	0		
Smith	b Webb	0		
Guillaume	c Grout b Webb	0		
H Mull	run out	0		
Peltowe	b Grout	0		
Beck	not out	0		
Watson	b Grout	0		
Vibert	b Grout	0		
Extras	b1	1		
Total		1		
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/1			
	O	M	R	W
Grout			0	6
Webb			0	4

Source: *S&B* Vol XIV page 494; *The Referee* (London) 19 May 1878. The match is not mentioned in the *Islington Gazette* or the *North Metropolitan Press*.

There are several differences between the sources regarding players' names. The names given here are from *S&B*, which has been preferred (despite the greater topicality of *The Referee*) because they are more consistent, and for the most part more believable. The variants in *The Referee* are Forman for Foreman, Mullett for H Mull (but 'Mull' is credited with a hand in the wickets of Phillips and Cooper; *S&B* credits these to H Mull); Kelton for Peltowe; and Walsor for Watson

The *Referee* also lists the last four in the CSSA innings in the order Squires – Glover – Phillips - Cooper.

It is not clear from the sources which side batted first, or which player named Mull caught Glover in the CSSA innings.

<b>Christowe v Garth Garmon 1878</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Cheltenham College, Gloucestershire	Date	11 July 1878	
Result	Christowe won by an innings and 26 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Garth Garmon	First innings		Second innings	
H Tyler	run out	0	b Walker	0
J Gifford	c Commeline b Walker	6	b Cornwall	0
J Green	b Walker	6	c Walker b Cornwall	0
A Jones	b Walker	2	lbw b Cornwall	0
F Steel	b Cornwall	0	b Cornwall	0
CWG Roberts	b Cornwall	4	b Walker	0
E Jones	b Cornwall	6	b Cornwall	0
JL Vaughan	b Cornwall	0 (1)	not out	0
WH Carnsew	b Cornwall	0	b Cornwall	0
JA Leishman	not out	0	b Cornwall	0
CA Stayner	b Cornwall	0	b Cornwall	0
Extras	b5	5	b6, w1	7
Total	(all out)	29	(all out)	7
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/29		1-9 not known, 10/7	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Walker				3			0	2
Cornwall				6			0	8

Christowe	First innings	
H Forrest	b – Jones	0
H Osborne	c Leischman b Green	1
FWH Walker	lbw b – Jones	5
GH Cornwall	lbw b – Jones	0
HV Page	b Green	4
AF Maude	b Green	0
VWH Graham	run out	9
CR Carter	b Green	34
SW Brewis	b Green	0
FH Sumner	b Leishman	6
FHB Commeline	not out	0
Extras	b1, w1, nb1	3
Total	(all out)	62
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/62	

	O	M	R	W
- Jones				3
Green				5
Leishman				1

Source: *Cricket* 1900 pages 387-388. Players' names and initials follow the details in the Cheltenham College Register 1841-1889 where different from those in *Cricket*, and where clear corroboration is given in the Register.

This was a match in the first 'draw' of the Cheltenham College Second XI Challenge Cup competition. The fixture is noted in *The Cheltonian* but with no scores.

*Cricket* notes (1) that Cornwall took seven wickets with seven successive deliveries for Christowe in Garth Garmon's second innings (this feat is also noted by Gross), and (2) that Vaughan opened the Garth Garmon second innings and carried his bat for 0\*.

The full names of the players mentioned here were George Henry Cornwall and John Lewis Vaughan. Cornwall's bowling partner was Francis William Howard Walker.

Kintore v Kemnay 1878		5
Venue	Kintore, Aberdeenshire	Date 1878 season
Result		
Toss		
Umpires		

Kintore innings

---

(all out) \_\_\_\_\_  
1

Kemnay innings

---

(all out) \_\_\_\_\_  
0

Gross lists Kintore as being all out for 1, that one run being an extra, and defeating Kenmay [sic – surely a misprint for the nearby village of Kemnay), who were all out for 0, by one run. But as with the 1838 instance in Leicestershire, Kemnay’s apparent score of 0 all out is not included in Gross’s list of sides all out for that score.

There are no references to such a match in *S&B*, nor in any of the *Aberdeen Press & Journal*, the *Aberdeen People’s Journal*, or the *Buchan Times* (all in the BNA). Various other newspapers available at the British Library remain to be checked, though the absence of any corroboration from the sources investigated to date casts at least some doubt on the veracity of this performance.

<b>Balmoral v Union 1878/79</b>			<b>1N</b>
Venue	Balmoral CC Ground, Williamstown, Victoria	Date	18 January 1879
Result	Union won by an innings and 16 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Balmoral	First innings		Second innings	
Ulbrick	c Lawrence b Wauchope	0	c Lawrence b Wauchope	0
Fitzpatrick	b Wauchope	1	b Wauchope	0
Smith	b Wauchope	1	b Wauchope	0
+ Tubb	b Wauchope	4	b Rattenbury	0
Sellick	b Rattenbury	2	b Wauchope	0
Hannah	not out	3	b Rattenbury	0
Wauchope	b Wauchope	1	b Rattenbury	0
Cameron	st Holten b Rattenbury	0	b Rattenbury	0
Waycott	b Wauchope	0	b Burns	0
Mitchell	b Wauchope	0	not out	0
Hudson	b Wauchope	0	b Burns	0
Extras	b2	2		0
Total	(all out)	14		0
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/14		1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Wauchope				8				4
Rattenbury				2				4
Burns								2

Union	First innings	
Usher	b Smith	0
Fowler	c Waycott b Smith	0
W Rattenbury	c Waycott b Smith	4
H Rattenbury	st Tubb b Smith	2
Paxton	c Ulbrick b Sellick	1
Lawrence	b Sellick	8
A Draper	c Sellick b Smith	2
Burns	b Sellick	5
+ W Holten	b Sellick	4
*Wauchope	b Smith	1
Fernie	not out	0
Extras	b2, nb1	3
Total	(all out)	30
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/30	

	O	M	R	W
Smith				6
Sellick				4

Source: *Williamstown Chronicle* 18, 25 January 1879. Also noted in *The Australasian* 1 and 8 February 1879 (the latter correcting the score of Balmoral's second innings from the misprinted figure of 8 given in the 1 February edition).

It is not known which Rattenbury took wickets in the match for Union, or whether they were shared between W and H Rattenbury.

Balmoral's score of 0 all out is not recorded by Gross.

Rev S Cornish's School v Unknown 1880		4	
Venue	Walton Lodge, Clevedon, Somerset	Date	1880 season
Result			
Toss			
Umpires			

---

Gross records that in a school match, LCH Palairet took seven wickets with consecutive deliveries. Palairet's biography in *S&B* says that the feat was performed at Rev S Cornish's school at Walton Lodge, Clevedon. *Cricket* in 1892 (page 303) records that VT Hill scored 87 for Cornish's side in this match.

Palairet (born 27.5.1870) would have been barely 10 years old at the time. The feat is mentioned in Darren Senior's *Lives in Cricket* volume on Palairet, but with no more information about the match or its scores.

There is no mention of the feat in newspapers in the BNA, nor in contemporary issues of the *Clevedon Mercury*.

A profile of Palairet in *Cricket* 30 July 1891 mentions him playing for Rev Cornish's side at Walton Lodge in 1880, but makes no specific reference to a performance of '7 in 7'.



<b>Thornbury v Clifton Victoria 1881</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	The Ship Field, Alveston, Gloucestershire	Date	1 August 1881	
Result	Clifton Victoria won on first innings by 42 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: 12			

Thornbury	First innings		Second innings	
EM Grace	lbw b Nichols	10	c Ford b Greenough	131
GB Crossman	b Nichols	3	c sub b Wookey	9
BR Thompson	b Wookey	5	run out	13
DD Pontifex	b Nichols	0	c Wookey b Doresa	15
CS Bengough	b Nichols	0	absent	-
+ CM Kennedy	c Doresa b Nichols	4	(2) b Wookey	43
HP Thurston	b Nichols	33	c Nichols b Greenough	8
T Smith	c Doresa b Wookey	2	b Doresa	3
GH Grace	b Nichols	0	b Greenough	4
SB Day	b Nichols	4	not out	4
HC Thurston	not out	0	c Wookey b Doresa	9
G Phillips	c Nichols b Doresa	1	lbw b Greenough	0
Extras		3		10
Total	(all out)	65	(all out)	247
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/65		1/125, 2 to 10 not known, 10/247	
	O	M	R	W
Nichols	15		15	8
Wookey				2
Doresa				1
Greenough				3

Clifton Victoria	First innings			
WS Ford	c Kennedy b EM Grace	0		
GB Nichols	b EM Grace	0		
A Greenough	c Crossman b EM Grace	19		
F Arrowsmith	c Thompson b EM Grace	3		
O Coleman	lbw b EM Grace	1		
H Wookey	st Kennedy b EM Grace	28		
C Doresa	not out	26		
CH Powell	c and b EM Grace	7		
A Mizen	c Thompson b EM Grace	6		
J Ware	b EM Grace	1		
H Vincent	st Kennedy b EM Grace	3		
J Brown	c Pontifex b EM Grace	0		
Extras		13		
Total	(all out)	107		
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/107			
	O	M	R	W
EM Grace				11

Source: *Bristol Mercury* 4 August 1881, *Western Daily Press* 4 August 1881. The latter newspaper states that Nichols bowled 15 overs and conceded 18 runs.

EM Grace took all 11 wickets in the Clifton Victoria innings, and then scored a century. This all-round feat was not originally included in the list of performances of 'a century and all-ten' in *Cricket Quarterly* vol 5 page 135, but was picked up in an addendum item in vol 6, on page 206.

Meriden v Nether Whitacre 1881				6
Venue	Meriden, Warwickshire	Date	6 August 1881	
Result	Meriden won on first innings by 73 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Meriden	First innings				Second innings (following on)				
B Skidmore	b Handscombe								
R Thompson	b Fifield								
H Hicken	hit wicket b Cupit								
W Watson	c and b Fifield								
A Garratt	b Fifield								
W Boden	b Fifield								
J Glover	b Fifield								
J Sharp	c Greenway b Fifield								
SP Thompson	b Handscombe								
W Thompson	not out								
F Fitter	b Handscombe								
Extras	b8, lb2, w6								
Total	(all out)								
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/73								
	O	M	R	W					
Handscombe				3					
Fifield				6					
Cupit				1					
Nether Whitacre	First innings				Second innings (following on)				
Oldham	c Watson b Hicken								
Smith	b Watson								
Greenway	b Hicken								
T Cupit	c Fitter b Hicken				(1)	c SP Thompson b Watson		2	
Handscombe	c and b Watson				(2)	not out		17	
J Boonham	b Hicken								
T White	b Watson								
T Fifield	b Hicken				(3)	not out		3	
W Keightley	hit wicket b Hicken								
Dabbs	b Hicken								
Dale	not out								
Extras						b3, lb2		5	
Total	(all out)					(for 1 wicket)		27	
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0								
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Hicken			0	7					
Watson			0	3					1

There are currently (April 2023) two versions of the scorecard of this match on Cricket Archive, with many differences between them – including the match-date, the name of one of the teams, and whether or not one of the sides had a second innings. A third version of the scorecard has also been traced in the *Coventry Independent Journal* of 17 August 1881. The scorecard recently found in the *Coleshill Chronicle* of 13 August 1881 adds little to what is known from other sources – its scorecard of the two first innings exactly tallies with the above version, including showing Meriden as batting first; there is no mention of Nether Whitacre having a second innings.

The scorecard as presented here is considered by the present writer to be the most accurate and complete that can be compiled using these three sources, together with other sources including local gazetteers (for team-names) and census information (for the most reliable spelling of players' surnames).

The result as given here is based on the conclusion – not 100% certain - that Meriden batted first

A fuller explanation of the choices made in compiling the above scorecard is given in the Appendix.

For the record, no scorecard or other details of the match have been found in any of the following newspapers: the *Birmingham Daily Post*, the *Birmingham Daily Mail*, or the *Coventry Times*. Other possible newspapers, as yet unchecked, include the *Birmingham Daily Gazette*, *Aris's Birmingham Gazette*, the *Coventry Standard*, and the *Coventry Herald*.

Initials for some of the Nether Whitacre players have been taken from scorecards of the team's matches as given in the *Coleshill Chronicle* in 1880 and 1882.

Coy's Diggings v Redcastle 1881/82				1
Venue	Redcastle, Victoria	Date	December 1881/January 1882	
Result	Coy's Diggings won by an innings and 6 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Redcastle	First innings	Second innings
Sinclair		
Reid		

Total	(all out)	29				(all out)	12			
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/29					1 to 9 not known, 10/12				
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W		
Pettifer				8						
W Hipgrave					6.3	6	0	10		

Coy's Diggings	First innings
Pettifer	
W Hipgrave	

	47			
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/47			
	O	M	R	W
Sinclair				
Reid				

Gross says that A Hipgrave took ten wickets for no runs in a match for 'Heathcote v Unknown' at Sydney in 1882/83.

Gross's recording of this feat appears to derive from an item in *Cricket* 1883 page 41, which refers to "the last three performances of a bowler of the Heathcote Club named Hipgrave [no initial] [being] ten wickets for no runs, nine wickets for one, and five wickets for six runs." This in turn picked up a similar report in the *Sportsman* (Melbourne) on 10 January 1883, which was also repeated in several other Australian newspapers including the *Sydney Bulletin*, as referred to in the item in *Cricket* (and which was probably the source of Gross's reference to the 10-0 being recorded at Sydney; Heathcote is actually in Victoria, about 110km north of Melbourne). Gross also includes the alleged performance of 9-1 in his lists, again giving it as being for Heathcote v Unknown at Sydney – though not necessarily in the same match as the same bowler's 10-0.

More details of the match have now been traced, including the correct names of both participating sides. It transpires that it took place a season earlier than reported by Gross, and was reported in the *Melvor Times* of 12 January 1882, which says that it was played "recently". Thanks to William Rice (*Cricket Victoria*). These details confirm that, whether or not Hipgrave ever returned an innings analysis of 9-1, he did not do so in the match in which he took all-ten for 0.



Ashcombe Park v Tunstall 1882				5
Venue	Ashcombe Park, Cheddleton, Staffordshire	Date	6 May 1882	
Result	Ashcombe Park won by an innings and 57 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Tunstall	First innings		Second innings	
J Woolwich	st S Bowcock b [unknown]	0		
L Laton	b Walker	0		
J Fitchford	lbw b Walker	1		
D Madeley	b Walker	0		
J Adams	b Walker	0		
S Williett	b Walker	0		
J Plant	not out	0		
Jarvis	c Chell b Walker	0		
W Fitchford	c Wardle b Walker	0		
J Higgins	b Walker	0		
G Booth	b Walker	0		
Extras		1		
Total	(all out)	2	(all out)	6
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/2		1 to 9 not known, 10/6	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Walker	3.1	3	0	9				
[unknown]			1	1				

Ashcombe Park	First innings	
+ S Bowcock	b Laton	13
H Wardle	b Madeley	1
O Bowcock	run out	10
G Peacock	b Madeley	1
J Walker	b Madeley	15
F Byrne	b Madeley	0
J Chell	not out	11
H Wright	b Madeley	5
G Devereux	b Madeley	0
E Harvey	b Laton	0
JD Hargreaves	c Madeley b [unknown]	0
Extras		9
Total	(all out)	65
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/65	

  

	O	M	R	W
Laton				2
Madeley				6
[unknown]				1

Source: *Leek Times* 13 May 1882. The match was not reported in the *Staffordshire Sentinel*

From the *Leek Times*: "James Walker (late professional at Ashcombe Park) performed the unparalleled feat of taking eight wickets in eight consecutive balls, viz five wickets clean bowled, two caught and one lbw. [His] analysis is a splendid one, showing nine wickets in 13 balls for no runs. [Tunstall's] bowling was very good, but their fielding and batting weak". This description does not allow the identification of the eight batters who were dismissed successively.

Gross says that Walker took 9-0 in 11 balls (2.3 overs). This may have been his sequence rather than his full innings return.

The *Leek Times* gives W Fitchford as caught by 'G Wardle' – if so, he was not one of his side's batting XI.

Walker's feat is challenged as a fiction by Old Ebor in the *Yorkshire Evening Post* of 2 May 1898, in which he says that the Tunstall captain denied that any such match had taken place. *The Sportsman* of 10 November 1882 states that "there appears to have been some mistake in the title of the team that thus distinguished (?) itself." So it may be that the event was genuine enough, but that the side opposing Ashcombe Park was not properly known as 'Tunstall'. There is no further reference to the match – either as a correction or in a letter to the Editor – in the *Leek Times* in any edition between May and early November 1882.

Essendon [Juniors] v Hatfield [Juniors] 1882				3
Venue	Essendon, [Hertfordshire]	Date	30 May 1882	
Result	Not known			
Toss				
Umpires				Players per side: 12 (?)

---

Gross says that A Causton took 19 wickets for Essendon in this match, which he refers to simply as Essendon v Hatfield. The achievement is referred to in *Cricket 1882* page 181, where it is stated to have been in a 12-a-side match between 'Essendon Junior and Hatfield Junior'. Cricket says that Causton took 19 wickets and threw out the 20th [though if this were a 12-a-side game there were two other wickets to fall as well].

Evidence found by Harry Watton confirms that the 'Essendon' in the match title was the village in Hertfordshire, and not the district of the same name in Melbourne, Australia.

The match has been sought without success in the *Hertfordshire Mercury* and the *Herts Advertiser*. One Essendon match was reported in the former in 1882, but not this one (and no player named Causton is referred to in the brief report).

Toronto Cricket Club v Trinity College School Ex & Present 1882				6
Venue	Caer Howell, Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Date	14 July 1882	
Result	Trinity College Ex & Present			
Toss	Toronto CC won on first innings			
Umpires	Players per side: 12			

Trinity College School Ex & Present		First innings		Second innings	
JS Howard	c Helmcken b Foy	1		b Foy	4
AC Allan	b Helmcken	0		b Helmcken	0
EC Cayley	c Clarke b Helmcken	0		b Helmcken	0
AC Macdonell	c Behan b Helmcken	5		not out	9
BC Morris	b Helmcken	5		not out	9
RM Ross	b Foy	3			
*CJ Logan	b Helmcken	24			
DM Howard	c Boulton b Helmcken	1			
JH Ince	b Helmcken	0			
EKC Martin	b Foy	4			
AH Scott	b Helmcken	1			
HJ Campbell	not out	0			
Extras		5			1
Total	(all out)	49		(for 3 wickets)	23
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/2, 4 to 10 not known, 11/49			1 to 3 not known	
	O	M	R	W	
Helmcken	17.1	8	19	8	8 3 9 2
Foy	17	2	25	3	7 1 13 1

Toronto CC		First innings			
CH Sproule	c Martin b McDonell	3			
WH Merritt	b DM Howard	5			
GG S Lindsey	b McDonell	0			
RR Boulton	b Allan	4			
AM Daly	b Logan	19			
HD Helmcken	b Logan	11			
GB Behan	b Logan	0			
LJ Clarke	run out	1			
AG Foy	b Logan	0			
AG Brown	b McDonell	64			
JO Heward	c Allan b Logan	2			
GN Morrison	not out	133			
Extras		7			
Total	(all out)	249			
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/51, 11/249				
	O	M	R	W	
DM Howard	24	2	63	1	
Macdonell	21.1	2	48	3	
Allan	13	3	17	1	
Logan	24	9	31	5	
JS Howard	9	0	35	0	
Ross	8	2	18	0	
Cayley	6	0	22	0	
Ince	4	0	8	0	

At the time of the first edition of 'Major Feats' (May 2020) there were two versions of the scorecard of this match in Cricket Archive, with many differences between them. A third version of the scorecard is given, with a match report, in the *Toronto Daily Mail* of 15 July 1882.

One of the two versions in Cricket Archive has since been deleted and the other slightly amended, but the remaining version is still considered to contain several inaccuracies.

The scorecard as presented here is considered by the present writer to be the most accurate and complete that can be compiled using these three sources. Particular uncertainties remain however regarding the name of the School side, and the initials of 'Brown' who shared in Toronto's big last-wicket partnership.

A fuller explanation of the choices made in compiling the above scorecard is given in the Appendix.

Exmouth v Incogniti 1882				1
Venue	Exmouth, Devon	Date	9, 10 August 1882	
Result	Match drawn			
Toss				
Umpires	R Thoms, Willis			

Incogniti	First innings			
J Smith	b Underwood	28		
TW Wilson	c Wheat b Underwood	3		
W Lindsay	b Arnold	16		
DD Pontifex	c Cripps b Arnold	28		
E Leese	b Wheat	54		
C Awdry	b Wheat	19		
+ GL King	c Wilson b Underwood	26		
E Giberne	b Arnold	6		
OB Martyn	c Peach b Wheat	137		
CWM Dale	not out	99		
AWL Hemming	run out	6		
Extras	b14, lb8, w1	23		
Total	(all out)	445		
Fall	1/13, 2/42, 3/62, 4/92, 5/136, 6/161, 7/176, 8/200, 9/406, 10/445			
	O	M	R	W
Underwood		9		3
Arnold		10		3
Wheat		7		3
Wilson		3		0
Peach		0		0
Blogg		0		0

Exmouth	First innings			Second innings (following on)				
*WH Arundell	c King b Pontifex	81		not out	82			
Underwood	c Martyn b Lindsay	21		c Pontifex b Smith	13			
FB Blogg	st King b Pontifex	13		b Pontifex	14			
L Wilson	b Dale	7		b Giberne	85			
Capt Walter	run out	5		c Martyn b Smith	6			
AJ Cripps	b Pontifex	0						
Wheat	b Dale	4						
WW or HW Peach	b Wilson	25						
CE Jenkins	b Dale	0						
JSG Grenfell	not out	0	(6)	not out	16			
Arnold	c Lindsay b Wilson	2						
Extras	b8, lb1, w2	11		b9, lb2, w1	12			
Total	(all out)	169		(for 4 wickets)	228			
Fall	1/? , 2/102, 3/122, 4/? , 5/132, 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/169							
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Pontifex				3				1
Lindsay				1				
Dale				3				
Wilson				2				
Smith								2
Giberne								1

Source: *Exeter & Plymouth Gazette* 10 and 11 August 1882; *Exmouth Journal* 12 August 1882; *Cricket* 24 August 1882, page 251. The match is also referred to in *Sporting Life* (15 August 1882) but not in *The Sportsman*.

Close of Play Day 1: Incogniti 415-9 (Dale 75, Hemming 0).

Gross says that Martyn and Dale added 206 for the ninth wicket for Incogniti, in about 75 minutes. This partnership is corroborated by the two local newspapers [the *Gazette's* report that the eighth wicket fell at 290 is a clear misprint, not repeated in the *Journal*]. Martyn's innings of 137 included 19 fours, one five and two sixes.



The Priory House v Joseph Gould's House 1882				3
Venue	Repton School, Derbyshire	Date	1882 season	
Result	The Priory House won by an innings and 296 runs			
Toss	The Priory House			
Umpires				

The Priory House		First innings
FGJ Ford	retired	202
JH Kelsey	retired	102

Extras		8
Total	(for no wickets, declared)	312
Fall		

O M R W

Joseph Gould's House	First innings	Second innings (following on)
----------------------	---------------	-------------------------------

Extras		8		8
Total	(all out)	8		8
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/8			1 to 9 not known, 10/8

Ford  
Kelsey

O M R W O M R W

Source: *The Reptonian* October 1882

This was an Under-16 match. also noted in *Cricket* 1900 page 131, and in the obituary of JH Kelsey in *The Cricketer Annual* 1945-46, page 75. Both of these sources note that Ford and Kelsey took ten wickets each. However, *The Reptonian* only says that they shared the wickets (i.e. not necessarily equally), 'with absurd analyses'.

The match was played before declarations were permitted under the Laws. Nevertheless, *The Reptonian* says that "with the score at 312 they [Ford and Kelsey] retired ... and allowed Mr Gould's to ... bat".

Venue Royston College, Sydney, NSW

Date 1882/83 season

Result

Toss

Umpires

---

---

Gross records that in a college match, SMJ Woods took seven wickets with consecutive deliveries. He would have been about 15 years old at the time.

No record of this performance has been traced in Trove, or in any UK source (including newspapers in BNA).

Woods' feat is noted, with no further details, on page 94 of Ken Piesse's *Extraordinary book of Australian cricket*. Piesse says that he was playing for the College side.

Alfred James has advised that "the performances of Poidevin [see page 60] and Woods have been sought for years, without success".

In *The Cricketer* 12 May 1923, Woods reminisced about his playing days at Royston College, but although referring to some specific performances for the College he made no mention of a '7 in 7'.

Stockbridge v Abbotts Ann 1883				1
Venue	Commons Down, Stockbridge, Hampshire	Date	7 May 1883	
Result	Stockbridge won by 10 wickets			
Toss				
Umpires				

Abbotts Ann	First innings		Second innings	
C Oram	b Martin	8	b Martin	3
Farr	b Martin	2	not out	6
Allen	b Martin	10	b Martin	1
F Bloxham	b Martin	0	b Martin	2
Dear	b Martin	11	b Martin	1
Batchelor	b Martin	0	b Martin	18
Seaward	b Martin	0	c and b Martin	0
Dewey	not out	1	c and b Martin	6
Taylor	b Martin	0	b Martin	0
Webb	b Martin	1	c and b Martin	4
C Robard	b Martin	0	b Martin	0
Extras		7		2
Total	(all out)	40	(all out)	43
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/40		1 to 9 not known, 10/43	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Martin				10				10

Stockbridge	First innings		Second innings	
L Day	b Allen	1		
C Herring	c Seaward b Bloxham	3		
L North	b Dewey	29	(1) not out	4
W Snelling	lbw b Bloxham	6		
F Tarrant	c Batchelor b Bloxham	1		
F Eggar	b Dewey	6		
W Baverstock	b Dewey	0		
R Wickham	not out	15	(2) not out	3
J Judd	b Dewey	0		
J Martin	run out	6		
J Wakeford	b Dewey	4		
Extras		8		0
Total	(all out)	79	(for no wickets)	7
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/79			

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Allen				1				
Bloxham				3				
Dewey				5				

Source: *Hampshire Advertiser* 12 May 1883; *Hampshire Chronicle* 19 May 1883

The successful bowler was James Martin (1860-1941). There is a biographical note of him on page 258 of *S&B* vol XV.

The *Hampshire Chronicle* and *S&B* confirm that the three wickets in Abbotts Ann (2) that were not 'bowled' were all 'caught and bowled' [and not 'caught unknown b Martin'].

Reports vary of how many runs Martin conceded in the match, but the most likely figure is around 40: contemporary reports say that he took his wickets at 2 runs apiece. The report in *Reynold's Newspaper* of 13 May that he averaged "a little over 4 runs per wicket" may be rejected as clearly wrong, since this would have required Martin to have conceded all, or virtually all, of the runs scored by his opponents.

AE Barton's XI v Portsmouth Grammar School 1883			3
Venue	Portsmouth, Hampshire	Date	1883 season
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			

---

Gross records that AE Barton scored 112\* out of 124 runs for Southsea Rovers in a match against the School in 1883, but *James Lillywhite's Cricketer's Annual* for 1884 (on pages 232 and 237) indicates that this performance was recorded when playing for his own side – and that the runs were made in 65 minutes. It is not clear whether 124 was his side's all-out score (in which case he scored 90.32% of his side's runs, or a higher figure if extras are excluded), or just the number of runs added while Barton was at the wicket.

Lillywhite's Annual also gives Barton's bowling figures for the two innings as 15-10-8-5 and 10-6-9-6, but gives no other scorecard details.

The match is not noted in the *Portsmouth Times* or any other newspaper in the BNA, but various other local newspapers in the British Library may still be worth exploring.

There is no record of this match in the 1883 issue of the Portsmouth Grammar School magazine, which lists and gives the results of all 14 of the school's matches for the season (information gratefully received from John Sadden, the school's archivist).

HW has drawn attention to a reference in *Cricket* 1883 page 375, which suggests that the match may have been played in late August 1883.

East Melbourne v Fitzroy 1883/84			1
Venue	East Melbourne Cricket Ground, Melbourne	Date	13, 20, 27 October 1883
Result	East Melbourne won by an innings and 601 runs		
Toss	Fitzroy		
Umpires			

Fitzroy	First innings	Second innings							
C Showers	b McShane	16	absent -						
J Topping	b Midwinter	15	st Lewis b Midwinter 11						
Allan	c Hastings b McShane	3	absent -						
J Lowe	b Midwinter	0 (6)	not out 1						
A Shee	run out	7	absent -						
Phillips	b Midwinter	3 (5)	c Boyle b Midwinter 0						
E Prentice	not out	7 (1)	b Midwinter 0						
T Hayes	b Midwinter	2 (4)	b Trapp 3						
Atherton	b Midwinter	5 (3)	c Robertson b Midwinter 5						
Newman	b Midwinter	0	absent -						
J Trinnick	absent	-	absent -						
Extras	b2, lb1	3	nb1, others 3 4						
Total	(all out)	55	(all out) 24						
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/ , 10/55		1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/24						
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
McShane	13	3	22	2	(2)	1	0	9	0
Midwinter	13	4	30	6	(1)	5	2	5	4
Trapp						3	0	6	1

East Melbourne	First innings			
TU Groube	b Atherton	55		
HJH Scott	run out	77		
TP Horan	run out	49		
+ PM Lewis	c Allen b Phillips	237		
EP Hastings	b Atherton	8		
VB Trapp	c sub (Robertson) b Lowe	65		
HA Musgrove	b Phillips	48		
WR Robertson	b Phillips	18		
PG McShane	c Showers b Phillips	58		
*HF Boyle	c and b Phillips	2		
WE Midwinter	not out	0		
Extras	b53, lb14, nb1	68		
Total	(all out)	680		
Fall	1/112, 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/ , 10/680			
	O	M	R	W
Shee	30	1	111	0
Atherton	29	8	83	2
Hayes	27	3	70	0
Allan	16	3	49	0
Phillips	47.4	13	98	5
Topping	22	1	64	0
Lowe	27	3	86	1
Prentice	3	1	9	0
Newman	7	1	21	0
Showers	8	0	26	0

Source: *The Weekly Times* (Melbourne) 27 October 1883; *The Australasian* 3 November 1883; *The Sportsman* (Melbourne) 17, 24 and 31 October 1883. Further information received from Ken Williams.

Close of play: Day 1 – E Melbourne 142-1 (Scott 63, Horan 9); Day 2 – E Melbourne 432-5 (Lewis 111, Musgrove 20); Day 3 – Fitzroy 24-5 (Lowe 1). This was a match in the McLean Challenge Cup competition (the senior Melbourne club competition of the day).

After play ended on 27 October, “the remaining men were to bat on Monday [29th] but the inclement weather kept all but one of them away, and so the Fitzroy skipper decided to give up the match, which therefore terminated in favour of the East by an innings and 601 runs” (*The Australasian* 3 November 1883). It is therefore unclear as to whether the non-attending batters should be entered in the scorecard as ‘absent’, with the result a victory by an innings and 601; or whether the result should simply be recorded as a victory ‘by a concession’.

<b>Bexley v Emeriti 1884</b>		<b>1</b>
Venue	Bexley, Kent	Date 14 June 1884
Result	Bexley won by ten wickets (and batted on)	
Toss		
Umpires		

Emeriti innings		
JP Munster	b EC Friend	0
ME Fennessey	not out	74
RW Smith	b EC Friend	0
TM Barkeley	b WB Friend	0
AT Russell	c WB Friend b Spreckley	4
FW Barf	b EC Friend	21
FR Anderton	b EC Friend	0
GW Houghton	c Drew b LA Shuter	3
GA Moran	b LA Shuter	0
W Charlton	b LA Shuter	0
TH Meynell	b EC Friend	16
Extras		13
Total	(all out)	131
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/131	

	O	M	R	W
EC Friend				5
WB Friend				1
Spreckley				1
LA Shuter				3

Bexley innings		
J Shuter	not out	304
T Ashdown	not out	62
EY Drew		
EC Friend		
WB Friend		
LA Shuter		
Spreckley		
Extras		36
Total	(for no wicket)	402
Fall		

O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Source: *Cricket* 1884 page 223. The unbroken partnership of 402 for Bexley's first wicket was noted in many newspapers across England, but only *Cricket* has the full scorecard.

John Shuter's innings of 304\* included 18 fours and eight sixes.



## Belgrave v Shamrock 1884

1

Venue	Priest Bridge, Barnes, Surrey	Date	26 July 1884
Result	Belgrave won by an unknown margin		
Toss			
Umpires			

### Shamrock innings

CA Stephenson	c Mitchell b Jarvis	0
J Fitzpatrick	b Larcom	0
H Hilton	c Mason b Larcom	0
A Melady	b Jarvis	0
H Griffin	c Smith b Jarvis	0
AG Griffin	c Larcom b Jarvis	0
C Melady	b Jarvis	0
J Michell	b Larcom	0
A Hughes	b Larcom	0
ED Mitchell	not out	0
J Melady	b Larcom	0
Extras	b3	3
Total	(all out)	3
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/3	

	O	M	R	W
Larcom	7	7	0	5
Jarvis	6	6	0	5

### Belgrave innings

GT Davies	b Hughes	8
J Appleton	b – Griffin	27
FV Cummins	b Stephenson	19
CT Jarvis	lbw b – Griffin	2
HJ Smith	run out	0
E Mitchell	b – Melady	2
J Hatten	run out	0
JG Larcom	b – Griffin	0
J Mason	b – Griffin	0
JA Dykes	not out	0
R Jourden	b - Griffin	0
Extras		9
Total	(all out)	77
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/77	

	O	M	R	W
Hughes				1
- Griffin				5
Stephenson				1
- Melady				1

Source: *Cricket* 31 July 1884 (pages 312 and 316), and pages 258 and 261 of James Lillywhite's *Cricketers Annual* for 1885.

The margin of victory is uncertain: it was either 64 or 74 runs: the Belgrave score above totals to 67 rather than the figure of 77 as given in *Cricket*.

The only runs of the Shamrock innings were three byes off the very first ball of the innings, bowled by Larcom.

It is not known which Griffin (or which of the two Griffins), and which Melady, took wickets in the Belgrave innings.



## Royal Engineers v Royal Marines 1884

1

Venue	United Services Ground, Portsmouth	Date	15, 16 August 1884
Result	Royal Engineers won on first innings by 476 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Royal Marines		First innings			
Lt Curteis		c Hamilton b Dumbleton			21
Capt Robilliard		c Dumbleton b Young			3
Lt Drury		c von Donop b Young			13
Lt Binney		c Drummond b van Donop			9
Lt Nelson		b von Donop			2
Capt Wright		not out			79
Maj Heathcote		c Bonham-Carter b von Donop			2
Capt Hayes		b Dumbleton			5
Lt Corydon		c Jones b Fellowes			31
Lt Percy		c Renny-Tailyour b Fellowes			19
Lt O'Brien		b Fellowes			4
Extras		b7, lb3			10
Total		(all out)			198
Fall		1 to 9 not known, 10/198			
		O	M	R	W
Dumbleton					2
Young					2
von Donop					3
Fellowes					3

Royal Engineers		First innings			
Lt C Wingfield-Stratford		run out			4
Lt HN Dumbleton		c Drury b Nelson			325
Capt von Donop		b Binney			25
Lt TE Hamilton		run out			15
Capt CL Young		c Binney b Robilliard			204
Lt EW Walton		b Corydon			22
Lt TFB Renny-Tailyour		b Nelson			0
Maj J Fellowes		b Curteis b Corydon			9
Lt AG Drummond		not out			23
Lt H Bonham-Carter		c Binney b Corydon			4
Lt L Jones		b Nelson			11
Extras		b15, lb15, w2			32
Total		(all out)			674
Fall		1/? , 2/? , 3/109, 4/573, 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/674			
		O	M	R	W
Nelson					3
Binney					1
Robilliard					1
Corydon					3

Source: *Sporting Life* 18 August 1884; *Field* 23 August 1884; noted in *Cricket* 1899 pp 195, 481 and 484

Close of play, Day 1: Royal Engineers 168-3 (Dumbleton ?, Young ?)

Dumbleton hit 37 fours in his 325, and Young 22 fours and two sixes in his 204. Together they added 464 for the fourth wicket.

The above card largely follows that in *Field*, but there are some differences as compared with the card in *Sporting Life*. Marines' number 9 batter is given as Capt Corydon in *Field*, Lieut Congdon in *Sporting Life*; in the RE innings, *Sporting Life* gives Young as stumped by Binney rather than caught; and *Sporting Life* names the RE number 4 as Lt S Hamilton rather than TE. Initials and batting order in the RE side are taken from *Field* (few initials are given in *Sporting Life*), but the RE number 1 is named as 'Lieut Winfield-Stratford' in the *Sporting Life*, and 'Mr CW Stratford' in *Field*; I have compromised. *Field* gives the rank 'Lieutenant' in the RE side only to Dumbleton; all others shown as Lieutenants in *Sporting Life* (and here) are just 'Mr' in *Field*.

Wentworth XV v Adelaide Federal XI 1884/85			1
Venue	Wentworth Oval, New South Wales	Date	26, 27 December 1884
Result	Adelaide Federal XI won by two innings and 105 runs		
Toss	Wentworth XV		
Umpires	Players per side: Wentworth 15, Adelaide 11		

Wentworth XV	First innings	Second innings	Third innings
Balchin			
*Chatto	13		

Fall	Extras				(all out)				(all out)				
	Total	(all out)			(all out)				(all out)				
		1-9 not known, 10/36			1 to 9 not known, 10/24				1 to 9 not known, 10/27				
		O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Giffen				25	8			12	9				
Caterer				7	4			11	4				
Traynor												17	14

Adelaide Federal XI	First innings
G Giffen	64
H Blinman	28
JE Gooden	29
J Boddiner	38
JF Traynor	
TA Caterer	

Fall	Extras				
	Total	(all out)			
		1-88, 10-192			
		Others not known			
		O	M	R	W

Source: *South Australian Register*, *Adelaide Observer* and *Evening Journal* (Adelaide), 27/29 December 1884 and 3 January 1885.

The match was referred to in the *Daily Telegraph* (Sydney) on 24 December 1884 as 'the first intercolonial cricket match between South Australia and New South Wales', but the visiting team was more generally referred to as the 'Adelaide Federal XI' while the home side was not remotely a representative NSW team. As initially announced, the Adelaide squad also included G Liston, T Green, R Castle, HC Burnet, F Stanton, T Coats or Coates, AJ Diamond, WB Carr and R Giffen. A player named Nicholson is also referred to in reports. It is not known which, if any, of these made up the full XI for the match.

Traynor took all 14 wickets when Wentworth batted a third time after being still well behind after two innings.

The result as given above is expressed as if this had been planned as a three-innings match.

Egremont v Birkenhead Victoria 1885			1N
Venue	Egremont, Wirral, Cheshire	Date	16 May 1885
Result	Birkenhead Victoria won by an innings and 5 runs		
Toss			
Umpires	Needham, unknown		

Egremont	First innings		Second innings	
Gallagher	run out	10	b Sproat	0
Fisher	c Crellin b Sproat	2	b Sproat	0
Beechey	c Brocklebank b Sproat	12	b Sproat	0
Bucknall	c and b Sproat	7	c Edwards b Sproat	0
Walmsley	b Walton	0	c Evans b Sproat	0
Shaw	c Sproat b Walton	3	b Walton	0
Keizer	b Sproat	0	b Sproat	0
Coombs	c Walton b Sproat	6	b Walton	0
Ramsden	b Sproat	1	run out (Jones)	0
Davies	b Sproat	0	not out	0
Campion	not out	3	run out (Walton)	0
Extras		5	lb1, w1	2
Total	(all out)	49	(all out)	2
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/49		1-9 not known, 10/2	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Sproat			23	7			0	6
Walton			21	2			0	2

Birkenhead Victoria	First innings	
Collins	b Walmsley	6
Jones	b Walmsley	3
Crellin	c Coombs b Campion	11
Macdonald	c Gallagher b Campion	9
M Sproat	c Beechey b Gallagher	6
Walton	c Bucknall b Gallagher	5
Edwards	b Bucknall	3
Taylor	run out	3
Daniels	b Gallagher	0
Brocklebank	c Keizer b Gallagher	4
Evans	not out	0
Extras		6
Total	(all out)	56
Fall	1/2, 2 to 5 not known, 6/49, 7/49, 8/49, 9/?, 10/56	

	O	M	R	W
Walmsley				2
Campion				2
Gallagher				4
Bucknall				1

Source: *Birkenhead News* 23 May 1885, *Alderley & Wilmslow Advertiser* 22 May 1885, *Dewsbury & Batley Chronicle* 23 May 1885, *Cricket* 21 May 1885 (page 136). The match is also recorded in *Scores and Biographies* for 1885.

The names Beechey and Coombs are sometimes given as Beechy and Coombe. Some sources credit Sproat with 12 wickets for 22 in the match, rather than 13-23 as here; the above full scorecard is taken from the *Birkenhead News*, which has a full match report and scorecard.

M (Mark) Sproat played for Cheshire in 1884 and 1885.

St Benedict's Second XI v Liverpool Pupil-Teachers 1885				3
Venue	Stanley Park, Liverpool	Date	4 July 1885	
Result	St Benedict's Second XI won by 49 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

St Benedict's Second XI innings

G Cattrall

Total					64
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/64				
	O	M	R	W	

Liverpool Pupil-Teachers innings

Total					15
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/15				
Cattrall	O	M	R	W	
			0	10	

Source: *Liverpool Daily Post* 7 July 1885

Gross says that J Cottrell [sic] took all ten wickets for no runs in an innings for St Benedict's. The feat is also noted in *Cricket* 1885 page 255 (which adds that six of the wickets came off successive deliveries; this 6 in 6 is also recorded by Gross and in the *Liverpool Daily Post*), and in various other contemporary newspapers (see below).

Various spellings have been seen of the successful bowler's surname. Gross goes with Cottrell, *Cricket* and the *Empire News* favour Cottrall, while Cattrall is the preference of *The Sportsman* and *Sporting Life* for 7 July, and of the *Bury Free Press* and the *Huddersfield Examiner* (both 11 July); meanwhile the *Preston Herald* of 8 July chooses Catterall. The name G Cattrall as given above is taken from the *Liverpool Daily Post*, but with no great certainty as to its accuracy.

Eastbourne v Bexley 1885		1
Venue	Devonshire Park, Eastbourne	Date 17, 18 July 1885
Result	Eastbourne won by an innings and 173 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

Eastbourne	First innings	
JB Hide	c WC Jackson b Shuter	115
WF Noakes	c Noakes b Shuter	45
Maj Welchman	lbw b Spottiswoode	26
LH Neame	c Colvin b Shuter	24
A Leete	not out	62
AS Hurst	b Noakes	13
W Leete	c Stanton b SF Jackson	7
EE Leigh	b SF Jackson	36
N Leete	b Honey	14
M Lonsdale	b Honey	0
FW Coles	b Honey	0
Extras	b14, lb2, w10	26
Total	(all out)	368
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/368	

	O	M	R	W
Shuter				3
Spottiswoode				1
Noakes				1
SF Jackson				2
Honey				3

Bexley	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
LA Shuter	b Hide	4	c Hurst b Hide	15
WH Spottiswoode	b Hide	14	b Hide	11
J Colvin	b Hide	17	c Coles b Hide	27
WP Mann	b Hide	4	b Hide	22
ET Drew	b Hide	1	b Hide	5
E Honey	not out	30	c A Leete Hide	4
WHS Stanton	c and b Hide	0	b Hide	0
JN Noakes	c A Leete b Hide	4	b Hide	22
WC Jackson	b Hide	0	c Neame b Hide	0
SF Jackson	b Noakes	2	b Hide	0
R Lambert	b Noakes	0	not out	7
Extras	b4	4	b2	2
Total	(all out)	80	(all out)	115
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/80		1-9 not known, 10/115	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Hide			43	8			39	10
Noakes				2				

This match is included in Robert Brooke's list of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten [or better] in the same match ['Milestones' in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32], and in the equivalent list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135.

Scorecard details come from the *Sporting Life* of 18 and 20 July 1885

Close of Play, Day 1: Eastbourne 362-7 (A Leete 58, N Leete 11) – these details do not quite tally with the above scorecard.

Jesse Hide played 176 first-class matches for Sussex and South Australia between 1876 and 1893.

Alliance v Granville 1885				3
Venue	Drayton Park, London	Date	25 July 1885	
Result	Alliance won by 37 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Alliance innings

---

F Rae

Extras				
Total	(all out)			37
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/37			
	O	M	R	W

Granville innings

---

				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
Extras				0
Total	(all out)			0
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0			
	O	M	R	W
Rae			0	10

Source: *Sporting Life* 28 July 1885; *Cricket* 1885 pp 297 and 477. The match is also noted briefly in some other contemporary papers, but with no further details.

There is no mention of the match in contemporary editions of the *Holloway & Hornsey Press* or the *Hornsey & Finsbury Park Journal*. The scores of some Granville matches are given in the latter newspaper, but not this one.

East Gloucestershire v Free Foresters 1885			1
Venue	East Gloucestershire CC Ground, Cheltenham	Date	27, 28 July 1885
Result	East Gloucestershire won by 7 wickets		
Toss	East Gloucestershire		
Umpires			

East Gloucestershire		First innings		Second innings	
JHC Baxter		c Lucy b Bovill	4	b Bovill	0
WB Roberts		b Bovill	8		
CW Knox		c Capron b Bovill	35	c and b Lucy	20
AE Leatham		c Beevor b Bovill	4	(5) not out	24
R Gore		b Bovill	10		
C Tillard		c Smith b Bovill	108		
TW Knight		c Cobb b Bovill	4		
EA Bennett		c Beevor b Bovill	14		
V Leatherdale		c and b Bovill	3	(4) c Hornsby b Bovill	5
OB Sergeant		not out	33	(2) not out	41
TW Gould		b Bovill	8		
Extras			18		5
Total	(all out)		249	(for 3 wickets)	95
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/249			1-3 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Bovill				10				2
Lucy								1

Free Foresters		First innings		Second innings (following on)	
ED Prothero		c Roberts b Bennett	2	b Tillard	11
FW Capron		run out	8	c and b Bennett	0
HC Maul		c Sergeant b Bennett	7	lbw b Tillard	0
JC Beevor		run out	1	b Tillard	2
WD Bovill		not out	28	b Roberts	115
JHj Hornsby		c Gore b Leatherdale	13	b Tillard	24
GE Willes		c Gore b Bennett	0	c Bennett b Tillard	10
C Smith		c Knox b Bennett	22	c Leatherdale b Bennett	54
CE Cobb		c sub b Bennett	0	lbw b Leatham	11
WHP Jenkins		c Leatherdale b Tillard	2	c Knox b Sergeant	1
WH Lucy		b Bennett	0	not out	18
Extras			5		8
Total	(all out)		88	(all out)	254
Fall	1-5 not known, 6/44, 7-9 not known, 10/88			1-9 not known, 10/254	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Bennett				6				2
Leatherdale				1				
Tillard				1				5
Roberts								1
Leatham								1
Sergeant								1

This match is included in Robert Brooke's list of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten [or better] in the same match ['Milestones' in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32], but not in the equivalent list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135.

Scorecard details come from the *Cheltenham Chronicle* 28 July 1885, the *Gloucestershire Echo* of 28 and 29 July, and *Cricket* 1885 page 308. Players' initials, and some details of individual dismissals, are not given consistently in all sources.

Close of Play, Day 1: Free Foresters (1) 44-6 (Bovill 8, Willes 0).

The successful all-rounder Walter Bovill played a single first-class match, for MCC in 1874 when reportedly only 16 years old.

Beckenham v Bexley 1885				1
Venue	Beckenham, Kent	Date	1 August 1885	
Result	Bexley won by 10 wickets and batted on			
Toss	Bexley			
Umpires				

Bexley innings

LA Shuter	c AM Inglis b Marriott	31
WB Friend	c Lambrick b RE Inglis	8
J Shuter	b RE Inglis	0
WW Mann	c AM Inglis b Wyld	4
EC Friend	c sub b Wyld	0
Capt Johnstone	b Wilson	8
WC Jackson	c Lambrick b Wilson	1
HG Wyld	c Chater b Wyld	18
D Moffatt	b Wyld	3
RF Sandon	not out	1
J Sandon	absent	
Extras	b3	3
Total	(all out)	77

Fall

	O	M	R	W
Marriott				1
RE Inglis				2
Wyld				4
Wilson				2

Beckenham innings

L Wilson	not out	246
WG Wyld	not out	203
CM Lambrick		
Rev FR Marriott		
AM Inglis		
PD Chater		
GH Collier		
RE Inglis		
P Northcote		
TJ Green		
HS Taylor		
Extras	b16, lb1, w4	21
Total	(for no wicket)	470

Fall

	O	M	R	W
--	---	---	---	---

Source: *Sporting Life* 3 August 1885; *Cricket* 1885 page 317. Also noted in *the Morning Post*, *The Sportsman* and the *London Evening Standard* on 3 August 1885, and the *Kentish Mercury* 7 August 1885.



## Carisbrook v Excelsior 1885/86

3

Venue	Carisbrook, Dunedin, New Zealand	Date	7, 14 November 1885
Result	Carisbrook won by an unknown margin		
Toss			
Umpires			

## Excelsior innings

E Briggs	run out	0
A Briggs	b TL Austin	1
A Shepherd	b Dixon	5
J Aitken	c EJ Austin b Dixon	1
McGregor	st Collinson b TL Austin	4
Johns	c Cargill b Dixon	0
Cameron	b Dixon	0
Hindle	b – Austin	0
James Aitken	not out	0
Cooper	? absent	
AN Other	? absent	
Extras		1
Total	(all out)	12
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/12	

	O	M	R	W
TL Austin				2
Dixon				4
[unknown]				1

## Carisbrook innings

+ ET Collinson	c – Briggs b Johns	11
WG Dixon	run out	219
A Smith	b – Briggs	6
TL Austin	b Cooper	59
EJ Austin	run out	67
W Fitzgerald	c Shepherd b – Briggs	2
J Russell	b McGregor	37
F Davies	not out	7
J Fleming	b McGregor	26
R Nantes	c Shepherd b McGregor	2
A Cargill	absent	-
Extras		19
Total	(all out)	458
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/458	

	O	M	R	W
Johns				1
Cooper				1
McGregor				3
[unknown]				2

Gross says that WG Dixon scored 219 and took all ten for 10 runs for Carisbrook in this match. This remains something of a mystery.

Close of play, Day 1: Carisbrook 194-2 (Dixon 119, TL Austin 52)

The above scorecard is taken from the *Evening Star* (Dunedin) of 9 and 16 November 1885. Dixon's score of 219 is confirmed, but he is only shown as taking four wickets in Excelsior's innings of 12 all out (in which only nine batters are listed). There is no reference, either in this newspaper or in any others available in Papers Past (the *Otago Daily Times* and the *Otago Witness*), to Excelsior having a second innings - and surely bowling figures of 10-10 would have got some notice? However, *James Lillywhite's Cricketers' Annual 1887* (page 280) also records Dixon's feat, giving his bowling figures as 13-9-10-10. If this is correct, Excelsior must have had a second innings. If they did, it is unclear whether the claimed figures of 10-10 were taken in a single innings, or across the two Excelsior innings.

Freemantle v All Saints 1886				1
Venue	Southampton Common, Southampton	Date	5 June 1886	
Result	All Saints won by an innings and 72 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Freemantle	First innings		Second innings	
A Simms	b Cochran	2	b Cochran	0
A Maton	b Young	2	b Young	0
L Loader	c Elcock b Young	0	b Young	0
W Smith	c Cochran b Young	2	c Cochran b Young	0
E Morgan	b Cochran	1	b Cochran	0
G Powell	b Cochran	0	c Dykes b Young	0
W Stride	b Young	0	b Cochran	0
S Corry	run out	0	st Whitcher b Young	0
A Chandler	c Elcock b Cochran	0	st Whitcher b Young	0
W Pearce	b Young	0	not out	0
G Bungay	not out	0	b Cochran	0
Extras		1		0
Total	(all out)	8	(all out)	0
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/8		1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0	

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Young	4	0	4	5	(2)	2	2	0	6
Cochran	4	1	3	4	(1)	3	3	0	4

All Saints	First innings	
G Joyce	c Pearce b Maton	9
H Elcock	b Chandler	5
A Raymond	c Maton b Chandler	6
+ A Whitcher	b Maton	9
J Cochran	c Pearce b Chandler	7
W Whale	b Maton	7
J Young	b Chandler	27
H Heden	c Loader b Stride	7
W Cox	b Stride	1
E Waterman	not out	1
A Dykes	c Bungay b Stride	0
Extras		1
Total	(all out)	80
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/80	

	O	M	R	W
Maton				3
Chandler				4
Stride				3

Source: *Southampton Observer* 12 June 1886; *Southampton Times* 12 June 1886

“The day was beautifully fine, and as the ground was in good condition, it was decidedly in favour of the batsmen” (*Southampton Observer*). But evidently, not those of Freemantle.

<b>Buckhurst Hill Juniors v Loughton Juniors 1886</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Buckhurst Hill, Essex	Date	13 September 1886	
Result	Buckhurst Hill Juniors won by an innings and 57 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Loughton Juniors	First innings		Second innings	
EF Nicoll	not out	21	c Marshall b Watson	29
A Mayor	run out	0	b Watson	0
W Sharp	b Watson	0	c Godlonton b Watson	12
R Sharp	b Watson	0	c Watson b CC Palmer	0
LA Nicoll	b Watson	0	b CC Palmer	6
G Morris	c Watson b CC Palmer	0	c Godlonton b CC Palmer	1
Hardingham	c and b CC Palmer	0	c Godlonton b CC Palmer	0
Kettlewell	run out	0	not out	1
C Hundley	b Watson	0	c Godlonton b Watson	2
Oxley	b Watson	0	b CC Palmer	0
S Mayor	absent	-	b Watson	7
Extras	b1	1		5
Total	(all out)	22	(all out)	63
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/22		1 to 9 not known, 10/63	
	O	M	R	W
Watson				5
CC Palmer				2

Buckhurst Hill Juniors	First innings			
E Derouet	b W Sharp	15		
F Godlonton	run out	2		
A Watson	b EF Nicoll	40		
A Derouet	b W Sharp	9		
CC Palmer	b W Sharp	15		
R Gadsdon	b A Mayor	10		
A Marshall	b A Mayor	0		
A Barwell	b R Sharp	3		
E Nash	b LA Nicoll	19		
G Conquest	b R Sharp	4		
S Palmer	not out	4		
Extras		18		
Total	(all out)	142		
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/142			
	O	M	R	W
W Sharp				3
EF Nicoll				1
A Mayor				2
R Sharp				2
LA Nicoll				1

Source: *Cricket* 16 September 1886 pp 420 and 423

The spelling of all surnames given here are as in *Cricket*.

EF Nicoll, who scored all the runs off the bat in Loughton's first innings, was 13 years old.

Linby v Woodborough 1886		3
Venue	Linby, Nottinghamshire	Date 6 September 1886
Result	Not known	
Toss		
Umpires		

---

Gross says that FR Whitworth took 19 wickets in this match for 'Lindley', with the 20th batter being run out. The feat was reported in *Athletic News* on 14 September 1886, and in *Cricket* two days later (on page 420).

The match is noted in the *Mansfield Reporter* of 10 and 16 September 1896, where the home team is named as Linby (a village some 8 miles from Woodborough) and the bowler is identified as Frank K Whitworth aged 19 – presumably the player of that name already included in the CA database. The newspaper gives his bowling figures for the two innings as 12-4-8-10 and 17-12-19-9 respectively, but gives no other details of the match scores, nor of the order in which the teams batted.

The match is not recorded in any of these newspapers: *Nottingham Journal*, *Nottingham Daily Express*, *Nottingham Evening Post*, *Nottingham Guardian*, or the *Mansfield & North Notts Advertiser*.



JH Brain's XII v KJ Key's XII 1887				1
Venue	The Parks, Oxford	Date	9, 10, 11 May 1887	
Result	Match drawn			
Toss				
Umpires	H King, Kaye	Players per side: 12		

JH Brain's XII	First innings		Second innings	
GM Jones	c Joy b Moss	20	run out	7
FH Gresson	b Joy	91	(4) b Baker	95
EH Papillon	b Moss	0	(5) b Baker	7
H Harrison	b Moss	2	(7) b Cook	0
E St Hill	b Moss	35	(6) st Daughlish b Joy	44
ETB Simpson	c Daughlish b Moss	0	(2) b Moss	13
R Topham	b Moss	24	(8) b Joy	34
HM Walters	b Moss	2	(9) b Cook	22
WS Jenkins	run out	1	(3) b Moss	6
+H Lyon	b Moss	3	(11) not out	22
C Gibson	b Moss	7	(10) c Baker b Cook	0
*JH Brain	not out	0	b Moss	24
Extras	b4, lb9, w3, nb3	19	b23, lb5, w1	29
Total	(all out)	204	(all out)	303
Fall	1/38, 2/?, 3/?, 4/156, 5 to 10 not known, 11/204		1/?, 2/?, 3/?, 4/54, 5/148, 6/?, 7/211, 8/?, 9/?, 10/265, 11/303	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Moss	53	25	67	8	61.2	26	74	3
Cook	18	9	32	0	12	2	43	3
Baker	18	7	37	1	18	5	47	2
Williamson	5	0	15	0				
Nicholls	2	0	8	0				
Joy	18.3	7	26	1	46	17	88	2
Daughlish					4	0	22	0

KJ Key's XII	First innings		Second innings	
ES Currey	b Harrison	0	(4) b Harrison	3
PC Joy	c Lyon b Gresson	13		
+MJ Daughlish	run out	13	b Harrison	3
RW Budworth	c Papillon b Gresson	1	(2) lbw b Harrison	0
ES Winter	b Gresson	0	(1) b Harrison	39
PT Baker	c Walters b Gresson	42		
RH Moss	c Gibson b Gresson	18		
CH Nicholls	b Gresson	7		
AC Williamson	b Topham	8	(5) not out	0
F Borwick	c Brain b Gresson	0		
TW Cook	b Simpson	22		
*KJ Key	not out	81		
Extras	b11, lb5, w1, nb5	22	b2, nb2	4
Total	(all out)	227	(for 4 wickets)	49
Fall	1 to 4 not known, 5/39, 6/61, 7/?, 8/?, 9/?, 10/118, 11/227		1/8, 2/?, 3/31, 4/49	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Gibson	13	5	33	0	2	0	6	0
Harrison	35	17	64	1	12.2	5	18	4
Gresson	36	10	84	7	11	5	21	0
Topham	6	1	14	1				
St Hill	6	2	10	0				
Simpson	1.1	1	0	1				

Source: *Cricket 1887* page 119; *The Sportsman* 10, 11, 12 May 1887; *Sporting Life* and *Morning Post* 10 May 1887; *Sheffield Daily Telegraph* 12 May 1887

Close of play: Day 1 – Key (1) 61-6 (Baker 10); Day 2 – Brain (2) 193-6 (Gresson 72, Topham 23)

This was the annual Freshmen's match at Oxford University.

Cook and Key added 109 in 50 minutes for the 11th wicket in Key (1).

AN Hornby's XI v Church Minshull 1887				1
Venue	Parkfields, Nantwich, Cheshire	Date	8 June 1887	
Result	AN Hornby's XI won by an innings and 141 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: Hornby 11, Church Minshull 14			

Church Minshull	First innings		Second innings	
J Cobbe	b Briggs	3	absent	-
B Booth	c Forbes b Briggs	2	b Barlow	1
F Davies	b Briggs	0	run out	2
A Plant	st Pilling b Briggs	0	b Barlow	0
TD Mellor	c Barlow b Briggs	0	c Pilling b Briggs	4
RC Bancroft	c Barlow b Briggs	0	b Barlow	0
W Madders	b Briggs	0	c Norton b Barlow	5
GL Jackson	b Briggs	0	not out	0
R Newton	b Briggs	0	c Pilling b Briggs	4
F Pace	b Briggs	0	b Briggs	2
G Newton	b Briggs	0	b Briggs	5
A Robinson	c Barlow b Briggs	3	b Barlow	0
G Fairhurst	c Barlow b Watson	6	b Barlow	0
J Barnett	not out	0	b Briggs	1
Extras		2		1
Total	(all out)	16	(all out)	25
Fall	1 to 12 not known, 13/16		1 to 11 not known, 12/25	
	O	M	R	W
Briggs	8.2	7	5	12
Watson				1
Barlow				6

AN Hornby's XI	First innings			
*AN Hornby	b Mellor	6		
RG Barlow	c Davies b Mellor	25		
J Briggs	b Mellor	96		
W Robinson	b Mellor	0		
E Roper	c Robinson b Mellor	6		
A Watson	b Booth	9		
+ R Pilling	b Mellor	23		
Forbes	b Booth	3		
A Norton	not out	1		
G Sandlands	b Mellor	2		
O Henshall	b Booth	0		
Extras		11		
Total	(all out)	182		
Fall	1/?, 2/?, 3/?, 4/?, 5/?, 6/?, 7/?, 8/?, 9/?, 10/182			
	O	M	R	W
Mellor				7
Booth				3

Source: *Cheshire Observer* 11 June 1887 and *Crewe & Nantwich Chronicle* 11 June 1887, supplemented from *Cricket* 1887 page 201. Not reported in the *Crewe Guardian*.

The match was played to mark the opening of a new ground at the Nantwich home of AN Hornby.

Johnny Briggs reportedly took six wickets with consecutive deliveries in Church Minshull (1) – his last five balls prior to the lunch interval (at which point his analysis read 7-7-0-10) and his first ball after the interval. He thus took his first 11 wickets without conceding a run.

In his *Lives in Cricket* volume on Briggs, Stuart Brodtkin says (on page 39): “[Briggs] finished with 11 for 5, although some reports suggest that his figures were, in fact, 17 for 11” (The latter were his match figures). Brodtkin says that his opponents were “15 [sic] local cricketers from the neighbouring village of Minshull Vernon”. There is no mention of this match in any of three other biographies of Briggs seen in the Lord’s library (Tebay, Williamson, and a third, earlier, book consisting mainly of anecdotes).

## Crewkerne v Axminster 1887

1

Venue	Viney Bridge, Crewkerne, Somerset	Date	11 June 1887
Result	Crewkerne won by an unknown number of wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires			

## Axminster innings

W Charlesworth	c Barnes b Haine	0
G Harris	c S Morse b Millard	0
BL Gerrish	not out	50
+ R Langdon	b Millard	0
J Cann	b Millard	0
S Davis	b Haine	0
J Gerrish	b Haine	0
JF Cox	b Millard	0
W Bidwell	b Haine	0
J England	run out	0
W Pearce	absent	-
Extras	b1, lb1	2
Total	(all out)	52
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/52	

	O	M	R	W
Haine				4
Millard				4

## Crewkerne innings

Rev C Barnes	c Harris b BL Gerrish	59
S Morse	b BL Gerrish	3
J Wheatley	c England b BL Gerrish	0
W Millard	b BL Gerrish	1
G Elers	b Harris	11
Dr WAW Smith	st Langdon b BL Gerrish	1
W Alford	b BL Gerrish	12
H Haine	b Charlesworth	3
C Tomkins	c Harris b BL Gerrish	4
A Hart	b BL Gerrish	5
E Morse	not out	15
Extras		8
Total	(all out)	122
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/122	

	O	M	R	W
BL Gerrish				8
Harris				1
Charlesworth				1

Source: *Exeter & Plymouth Gazette* 13 June 1887; *Bridport News* 17 June 1887; *Chard & Ilminster News* 18 June 1887. The match is also mentioned in various other newspapers, including the *Taunton Courier*, the *Devon Evening Express*, and the *Western Gazette* issues of 17 June 1887.

The *Western Gazette* (alone) says that Axminster had a second innings, in which they reached 47-7.

Some of the scorecards name the Crewkerne no. 11 as 'E Morse', others as 'E Moore'. All sources credit the catch of Harris in the Axminster innings to 'S Morse' rather than just 'Morse', which suggests that there were two players with that surname in their side. For that reason I favour 'E Morse' as their number 11. 'E Morse' is also seen as playing in other Crewkerne fixtures in this season.

Some newspapers say that Haine and Millard each took four wickets for no runs in the Axminster innings, but that seems unlikely.



## Taunton v Bridgwater 1887

5

Venue  
Result  
Toss  
Umpires

Date 1887 season

---

O M R W

---

O M R W

Alerted by Philip Bailey to a claim that EJ Tyler scored 59\* out of 61 for Taunton in this match, with one of the other two runs being an Extra.

No reference to such an event has been traced in the BNA or in *Cricket*. Reports of two matches between these sides in 1887 have been found (at Bridgwater 2 July and at Taunton 13 August) but neither involved Taunton being out for 61, or Tyler scoring 59\* (in the July match Taunton were all out for 68 and 49; in August Tyler made 12 out of a Taunton total of 250).

Even if this occurrence is genuine but in a different season, it is not clear whether or not 61 was Taunton's all-out total, or just the runs scored while Tyler was batting.

Further investigation is still needed.



Erskine v Deaf Mutes 1887/88

3

Venue Melbourne, Victoria

Date 15, 22 October 1887

Result

Toss

Umpires

---

---

---

Gross records that J Bryant took all 20 wickets for Erskine in this match – all bowled - and this is corroborated by newspapers in Trove (*The Argus* 25 October 1887, and *The Sportsman (Melbourne)* of 26 October). Gross (alone) says that his 20 wickets cost 56 runs in total.

The newspapers record that this was a match for the Rupertswood Trophy, and that as well as Bryant, Erskine used three other bowlers in the innings. But no scores or scorecard details are given.

Unknown v Unknown [School match] 1887/88

5

Venue Burraga, Sydney, NSW

Date 1887/88 season

Result Not known

Toss

Umpires

---

---

Gross says that LOS Poidevin took 19 wickets in a school match at Burraga in 1887/88, but the match has not been traced in Trove or any other source. In a separate list, he says that Poidevin also scored 271\* in a school match at Burraga in 1887/88, but without anywhere saying that the two performances might have been in the same match.

Alfred James has advised that “the performances of Poidevin [here] and Woods [page 34] have been sought for years, without success”.

Scepticism about these performances is also contained in Max Bonnell’s biography of Poidevin *Foremost a Cricketer*, in which he writes: ‘Later in life, Poidevin claimed that “when he was 11 years old he made 271 not out for his school and also took 19 wickets out of 20 in the same match”. This ... might have happened but it’s not verified by any surviving report, and it seems strange that such a striking performance would entirely escape attention. The difficulty is that Leslie Poidevin’s recollections of his cricket career are highly unreliable: he rarely lied, exactly, but was prone to significant exaggeration.’

Aldershot Institute v Army College 1888

4

Venue Aldershot, Hampshire

Date 8 May 1888

Result

Toss

Umpires

Extras					
Total					
Fall					
	O	M	R	W	

Extras				
Total				
Fall				
	O	M	R	W

This match, and the all-round feat of EFT Sheldrake in it, was not included in the list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135 of players scoring a century and taking all-ten in the same match, but it was brought to notice in an addendum in *Cricket Quarterly* volume 6, on page 53. Robert Brooke includes this match in the equivalent list in his 'Milestones' column in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32.

Brooke says that EFT Sheldrake scored 120\* and took 10 wickets (runs conceded not known) for Aldershot Institute. The addendum in *CQ* is more cautious, noting that their source says that he took 'all the wickets of his opponents', which may or may not have meant that he took all-ten.

Edgar Sheldrake had played three first-class matches for Hampshire in 1884-85.

The match has not been traced in BNA or *Cricket*. There is no reference to it in the *Hampshire Herald & Aldershot Army Review* in the period May to September 1888 inclusive.

United Services v South Hampshire Rovers 1888				1N
Venue	United Services Ground, Portsmouth		Date	25, 26 May 1888
Result	South Hampshire Rovers won by an innings and 70 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

South Hampshire Rovers First innings

LG Bonham-Carter	c Troup b Hinde	180			
E Hall	lbw b Hinde	23			
PJT Henery	b Hornby	13			
Maj Bethune	b Hinde	0			
Capt Hulse	c Troup b Barton	23			
W Hynes	b Hornby	1			
TH Wilson	run out	11			
AS Hurst	c Troup b Hinde	19			
Capt Pigeon	c Godwin-Austen b Barton	13			
HH Felgate	b Hinde	5			
HF Hastings	not out	115			
Extras		5			
Total	(all out)	418			
Fall	1-8 not known, 9/207, 10/418				
	O	M	R	W	
Hinde				5	
Hornby				2	
Barton				2	

United Services First innings

United Services First innings		Second innings (following on)			
HR Troup	lbw b Felgate	23	c Henery b Hastings	7	
F Stebbing	b Felgate	55	b Hastings	0	
Lt Barton	b Hynes	55	st Wilson b Henery	0	
HA Hornby	b Hynes	45	b Henery	12	
Maj Griffiths	c Hurst b Hastings	51	absent	-	
A Godwin-Austen	c Felgate b Henery	16	b Henery	5	
Capt Maturin	c Felgate b Henery	9	c Felgate b Henery	1	
Lt Gibsone	not out	16	c Hall b Henery	11	
Dr Hinde	c and b Henery	0	lbw b Henery	2	
Lt Wood	b Hynes	2	not out	9	
Capt Milton	b Hastings	0	b Henery	0	
Extras		18		6	
Total	(all out)	295	(all out)	53	
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/295		1-8 not known, 9/53		
	O	M	R	W	
Felgate				2	
Hynes				3	
Hastings				2	2
Henery				3	7

Source: *Hampshire Telegraph* 26 May and 2 June 1888; *The Sportsman* 26 May 1888

The partnership of 211 for the Rovers' tenth wicket between Bonham-Carter and Hastings is confirmed in both these sources, and is noted in various other contemporary newspapers. According to the *Telegraph* it lasted just 75 minutes.

Close of Play, Day 1: United Services (1) 44-0 (Troup 13, Stebbing 31).

School House v Laxton House 1888				1
Venue	Oundle School, Northamptonshire	Date	26, 29 May 1888	
Result	School House won by 517 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

School House	First innings		Second innings	
RE Lewis	b Hammond	0	c Hammond b Mayou	58
CH Farmer	c Mayou b Hammond	4	b Mayou	8
*RAA Beresford	not out	102	not out	307
H Sutthery	b Hammond	24	b Mayou	6
CF Badeley	c Preston b Hammond	0	b Hammond	1
WHV Reade	b Hammond	6	b Hammond	58
JCA Rigby	c and b Mayou	9	b Hammond	2
GB Lane	b Mayou	8	c Preston b Mayou	0
WN Dickson	b Mayou	0	c Robinson b Mayou	3
EH Farmer	c Palmer b Hammond	0	b Mayou	9
TC Vipian	b Hammond	2	b Mayou	10
Extras		7		22
Total	(all out)	162	(all out)	484
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/162		1 to 9 not known, 10/484	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Hammond				7				3
Mayou				3				7

Laxton House	First innings		Second innings	
CE Morgan	c CH Farmer b Beresford	7	c Dickson b Sutthery	1
DC Grose	b Beresford	6	b Sutthery	1
A Ritchie	c Badeley b Beresford	10	c Lewis b Sutthery	6
AC Hammond	b Beresford	2	not out	7
FR Mayou	not out	44	run out	8
CL Robinson	b Beresford	4	c CH Farmer b Badeley	1
CS Palmer	b Beresford	0	b Badeley	0
HL Preston	lbw b Badeley	8	b Badeley	0
EB Bostock	b Badeley	0	c Lewis b Sutthery	0
CE Andrews	b Badeley	0	c Lane b Sutthery	4
JW Bostock	run out	1	b Sutthery	0
Extras		15		4
Total	(all out)	97	(all out)	32
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/97		1 to 9 not known, 10/32	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Beresford				6				
Badeley				3				3
Sutthery								6

Source: *The Laxtonian* (Oundle School magazine) for July 1888, courtesy of Elspeth Langsdale (Oundle School Archivist). Scorecard also in *Sporting Life* 2 June 1888, and the match is noted in *Cricket* 1888 p 185

There are various differences between the scorecards in *The Laxtonian* and in *Sporting Life*, but the former has been preferred. Principal differences: *Sporting Life* has Laxton (1) all out 101 (with adjustments to certain batters' scores), with Bostock dismissed 'b Beresford' (which would have been his seventh wicket in the innings). His *Wisden* obituary (1942) also says that he took seven wickets in the innings.

*The Laxtonian* makes no further reference to this game, or to Beresford's performance in it. In its scores of other matches, it shows that Beresford made 225\* for the school v Old Oundelians on 14/15 June 1888, which may have been his next innings after this game. In the meantime School House had played another house match, but it is not clear whether Beresford played in it, as the magazine does not give the full score; if he did, he scored less than 30, since School House were dismissed for 87 in their only innings, of which Sutthery made 58.

Richard Augustus Agincourt Beresford (1869-1941) went on to play seven first-class matches for Cambridge University 1889-91, without winning a Blue, and seven Minor Counties matches for Norfolk 1901-10.

Ettrick v Cheam Common 1889		1N
Venue	Sutton, Surrey	Date 4 May 1889
Result	Ettrick won by an innings and 60 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

Cheam Common	First innings		Second innings	
Connor	b Quinn	2	run out	0
Hook	b Sanders	1	b King	0
Blake	b Sanders	0	not out	0
+ Hammond	b Quinn	0	b Hill	0
Cuff	b Sanders	0	b Hill	1
York	b Sanders	0	run out	0
Everest	c Hammond b Sanders	0	b King	0
Oram	run out	1	b Hill	0
Edwards	b Quinn	0	run out	0
Downs	b Sanders	0	b Hill	1
Peters	not out	0	b Hill	2
Extras		0		0
Total	(all out)	4	(all out)	4
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/4		1-9 not known, 10/4	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Quinn				3				
Sanders				6				
King								2
Hill								5

Ettrick	First innings	
G Pickard	b Cuff	13
GA King	c Connor b Cuff	9
W Hammond	b Blake	6
W Adams	b Cuff	18
JH Quinn	b Cuff	4
G Hill	c Connor b Blake	3
T Sanders	not out	5
A Brown	b Cuff	3
T Jenner	b Cuff	0
T Powell	st Hammond b Cuff	4
H Shell	c Everest b Cuff	0
Extras		13
Total		68
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/68	

	O	M	R	W
Cuff				7
Blake				3

Source: *Croydon Advertiser* 11 May 1889



## South Woodford v Amethyst 1889

1N

Venue	Woodford, Essex	Date	18 May 1889
Result	South Woodford won by 129 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

### South Woodford innings

G England	b Rutley	17
A Lindus	b Rutley	10
R England	b Rutley	10
Campbell	b Rutley	20
G Wiggins	run out	3
Dobson	b Rutley	29
Hobbs	not out	20
Finmore	b Middlemas	0
Kind	b Rutley	6
Perrin	c and b Middlemas	1
Pettitt	b Middlemas	0
Extras		13
Total	(all out)	129
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/129	

	O	M	R	W
Rutley				6
Middlemas				3

### Amethyst innings

Twigis	c and b Wiggins	0
Nicholls	b Lindus	0
Robinson	b Lindus	0
Esterre	b Lindus	0
Webbe	b Lindus	0
Crowfoot	not out	0
Turner	c R England b Wiggins	0
Middlemas	b Lindus	0
Rutley	b Wiggins	0
Hunter	b Wiggins	0
Alexander	run out	0
Extras		0
Total	(all out)	0
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0	

	O	M	R	W
Lindus	4	4	0	5
Wiggins	4	4	0	5

Source: Full scorecard from the *Woodford Times* of 24 May 1889. Also noted in *The Sportsman* 22 May 1889 and *Cricket* 23 May 1889 (page 137).

*Cricket* gives the South Woodford total as 130 rather than 129.

Lindus performed the hat-trick in the Amethyst innings.

The Amethyst club is from Stoke Newington in North London.



White Street Athletic Club v Huish Grammar School 1889			1N
Venue	North Curry, Somerset	Date	3 July 1889
Result	Huish Grammar School won by 109 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Huish Grammar School		First innings		Second innings	
CH Venning	c – Edwards	b Coombs	4	not out	67
JW Wilson	b Coombs		3	b Thorold	3
HRC Butler	b – Edwards		0	c and b Coombs	1
CR Humphrey	c A Wolfe	b Coombs	4	b Thorold	4
EC Derham	c A Wolfe	b Coombs	5	run out	2
C Lucas	b – Edwards		10	b Coombs	0
J Walker	run out		2	b Thorold	3
C Samson	b – Edwards		6	b - Edwards	3
W Ludlow	b Coombs		1	b – Edwards	0
C Browning	b Coombs		0	c A Wolfe	b Coombs
C Keir	not out		0	b Coombs	1
Extras	b2		2		10
Total	(all out)		37	(all out)	96
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/37			1-9 not known, 10/96	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Coombs				6				4
- Edwards				3				2
Thorold								3

White Street AC		First innings		Second innings	
FJ Baker	b Butler		0	c Venning	b Wilson
WE Janes	b Venning		0	c Butler	b Venning
FJ Coombs	b Venning		0	c Lucas	b Venning
FT Thorold	b Venning		0	c Keir	b Venning
CE Davis	b Butler		0	c Humphrey	b Wilson
AR Wolfe	b Venning		0	b Venning	
WM Edwards	b Butler		0	c and b Butler	
J Stone	c Derham	b Butler	0	not out	
GH Tattle	b Venning		0	c Butler	b Venning
WP Edwards	not out		0	b Wilson	
A Wolfe	absent		0	c and b Venning	
Extras	b2		2	w2	
Total	(all out)		2	(all out)	22
Fall	1-8 not known, 9/2			1-9 not known, 10/22	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Butler				4				1
Venning				5				6
Wilson								3

Source: *Taunton Courier* 10 July 1889

The source also gives the spellings 'Coombes' and 'Combs' in places for the successful White Street bowler, but 'Coombs' is the spelling most frequently given.

The full name of the home team is given as 'White-Street AC (Mr Wolfe's North Curry Classes)'

It is not known which Edwards took wickets and held catches for White Street, but evidence from other matches indicates that WM Edwards was an established bowler for the side.

## Banbury Rovers v Rev R Edwards' XI 1889

1N

Venue	Banbury, Oxfordshire	Date	20 July 1889
Result	Rev R Edwards' XI won by 143 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

### Rev R Edwards' XI innings

HL Talbot	lbw b Reynolds	14
W Golby. sen	lbw b Reynolds	11
A Podmore	c Cox b Reynolds	30
FT Cowlshaw	b – Viggers	12
Rev R Edwards	b Ward	31
HJ Tilney	b Ward	4
W Strong	c Newman b Prescott	1
C Golby	not out	21
W Golby, jun	c Ward b – Viggers	9
W Berridge	c Newman b – Viggers	6
Rev A Blythman	b Reynolds	0
Extras		10
Total	(all out)	149
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/149	

	O	M	R	W
Reynolds				4
- Viggers				3
Ward				2
Prescott				1

### Banbury Rovers innings

A Prescott	b Podmore	0
J Ward	b Podmore	0
W Young	b C Golby	0
G Cakebread	b C Golby	0
L Newman	b Podmore	0
H Fowler	b Podmore	0
J Garrett	c Edwards b C Golby	0
JH Viggers	not out	0
TC Viggers	c Berridge b C Golby	0
F Cox	c Berridge b C Golby	0
G Reynolds	b Podmore	0
Extras	b5, nb1	6
Total	(all out)	6
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/6	

	O	M	R	W
Podmore			0	5
C Golby			0	5

Source: *Banbury Advertiser* and *Evening News (Portsmouth)*, both for 25 July 1889, courtesy of Harry Watton. Also referred to on page 247 of James Lillywhite's *Cricketers' Annual* for 1890.

Some sources name the victorious side as Rev A Blythman's XI.

It is not known which Viggers took the wickets in the Edwards' XI innings.

Pioneer v Standing's 1889		1N
Venue	Accrington, Lancashire	Date 19 August 1889
Result	Standing's won by an unknown margin	
Toss		
Umpires		

#### Standing's innings

J Dickinson	b Foster	0
TW Lord	b Foster	6
J Drake	c Wellington b Spencer	8
W Horne	b Foster	1
D Dearden	c Wellington b Foster	0
+ FJ Gregory	st Worsley b Spencer	0
TR Barnett	b Spencer	0
G Boothway	st Worsley b Spencer	2
T Hargreaves	not out	8
J Singleton	c Smithies b Spencer	2
W Standing	b Spencer	0
Extras		2
Total	(all out)	29
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/29	

	O	M	R	W
Foster				4
Spencer				6

#### Pioneer innings

+ W Worsley	b Drake	0
W Whittaker	b Horne	0
Smithies	st Gregory b Drake	0
A Foster	b Drake	0
T Whittaker	b Drake	0
S Spencer	b Drake	0
J Wellington	b Drake	0
S Ellison	b Horne	0
J Broadley	c Horne b Drake	0
E Whittaker	b Drake	0
Pollard	not out	0
Extras		0
Total	(all out)	0
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0	

	O	M	R	W
Drake			0	8
Horne			0	2

Source: *Accrington Times* 24 August 1889

The source names the teams in full as Standing's (Howard and Bullough's) Team v Pioneer (Whittaker's) Team. This was a match in the Accrington Cricket Medal Competition.

Drake is reported to have taken six wickets in eight balls during the Pioneer innings, with a hat-trick in one over.

Howard & Bullough of Accrington were a leading manufacturer of textile machines; Whittaker's, based at the Pioneer Works in Accrington, were manufacturers of mangles.

Harrow Constitutional v St Pancras United 1890				1
Venue	Harrow Recreation Ground, Middlesex	Date	3 May 1890	
Result	St Pancras United won by 209 runs			
Toss	St Pancras United			
Umpires				

St Pancras United innings

Keys	b Stephenson	51
WA Towerzey	not out	100
AF Hankins	not out	49
JW Wood		
J Roberts		
HL King		
DT Morgan		
Fordham		
G Killick		
Rowe		
Stanley		
Extras		9
Total	(for 1 wicket, declared)	209
Fall	1/?	

	O	M	R	W
Stephenson				1

Harrow Constitutional innings

Groom	b Hankins	0
Barwell	b Killick	0
Earley	b Hankins	0
Cooper	b Hankins	0
Liddell	b Hankins	0
Stephenson	b Killick	0
Ashby	b Hankins	0
Trotter	b Hankins	0
Conway	b Hankins	0
Walter	not out	0
Kay	b Hankins	0
Extras		0
Total	(all out)	0
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0	

	O	M	R	W
Hankins	4	4	0	8
Killick	3	3	0	2

Source: *Sporting Life* 7 May 1890; *Cricket* 1890 page 110; *Uxbridge & West Drayton Gazette* 10 May 1890; players' initials from the *Maidenhead Advertiser* 20 August 1890.

*Cricket* states that Harrow Constitutional were "dismissed in seven overs" – whether that was a full seven overs (as assumed here) or 'within seven overs' is not absolutely clear.

St Augustine's College, Ramsgate v Kent College, Canterbury 1890			1N
Venue	Pegwell, Ramsgate, Kent	Date	29 May 1890
Result	St Augustine's College won by an innings and 67 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Kent College	First innings		Second innings	
Allen	b H Henley	0	b Purcell	1
Hagger	b Purcell	0	c P Somellera b Purcell	3
Wacher	b H Henley	0	b Purcell	3
AR Hobbs	b H Henley	0	c P Somellera b Purcell	0
EC Hobbs	c Kavanagh b H Henley	0	run out	3
Cox	b H Henley	0	b H Henley	12
Riggall	b Purcell	0	c Darnell b Whiteford	5
Webster	retired hurt	0	absent hurt	-
Capeling	not out	0	not out	4
Graham	b H Henley	0	c and b Whiteford	0
Dawson	b H Henley	0	b H Henley	8
Extras		0	b7, lb1, w2, nb1	11
Total	(all out)	0	(all out)	50
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0		1-8 not known, 9/50	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
H Henley			0	7				2
Purcell			0	2				4
Whiteford								2

St Augustine's College	First innings	
S Mapath	run out	8
R Henley	c sub b – Hobbs	3
X Bumejillo	c Cox b Dawson	58
C Purcell	run out	4
P Somellera	b – Hobbs	4
H Henley	c Cox b Dawson	5
G Whiteford	c Dawson b Wacher	3
W Darnell	c Allen b – Hobbs	9
T Kavanagh	b Wacher	1
- Somellera	b Wacher	0
W Dalley	not out	0
Extras	b21, lb1	22
Total	(all out)	117
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/117	

	O	M	R	W
- Hobbs				3
Dawson				2
Wacher				3

Source: *The Field* 7 June 1890, *Thanet Advertiser* 31 May 1890, James Lillywhite's *Cricketers' Annual* for 1891 (page 257).

The spellings of Bumejillo and H Henley are as given in *The Field*; in the *Advertiser* they are given as Bermigillo and Howley. No-one with either spelling of the former name appears in the UK's 1891 census.

It is not known which Hobbs took wickets for Kent College.

Yorkshire Gentlemen v Heworth Revellers 1890		1N
Venue	York, Yorkshire	Date 16 August 1890
Result	Yorkshire Gentlemen won on first innings by 21 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

Heworth Revellers	First innings		Second innings	
W Watson	b Siddall	8	st Carter b Wisker	[17]
GH Nelson	b Siddall	2	not out	6
W Johnson	st Carter b Wisker	14		
WS Whittaker	b Siddall	0	st Carter b Wisker	1
H Randon	run out	14	b Siddall	32
T Watson	c Siddall b Wisker	4		
T Rhodes	c Siddall b Wisker	1	st Carter b Wisker	0
H Smith	st Carter b Wisker	0	st Carter b Wisker	0
J Hancock	c sub b Wisker	3	st Carter b Wisker	0
WJ Grey	not out	4	b Siddall	0
FJ Taylor	b Wisker	0	b Wisker	1
Extras		0		0
Total	(all out)	50	(for 8 wickets)	57
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/50		1 to 8 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Wisker				6				6
Siddall				3				2

Yorkshire Gentlemen	First innings	
Lt-Col Lees	c and b Randon	0
Capt Savile	b Whittaker	7
+ ES Carter	b Randon	6
A Siddall	lbw b Randon	17
JT Wisker	c Taylor b Whittaker	0
G Fairfax	c Hancock b Randon	1
B Fairfax	b Whittaker	10
T Whitehead	b Whittaker	27
S Richardson	b Johnson	3
H Nixon	lbw b Johnson	0
EB Firth	not out	0
Extras		0
Total	(all out)	71
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/71	

	O	M	R	W
Randon				4
Whittaker				4
Johnson				2

Source: *Sheffield Daily Telegraph* 18 August 1890.

The *Sheffield Daily Telegraph* shows the Yorkshire Gentlemen batting first, but it seems more likely that the Revellers batted first and third.

Wisker performed the hat-trick in Heworth Revellers (2), all three victims being stumped by Rev ES Carter. It is not clear which were the three batters concerned. The latter feat is also noted in the *Lives in Cricket* volume on ES Carter (on p.66)

The *Telegraph* does not give a score for Watson in Revellers (2), but to make the total add to the stated 57 he would have had to score 17.

Both GF and EG Lees, and both JH and RC Savile, played for the Yorkshire Gentlemen in 1890. As the scorecard in the newspaper gives the Gentlemen's openers their military ranks rather than their initials, to avoid any uncertainty they have also been given those ranks here.

The names of the sides as given here are believed to be correct; other variants (Haworth Revellers; York Revellers [for the Gentlemen's XI]) have also been seen.



Albert v Stanley 1890/91			1N
Venue	Association Ground, Breakfast Creek, Queensland	Date	31 January, 7 February 1891
Result	Albert won by 84 runs		
Toss	Albert		
Umpires			

Albert	First Innings				Second Innings					
G Dyer	c sub (Bradley) b McMillan				1	b Ayers			9	
*H Symes	b Coningham				11	(6)	not out			10
WT Fisher	b Coningham				20	b McMillan			22	
R Newman	b Coningham				15	b McMillan			9	
W Kitchen	hit wicket b Coningham				4	hit wicket b Ayers			14	
W Bradley	not out				28	(2)	run out			3
TW Anderson	b Coningham				0	absent			-	
H Silvester	b Wilson b Warry				0	absent			-	
J Cowan	run out				5	(8)	b Ayers			3
HJ Darragh	b Coningham				0	(7)	b McMillan			0
A Thompson	run out				0	(9)	b Ayers			0
Extras	(b3, lb3)				6				3	
Total	(all out)				90	(all out)			73	
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/90					1 to 7 not known, 8/73				
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W		
Coningham	15	3	30	6						
Silvester	6	0	35	1						
Warry	6	0	13	1						
Wilson	2.1	0	6	0						
McMillan					13.2	1	41	4		
Ayers					14	1	29	3		
Stanley	First Innings				Second Innings					
A Coningham	not out				26	absent			-	
G Warry	c Cowan b Darragh				0	absent			-	
J McMillan	c and b Darragh				0	b Symes			4	
CFS Pennell	b Darragh				0	(1)	c and b Darragh			15
J Wilson	run out				0	b Darragh			3	
*P Roberts	b Silvester				0	not out			15	
+ Shaw	b Silvester				0	b Kitchen			0	
J C Higginson	b Silvester				0	absent			-	
L Bliss	st Bradley b Darragh				0	absent			-	
C Munro	(absent)				-	(2)	lbw b Symes			8
Ayers	(absent)				-	(4)	b Symes			0
Extras					0				10	
Total	(all out)				26	(all out)			53	
Fall	1 to 7 not known, 8/26					1 to 5 not known, 6/53				
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W		
Silvester	4	0	23	3						
Darragh	3.3	1	3	4	8	1	23	2		
Symes					6	0	15	3		
Kitchen					1.1	0	4	1		

Source: *Brisbane Courier* 2 February 1891 (first innings); *The Queenslander* 14 February 1891 (second innings); *The Referee* 11 February 1891. A full scorecard of Stanley (1), and some other details, are given on pp 71-72 of Ken Piesse's *Extraordinary book of Australian cricket*; in the event of differences, the contemporary sources have been preferred.

Close of play, Day 1: Stanley (1) 26-8

Silvester took a hat-trick for Albert in Stanley (1). Kitchen was dismissed 'hit wicket' in both Albert innings.

Arthur Coningham (Test cricketer) was the star of the first day, but did not appear for the second. The non-appearance of several players for the second day of play caused considerable dissatisfaction. Coningham's innings of 26 included one four, two fives and one six.

The match, between two Brisbane club sides, was for the Aitchison Ale Trophy.

<b>Sydney v Warwick 1890/91</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	University Oval, Sydney	Date	7, 14, 21 February 1891	
Result	Sydney won by an innings and 439 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Warwick	First innings			Second innings	
Scanlon	c Noble b McGraw	13	(4)	b Newell	3
G Watson	c McGraw b Clarke	14	(1)	hit wicket b Newell	0
F Elliott	run out	0		absent	-
JR Nash	b Noble	30	(2)	b McGraw	1
W Johnston	b Newell	27	(3)	c Gregory b Newell	7
Paton	b Newell	0	(5)	not out	2
Wilson	b Newell	0		absent	-
Hadley	run out	12		absent	-
Simpson	c Noble b Newell	0	(7)	b Newell	9
Colless	lbw b Noble	3	(6)	c Clarke b McGraw	0
+ Nunn	not out	0		absent	-
Extras		2		lb1	1
Total	(all out)	101		(all out)	23
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/80, 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/101			1 to 5 not known, 6/23	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Newell				4			9	4
Noble				2				
McGraw				1			13	2
Clarke				1				

Sydney	First innings	
ACK Mackenzie	lbw b Nash	0
AE Clarke	run out	24
HJW Robinson	b Nash	8
JT Cottam	b Nash	10
AL Newell	c Nunn b Colless	7
R McGraw	c Paton b Johnston	0
+ J Searle	c Paton b Hadley	13
SE Gregory	b Hadley	235
EG Noble	b Paton	227
W Templeton	not out	23
J Robinson	run out	2
Extras		14
Total	(all out)	563
Fall	1 to 6 not known, 7/66, 8/529, 9/? , 10/563	

	O	M	R	W
Nash				3
Hadley				2
Paton				1
Colless				1
Johnston				1

Source: *Referee* (Sydney) 11, 18, 25 February 1891; *Sydney Morning Herald* 11 February 1891 [there is nothing on this match in any later edition]; *Sydney Mail* 14 February 1891; *Cricketer* 1891 page 42. The match was also noted in various other newspapers outside NSW.

Close of Play: Day 1 – Sydney 106-7 (Gregory 12, Noble 27); Day 2 – Sydney 563 all out.

See notes on following page

The edition of *Referee* for 25 February gives Warwick (1) 107 all out, losing by an innings and 433 runs. The above card of the innings, from earlier editions of the paper, totals correctly to 101. The earlier editions have also been followed over players' names; the 25 February paper gives Johnson, Scanlan, Payten and Corless [all sic] in the Warwick XI. The spelling 'Payton' has also been seen.

Gross (and also *The Sportsman* [Melbourne] on 18 February) say that Gregory and Noble added 442 for Sydney's eighth wicket, but no fall of wicket details are given in any source. The *Referee* says the partnership added 463, of which 423 were added on the second day of the match (in 3¼ hours). From the CoP score on Day 1, this implies that the seventh wicket fell at 66, and the eighth at 529. The *Sportsman* again gave the size of the partnership in its edition of 25 February, this time with a figure of 469 (though the last digit is uncertain). *Cricket* says that the 8th wicket fell at 563, but this is clearly incompatible with the above scorecard.

Further investigation reveals that the Sydney newspapers (*Evening News*, *Referee*, *Town & Country Journal*) all give the size of the partnership as 463, while those from elsewhere (*Sportsman* and *Herald* from Melbourne, and the *Adelaide Observer*) all give a figure of 442. I am inclined to go with the more local sources. However, Alfred James reports that the Sydney CC Annual Report, as cited in the *Sydney Morning Herald* of 29 August 1891, gives the size of the partnership as 421. This figure does not tally with any of the more detailed information as cited in the previous paragraph, and in the absence of firmer confirmation I still prefer the figure of 463.

Most sources indicate that the dismissal of Noble – who was first out, to end the partnership – was 'bowled', but the *Referee* gives it as 'st – b Paton', while another source (unknown) gives it as 'st Nunn b Paton'. The *SMH* is alone in giving the dismissal of Cottam earlier in the innings as 'st Simpson b Nash'.

The identity of 'Noble' in the eighth-wicket partnership is uncertain. Gross has 'EG', *Cricket* in 1899 (page 195) has 'MA', the *Referee* (11 Feb) has 'E'; and *Referee* (18 Feb), *The Age* (Melbourne, 16 Feb), the *South Australian Chronicle* (Adelaide, 21 Feb) all have 'A'. It may have been MA (who was sometimes known as 'Alf'), who would have been just past his 18th birthday when this match was played; this is consistent with newspaper reports that suggest that the batter in the partnership was an up and coming player rather than an established one. However, this might also apply to his brother EG (Ted) Noble, who would have been just 26, although he had not yet played first-class cricket for NSW. Alfred James says that it was certainly EG Noble who shared in the partnership (as does the Sydney CC annual report referred to earlier), and I have accepted this.

In the Sydney innings, J Robinson was a substitute for J Davis, who had had to leave the ground early.

West Wratting Park v Fitzwilliam Hostel 1891				6
Venue	West Wratting, Cambridgeshire	Date	21 May 1891	
Result	No result			
Toss				
Umpires				

West Wratting Park innings

WN Cobbold	b Stubbs	163		
WR Gray	not out	218		
HR Mayer				
FW Bedford				
J Openshaw				
EC Clay				
WH Napier				
A Hill				
A Whittaker				
C Vaudry				
FA Breuil				
Extras		59		
Total	(for 1 wicket)	440		
Fall	1/440			
	O	M	R	W
Stubbs				1

Fitzwilliam Hostel - did not bat

Stubbs

Source: *Sporting Life* 23 May 1891; *The Graphic* 30 May 1891; *Cricket* 1891 page 493. Other potential sources examined (the *Cambridge Daily News*, *Cambridge Chronicle*, *Cambridge Independent Press*) do not provide any additional information. A summary scorecard is included in Cricket Archive, but it does not name all the 11 players in the West Wratting team.

The names of the West Wratting numbers 10 and 11 have been corrected by reference to the scorecards of other matches in *Cricket* between 30 April and 12 May 1891 (research by Harry Watton).

WN (Nevill) Cobbold was a footballer of high renown, who played nine internationals for England between 1882/83 and 1886/87, scoring six goals. At club level he represented Old Carthusians, Cambridge University and the Corinthians.

Parson Drove v Gedney Hill 1891

3

Venue	Murrow, Cambridgeshire	Date	11 August 1891
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			

Gedney Hill innings

---

	Extras					
	Total	(all out)			1	
Fall		1 to 9 not known, 10/7			7	
			O	M	R	W
Hall			2	1	2	9

Parson Drove innings

---

WC Hall

No other details known

	Extras					
	Total					
Fall						
			O	M	R	W

Source: *Cricket* 27 August 1891; *Eastern Daily Press* 31 August 1891. The match is not noted in the locally-circulating *Wisbech Standard*, nor in the *Wisbech Constitutional Gazette* or the *North Cambridgeshire Echo*.

In the Gedney Hill innings, Hall is reported to have taken five wickets in one over and nine wickets in ten balls. It is not known whether the match used 5-ball or 6-ball overs.

Gross records Hall's feat of taking nine wickets in ten balls, but does not include his performance in his list of bowlers taking 9-2 in an innings.

<b>Rushey Green v Royal Artillery NCOs 1891</b>				<b>2</b>
Venue	Rushey Green, Catford, Kent	Date	12 September 1891	
Result	Rushey Green won by 29 runs on first innings			
Toss				
Umpires				

Rushey Green	First innings		Second innings	
A Hearne	run out	18	b Sharpe	5
W Kirkby	c Langford b Sharpe	22	c Langford b Sharpe	15
AE McBain	c and b Holland	13	st Bailey b Langford	27
W Hassall	lbw b Sharpe	22	b Langford	5
GG Hearne	c Bailey b Holland	0	c Longsdon b Langford	7
E Pawle	c – Williams b Sharpe	25	b Sharpe	0
HP Digby	b Holland	1	st Bailey b Langford	2
FW Smith	run out	0	st Bailey b Langford	0
G Bennett	b Holland	2	st Bailey b Langford	0
T French	not out	4	b Sharpe	3
G Beynon	c Seville b Sharpe	8	not out	0
Extras		8		9
Total	(all out)	123	(all out)	73
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/123		1-9 not known, 10/73	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Sharpe				4				4
Holland				4				
Langford								6

Royal Artillery NCOs	First innings		Second innings	
Sharpe	b A Hearne	0		
Seville	b A Hearne	7	not out	6
Holland	b GG Hearne	4		
Giles	c Kirkby b A Hearne	2	b McBain	5
Langford	b A Hearne	40		
R Williams	b GG Hearne	0		
Young	b GG Hearne	18		
Bratby	b GG Hearne	0	not out	3
W Longsdon	not out	5	c and b Kirkby	3
J Williams	c Digby b A Hearne	4		
+ Bailey	c A Hearne b GG Hearne	4		
Extras		10		2
Total	(all out)	94	(for 2 wickets)	19
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/94		1-2 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
A Hearne				5				
GG Hearne				5				
McBain								1
Kirkby								1

Gross records that FJ Bailey recorded a hat-trick of stumpings in this match for the NCOs. He refers to the fixture as 'Royal Artillery NCOs v GG Hearne's XI', but the above match-title is correct. The feat is also mentioned on page 229 of James Lillywhite's Cricket Annual for 1892.

Full scorecard from the *Kentish Mercury* 18 September 1891. There is no explicit corroboration of the stumping hat-trick in that paper, but it is clearly possible from the scorecard.

Streatham v Marylebone Cricket Club 1892				1
Venue	Streatham, Surrey	Date	16 July 1892	
Result	Streatham won on first innings by 60 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: 12			

Marylebone Cricket Club	First innings		Second innings	
ET Hodgson	lbw b Bettington	0	b Morgan	0
+ Davenport	b Brann	2	c Bettington b Thesiger	6
SR Jackson	c Thesiger b Brann	4	c Thesiger b Bambridge	25
J Turner	c Trollope b Bettington	1	absent	-
AD Pougher	c Higson b Bettington	13	c and b Thesiger	10
AC Broadbent	run out	1	b Bettington	0
TP Hilder	b Brann	1	b Morgan	10
CW Aston Key	c Higson b Bettington	3	b Morgan	9
W Bird	not out	0	not out	0
Geeson	run out	20	b Thesiger	3
HR Jardine	c Trollope b Dixon	0	c Higson b Morgan	1
JFW Taylor	c Brann b Dixon	3	c Scott b Thesiger	0
Extras	b6, lb2	8	b2	2
Total	(all out)	56	(all out)	66
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/56		1 to 10 not known, 11/66	
	O	M	R	W
Bettington				4
Brann				3
Dixon				2
Morgan				4
Thesiger				4
Bambridge				1

Streatham	First innings			
FJ Dixon	c Aston Key b Pougher	1		
JH Bettington	c Geeson b Pougher	16		
WS Trollope	st Davenport b Pougher	0		
WG Thesiger	b Pougher	24		
G Brann	st Davenport b Pougher	14		
CL Morgan	b Pougher	0		
HH Scott	st Davenport b Pougher	27		
AN Morley	c Aston Key b Pougher	6		
EC Bambridge	b Pougher	0		
NC Bailey	c and b Pougher	0		
WR Higson	st Davenport b Pougher	19		
E Bailey	not out	0		
Extras	b9	9		
Total	(all out)	116		
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/116			
	O	M	R	W
Pougher				11

Source: *MCC Scores* for 1892. Dick Pougher (later of Leicestershire) took all 11 wickets in the Streatham innings; he had played his only Test for England in South Africa in the previous winter.

Lincoln Lindum v Old Reptonians 1892				1
Venue	Lincoln	Date	27, 28 July 1892	
Result	Lincoln Lindum won by an innings and 80 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Lincoln Lindum	First innings	
H Ellison	b Palairt	13
*GF Wells-Cole	c Ford b Glover	100
W Melbourne	lbw b Palairt	36
AK Brook	c Barrington b Ford	44
F Tweed	b Glover	2
AF Fellowes	c Barrington b Ford	12
RJ Mee	b Ford	9
RM Weldon	b Ford	0
F Thornton	st Palairt b Barrington	16
T Brown	c Dewhurst b Exham	9
RA Strawson	not out	14
Extras		17
Total	(all out)	272
Fall	1/29, 2/105, 3/162, 4/?, 5/?, 6/?, 7/?, 8/?, 9/?, 10/272	

	O	M	R	W
Ford	38	11	73	4
Palairt	25	9	60	2
Exham	12.1	0	41	1
Kearsey	7	2	12	0
Forman	5	0	16	0
Glover	9	0	26	2
Barrington	6	1	17	1
Dewhurst	4	1	10	0

Old Reptonians	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
GB Barrington	b Mee	0 (3)	b Mee	2
JH Kelsey	b Mee	5 (4)	st Brown b Mee	17
LCH Palairt	b Mee	0 (5)	b Mee	13
FGJ Ford	b Mee	0	c Ellison b Mee	0
RG Tomlinson	not out	33 (1)	b Mee	41
ACS Glover	c Ellison b Mee	3	c Melbourne b Wells-Cole	28
PG Exham	b Mee	13	c Ellison b Mee	6
GP Dewhurst	b Mee	0	b Mee	0
EF Kearsey	b Mee	11	not out	0
JG Lecky	b Mee	7	lbw b Mee	0
Rev AFE Forman	b Mee	0 (2)	b Mee	3
Extras		6		4
Total	(all out)	78	(all out)	114
Fall	1/1, 2/1, 3/1, 4/8, 5/14, 6/34, 7/?, 8/64, 9/78, 10/78		1/12, 2/?, 3/48, 4/?, 5/?, 6/?, 7/?, 8/?, 9/?, 10/114	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Mee	17	3	40	10	18.1	3	60	9
Strawson	16	5	32	0	4	0	12	0
Wells-Cole					14	4	38	1

Source: *Lincolnshire Chronicle* 29 July 1892; *Sheffield Independent* 28 July 1892; *Sheffield Daily Telegraph* 29 July 1892; *Sporting Life* 29 July 1892; *Derby Mercury* 3 August 1892

Close of play, Day 1: Old Reptonians (1) 49-7 (Tomlinson 24, Kearsey 1)

RJ Mee took 19 wickets for 100 in the match, including a hat-trick in the Old Reptonians first innings (Barrington, Palairt, Ford)



AC Bell's XII v Melksham Choir 1892			3
Venue	Seend Cleeve, Wiltshire	Date	30 July 1892
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			Players per side: 12

---

Gross says that HS Thynne took ten wickets for no runs in an innings for Bell's side, with a final analysis of 10-10-0-10.

The feat is noted in *Cricket* 1892 page 341, which confirms that this was a 12-a-side match, and that all the players were under 15 years of age.

The performance is also recorded in the *Wiltshire Times* for Saturday 13 August 1892, which says the match was played on 'Saturday week', which might have been 30 July (as given here), or just possibly 6 August. This report confirms the age of the players and the analysis of 10-10-0-10, but does not give any other details of the match.

The match is not noted in the *Devizes & Wiltshire Gazette*, and is not mentioned in any newspapers currently (April 2023) in the BNA.

Devonshire Park v GW Morrison's XI 1892			1
Venue	Devonshire Park, Eastbourne	Date	31 August 1892
Result	Devonshire Park won by 370 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Devonshire Park innings

S Colman	b Lawrence	209
P Coles	not out	247
H Rathbone		
W Johnson		
GF Jackson		
PG Rathbone		
AR Jackson		
R Scott Holmes		
V King Farlow		
W Onslow Secker		
S Elmsley		
Extras		16
Total	(for 1 wicket, declared)	472
Fall	1/472	

	O	M	R	W
Lawrence				1

GW Morrison's XI innings

KP Brown	c and b Johnson	1
BG Lawrence	b Johnson	5
F Wall	c AR Jackson b Coles	25
GH Lyon	b GF Jackson	16
ER Storr	b GF Jackson	0
GW Morrison	b GF Jackson	0
EM Rose	b Johnson	11
JH Kentish	b GF Jackson	1
RK Kentish	c Coles b Johnson	4
W Worthington	c sub b Coles	1
R Price	not out	1
Extras		22
Total	(all out)	92
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/92	

	O	M	R	W
Johnson				4
Coles				2
GF Jackson				4

Source: *Eastbourne Chronicle* 3 September 1892; *Sporting Life* 1 September 1892; *Cricket* 1892 page 421. See also *Cricket* 1907 pp 65-66. The match is also mentioned in the obituary of Stanley Colman (Surrey) on page 47 of *The Cricketer Spring Annual* 1926.

Most sources, including *Cricket*, *The Sportsman*, the *Evening Standard* (London), and several other papers printed on the day following the match, give the Devonshire Park score as 472 (as here), and that is also the figure given by Gross. *Sporting Life* is on its own in giving the score as 462, with six Extras rather than 16, but the weight of contemporary evidence favours the figure of 472.

Colman hit 23 fours in his 209, and Coles hit 30 fours in his 247\*

Venue

Date 1892 season (??)

Result

Toss

Umpires

\_\_\_\_\_

O M R W

\_\_\_\_\_

O M R W

*Cricket Quarterly* (summer 1967 p. 135) records a performance of a century and all-ten in this fixture by EB Shine (King Edward VI GS; later of Cambridge University and Kent), and dates it to the 'early 1890s'. However, this feat is not included in Robert Brooke's later *Milestones* list of players scoring a century and taking all-ten in the same match.

No other evidence of such a performance has been traced. It is not recorded in any edition of the *Saffron Walden Weekly News* or the *Saffron Walden Gazette* in 1890, 1891 or 1892; the former normally gives good coverage of the school's matches. *Field* also gave summary scores of several of the school's matches around this time, but not this one.

On 2 July 1892, *Pearson's Weekly* records Shine as having taken 10-1 in an innings (nine of them clean bowled) for KE6 school against Newport GS "this week", but makes no reference to his batting in the same match. No such performance – or such a meeting of the two sides in late June 1892 - is recorded in any other source. Gross does not include Shine in his list of bowlers taking 10-1 in an innings.

Help has been sought from the successors to the Newport school, and from the Saffron Walden Historical Society (the KE6 school no longer exists), but though helpful the respondents have not been able to locate such a performance. It is thought that the KE6 school's archives may be in the Essex Records Office at Chelmsford; that would seem to be the only remaining source that could establish the veracity of Shine's alleged performance.

With thanks to Jacqueline Cooper of the Saffron Walden Historical Society, Karen Clapham of Joyce Frankland Academy at Newport, Keith Huddlestone of the Old Newportonian Society, and Malcolm White of Saffron Walden for their efforts in trying to resolve this particular mystery.

## Norwood v Adelaide 1892/93

1

Venue	Adelaide Oval	Date	10, 14, (17), 18, 19, 20 January 1893
Result	Adelaide won by 17 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

### Adelaide innings

EJ Hiscock	b G Giffen	85
D Hay	b G Giffen	9
H Haldane	b G Giffen	124
GC Sabine	b G Giffen	2
JH Tardif	b G Giffen	26
BV Scrymgour	c and b G Giffen	28
W Delaney	c Gooden b G Giffen	26
RJ Hill	b G Giffen	0
CH Winnall	c Woods b G Giffen	33
TA Caterer	st Adams b G Giffen	4
L Evans	not out	4
Extras		20
Total	(all out)	361
Fall	1-2 not known, 3/164. 4-9 not known, 10/361	

	O	M	R	W
Kinnear	21	7	50	0
G Giffen	53.5	14	149	10
Liston	7	1	38	0
Lyons	20	3	59	0
W Giffen	9	0	45	0

### Norwood innings

JJ Lyons	c Hiscock b Haldane	8
G Giffen	not out	172
H Blinman	c Hill b Haldane	2
J Gooden	hit wicket b Winnall	36
WF Giffen	run out	5
CW Hayward	c and b Winnall	55
J Woods	c Hiscock b Winnall	12
+ J McKenzie	b Haldane	34
G Liston	lbw b Haldane	0
Adams	b Winnall	5
Kinnear	b Haldane	4
Extras		11
Total	(all out)	344
Fall	1/?, 2/26, 3/?, 4/149, 5/252, 6/?, 7/?, 8/?, 9/332, 10/344	

	O	M	R	W
Delany	29	9	78	0
Haldane	41.1	13	87	5
Caterer	23	3	73	0
Winnall	29	10	66	4
Tardif	8	0	29	0

This match is included in Robert Brooke's list of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten [or better] in the same match ['Milestones' in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32], and also in the equivalent list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135.

Scorecard details are taken from various Adelaide newspapers, including the *Advertiser* of 16 January, the *Evening Journal* of 19 and 21 January, and the *South Australian Chronicle* of 21 January.

Close of Play: Day 1 – Adelaide 163-2 (Haldane and Sabine not out); Day 2 – Norwood 63-2 (G Giffen 39, Gooden 13); no play Day 3; Day 4 – Norwood 203-4 (G Giffen 121, Hayward 25); Day 5 – Norwood 327-8 (G Giffen 161, Adams 4).

Manchester Club & Ground v North & East Ridings of Yorkshire 1893				1
Venue	Castleford, Yorkshire	Date	5, 6 June 1893	
Result	Manchester Club & Ground won by an innings and 26 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: 12			

North & East Ridings	First innings		Second innings	
RW Frank	lbw b Lord	8	c and b Mold	15
W Frank	c and b Lord	10	c Thornber b Mold	0
HJE Marsden	b Mold	5	lbw b Mold	0
GH Aitken	b Mold	9	b Mold	2
G Baker	c Pilling b Lord	23	b Mold	9
A Worsley	b Mold	4	not out	30
Bell	st Pilling b Lord	4	b Mold	0
H Butler	c Mold b Lord	6	b Mold	0
H Walton	c Heap b Mold	10	b Mold	0
Bookless	not out	6	b Mold	32
G Baynes	c Howe b Lord	13	b Mold	1
+ Cass	b Mold	6	b Mold	0
Extras		6		1
Total	(all out)	104	(all out)	90
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/104		1/125, 2 to 10 not known, 11/90	
	O	M	R	W
Mold	21.1	3	50	5
Lord	21	4	48	6

Manchester C & G	First innings			
E Rowley	hit wicket b Bell	16		
JG Heap	b Walton	3		
Tinsley	b Walton	5		
Yates	b Butler	71		
CH Benton	c Walton b Bookless	20		
R Howe	c Aitken b Butler	15		
C Hartley	b Butler	0		
JO Fullalove	not out	53		
+ R Pilling	b Butler	3		
H Thornber	b Butler	0		
AW Mold	st Cass b Butler	2		
Lord	b Walton	10		
Extras		22		
Total	(all out)	220		
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/220			
	O	M	R	W
Butler	25	8	67	6
Walton	22.2	12	40	3
Baynes	13	6	33	0
W Frank	4	2	14	0
Bell	9	5	8	1
Bookless	11	6	15	1
Baker	11	4	21	0

Source: *Manchester Courier* 6 and 7 June 1893; *Manchester Evening News* 6 June 1893; *Yorkshire Evening Post* 5 and 6 June 1893; *Yorkshire Post* 6 June 1893.

Close of play, Day 1: Manchester C & G 185-10 (Fullalove 32\*)

Mold took all 11 wickets in his opponents' second innings. His figures included a hat-trick, and two wickets in two balls to end the innings.

<b>Gentlemen of North Wales v Marylebone Cricket Club 1893</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Llandudno, Caernarvonshire	Date	18, 19 August 1893	
Result	MCC won by an innings and 212 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Gents of North Wales		First innings		Second innings	
GH Pugh	c RH Moss b A Hearne	3		c RH Moss b A Hearne	0
FE Woodhead	c Gorton b A Hearne	21		lbw b Martin	0
FH Cunliffe	c RH Moss b A Hearne	0		c RH Moss b Martin	5
P Knight	lbw b Martin	23		c and b Martin	0
HER Harrison	b A Hearne	1		c Gorton b A Hearne	4
HO Knight	b A Hearne	9		b Martin	2
WE Pryce-Jones	b A Hearne	0		b A Hearne	3
J Rodway	b A Hearne	5		b Martin	0
TB Blackwell	b Martin	0		not out	7
H Mostyn	b A Hearne	4		b A Hearne	0
T Bibbey	not out	0		b A Hearne	23
Extras	b2	2			0
Total	(all out)	68		(all out)	44
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/68			1 to 9 not known, 10/44	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
A Hearne			17	8				5
Martin				2				5

Marylebone CC		First innings	
A Hearne	not out	200	
RH Gorton	run out	0	
GG Hearne	b HO Knight	68	
Martin	c Woodhead b Mostyn	4	
RJH Arbuthnot	c Harrison b Mostyn	20	
RH Moss	b HO Knight	8	
H Moss	b Harrison	4	
J Rowland-Ellis	not out	1	
GF Hearne			
AH Jones			
E Smith			
Extras	b16, nb3	19	
Total	(for 6 wickets, declared)	324	
Fall	1 to 6 not known		

	O	M	R	W
HO Knight				2
Mostyn				2
Harrison				1

Source: *Liverpool Mercury* 21 August 1893, *MCC Scores* for 1893. The match is not noted in *Cricket*.

Close of play, Day 1: MCC 316-5 (A Hearne 196, H Moss 4)

Gross credits Alec Hearne with match figures of 13-49, implying a second-innings analysis of 5-32, but this is not confirmed in any other source.

RH Moss playing for MCC is the reverend gentleman who had a long-interrupted first-class career (his last first-class match came 35 years after his next-to-last).

There is some doubt about the identities of the fielders named Moss who took four catches in the match for MCC. The MCC book of scores credits all four to 'R Moss', while the *Mercury* credits that of Pugh in NW(2) to H Moss. The former has been followed here, but with no overwhelming evidence either way.

There is also some uncertainty as to whether the player scoring 68 in the MCC innings was GG Hearne or GF Hearne, both of whom definitely played in the match. The MCC book credits the runs to GG, but the *Mercury* and the *Montgomeryshire County Times* both credit them to GF. The MCC book also has GG batting at 3, and GF at 9, in the match four days previously against Shropshire, and on balance that order has been preferred here.

H Moss, J Rowland-Ellis, AH Jones and E Smith, playing for MCC, are all referred to in the MCC book as 'subs'.

<b>Bourton Vale v Marylebone Cricket Club 1893</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Bourton-on-the-Water, Gloucs	Date	24, 25 August 1893	
Result	Marylebone Cricket Club won by 74 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: 12			

Marylebone Cricket Club		First innings			Second innings				
Capt WH Wyld	b Painter	13			c Painter b Le Marchant	1			
EG Bromley-Martin	b Painter	7			lbw b Painter	10			
GE Bromley-Martin	b Painter	0			c Clifford b Painter	31			
JA Gibbs	b Painter	8			st Hartwell b Painter	30			
WF Fulton	b Le Marchant	6			c Matthews b Painter	29			
Board	b Painter	3			b Painter	11			
RW Byass	b Painter	7			b Painter	0			
Richardson	b Painter	0			c Matthews b Painter	11			
Hon E Ponsonby	b Painter	3			b Painter	2			
WW Whitmore	b Painter	16			b Painter	31			
AH Butler	c Beecher b Painter	5			b Painter	0			
F Needham	not out	4			not out	8			
Extras	b4	4			b4, lb1	5			
Total	(all out)	76			(all out)	169			
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/76				1 to 10 not known, 11/169				
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Painter				10				10	
Le Marchant				1				1	

Bourton Vale		First innings			Second innings				
JR Painter	c Board b Needham	17			c Richardson b Needham	16			
WC Moore	run out	15			b Needham	12			
B Rendall	b Richardson	13			b Richardson	1			
Capt B Le Marchant	b Richardson	12			b Richardson	2			
G Causton	c Board b Richardson	27			b Richardson	1			
Capt Beecher	b Richardson	3			c EG B-Martin b Richardson	11			
Hon AB Bathurst	b Needham	2			b Richardson	3			
Maj Butler	b Needham	0			b Needham	5			
+ F Hartwell	c EG B-Martin b Needham	10			b Needham	7			
W Matthews	not out	3			run out	0			
H Clifford	c Ponsonby b Richardson	4			b Needham	0			
HE Rose	b Richardson	2			not out	0			
Extras	b1, w1	2			b2, w1	3			
Total	(all out)	110			(all out)	61			
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/110				1 to 10 not known, 11/61				
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Needham				4				5	
Richardson				6				5	

Source: *MCC Scores for 1893*. The match was not reported in the contemporary *Cheltenham Chronicle*, nor the *Cheltenham Looker-on*.

The successful bowler for Bourton Vale was John Painter, who played 198 first-class matches (192 of them for Gloucestershire) between 1881 and 1897. On the MCC side, it is likely that 'Board' was JH Board of Gloucestershire, and that 'Richardson' was Henry Richardson, formerly of Nottinghamshire, both of whom played a number of matches for MCC around this time in 1893. The latter was definitely not Tom Richardson, who was playing in a Test match at Old Trafford on these dates.

Menangle v Picton [1893]		4
Venue	New South Wales, Australia	Date [calendar year 1893]
Result		
Toss		
Umpires		

\_\_\_\_\_

O      M      R      W                      O      M      R      W

\_\_\_\_\_

O      M      R      W

It was noted in issue 10 of *The Cricket Statistician* (on page 7) that G Neale (Menangle) took all ten Picton wickets for five runs, including one instance of four wickets in four balls and two further hat-tricks.

This feat is not noted in any newspaper currently (April 2023) in Trove.



Prospect Reserve v Darriman 1893/94			1N
Venue	Prospect Reserve, near Sale, Victoria, Australia	Date	17 February 1894
Result	Darriman won by 42 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Darriman	First innings			Second innings	
N Major	b Napper	1	(4)	b Veitch	6
H Zacher	b Napper	2		b Moulden	2
F Curtis	b Napper	0		b Veitch	0
W Curtis	b Robb	3	(5)	run out	4
J Blair	c McLachlan b Napper	0	(9)	c Mawley b Veitch	2
F Gooding	b Robb	1	(7)	b Moulden	3
W Zacher	b Napper	2	(6)	b Moulden	2
C Zacher	not out	16	(1)	c Holt b Veitch	0
J Zacher	c W Cote b Robb	0	(8)	b Moulden	0
J Major	b Napper	0	(11)	c Robb b Veitch	0
H Massey	b Robb	2	(10)	not out	0
Extras		2			0
Total		30			19
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/30			1-9 not known, 10/19	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Napper				6				
Robb				4				
Veitch								5
Moulden								4

Prospect Reserve	First innings			Second innings	
Dickens	c W Zacher b Gooding	0	(3)	run out	0
G Mawley	b Gooding	2	(10)	b - Curtis	0
D Cote	b W Curtis	1	(1)	b Gooding	0
Holt	b W Curtis	1	(2)	b F Curtis	0
Napper	b Gooding	0	(8)	not out	0
H McLachlan	b Gooding	0	(7)	b - Curtis	0
D Veitch	b W Curtis	0	(4)	b Gooding	0
Robb	b Gooding	0	(6)	b - Curtis	0
Moulden	b Gooding	0	(9)	b - Curtis	0
D Buchan	not out	0	(5)	b - Curtis	0
W Cote	b - Curtis	0		b - Curtis	0
Extras		3			0
Total		7			0
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/7			1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Gooding				6			0	2
W Curtis				3				
F Curtis							0	1
- Curtis				1			0	6

Full score from *Gippsland Times* 21 February 1894. The scorecard of the innings of 0 all out, and other summary details, are also given in *Cricket* 12 April 1894, page 57. Not recorded by Gross.

This was a match between the regular Darriman side and a scratch XI drawn from visitors to Prospect Reserve (a resort near Sale, Victoria). According to a report in the *Traralgon Record* of 23 February 1894, the Prospect Reserve side was "made up from the Sale Juniors, the Toongabbie club, a Melbourne visitor, a representative of Sale Borough Council, a university student, and some others who have more or less pretensions to cricket".

CT Hoare's XIII v Free Foresters 1894				2
Venue	Bignell, Chesterton, Oxfordshire	Date	25, 26 July 1894	
Result	Match drawn			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: 13			

CT Hoare's XIII	First innings		Second innings	
SD Maul	c Appleby b Hickley	2	c and b Marshall	11
E Jakeman	c Leatham b Hickley	10	not out	6
WH Draper	b Hickley	18	b Harding	0
WS Case	c Hanbury b Hickley	5	b Hickley	14
H Tubb	b Appleby	0	b Harding	3
PC Smith	c Hanbury b Harding	38	lbw b Hickley	21
H Allen	b Appleby	8	b Harding	12
FG Morgan	c Hanbury b Leatham	11	st Hanbury b Harding	1
F Dickenson	hit wicket b Harding	32	b Harding	2
CJ Stratton	b Harding	2	c Savory b Harding	2
Polley	c Hill b Appleby	27	lbw b Hickley	7
+ R Renn	b Harding	21	c Becher b Hickley	4
CT Hoare	not out	0	not out	4
Extras		6		17
Total	(all out)	180	(for 11 wickets)	104
Fall	1 to 11 not known, 12/180		1 to 11 not known	

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Hickley				4					4
Appleby				3					
Harding				4	(2)				6
Leatham				1					
Marshall									1

Free Foresters	First innings			
+ E Hanbury	b Polley	1		
HGS Hughes	b Polley	9		
HE Cobb	st Renn b Polley	35		
C Harding	b Polley	1		
AE Leatham	c Jakeman b Polley	13		
J Hill	b Maul	10		
JF Marshall	c Renn b Jakeman	1		
Lt-Col Rice	b Maul	2		
Capt Becher	b Maul	9		
CF Hickley	b Maul	7		
A Appleby	c and b Maul	0		
JH Savory	st Renn b Draper	125		
E Rutter	not out	41		
Extras		26		
Total	(all out)	280		
Fall	1 to 11 not known, 12/280			

	O	M	R	W
Polley				5
Maul				5
Jakeman				1
Draper				1

Source: *Annals of the Free Foresters* (WKR Bedford et al) pp 345-346; *Bicester Herald* 27 July 1894. The match was not reported in the *Banbury Guardian*, the *Banbury Beacon*, or the *Banbury Advertiser*. It is however mentioned in the obituary of E Rutter on page 47 of *The Cricketer Spring Annual* 1926.

Gross says that Savory and Rutter [the former Middlesex player Edward Rutter] added 165 for the 12th wicket for the Free Foresters, which if correct means that the 11th wicket fell at 115. This is not specifically referred to in the *Annals*, which however does say that "when Rutter joined Savory a follow-on was still on the cards". At the time, in a two-day game the follow-on was mandatory for a team that was 120 runs or more behind its opponents. So if the score had reached 115 by this point the follow-on had (under the prevailing Laws of Cricket) already been avoided. (However, if this had been arranged as a one-day game, the follow-on figure was only 60 runs, in which case the figure of 115 at the fall of the 11th wicket would indeed have still left the follow-on as a possibility.)



1st Royal Munster Fusiliers v Army Service Corps 1895			1
Venue	The Curragh, Co. Kildare, Ireland	Date	12 June 1895
Result	1st Royal Munster Fusiliers won by nine wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires			

Army Service Corps innings

SSM McBride	run out	0
Pte Rowley	b Broadway	8
CSM Flood	b Broadway	20
Mr CH Lewis	c Rickard b Fitzgerald	1
CSM Frost	b Broadway	6
Driver McVicar	b Broadway	0
Cpl Gooding	not out	3
SS Geast	b Broadway	0
Driver Biddle	b Broadway	0
Pte Perry	b Broadway	4
Pte Lane	absent	
Extras		9
Total	(all out)	51
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/51	

	O	M	R	W
Broadway			14	7
Fitzgerald				1

1st Royal Munster Fusiliers innings

Capt WC Oates	not out	313
Mr WA Hutchinson	b Lewis	28
Pte F Fitzgerald	not out	287
Mr CHP Jarrett		
Mr GA Webb		
Maj Clough		
Mr V Rickard		
Sgt Ashleigh		
Sgt Broadway		
Pte Tehane		
Pte O'Neill		
Extras		30
Total	(for 1 wicket)	658
Fall	1/35	

	O	M	R	W
Lewis				1

Source: *Irish Times* 13 June 1895; also reported in the *Irish Independent* 13 June and the *Cork Constitution* 14 June. Also noted (in less detail) in *Cricket* 1895 page 217; the *Bedfordshire Advertiser* 21 June; and the *Lancashire Daily Post* 22 June.

The match all took place on a single day, in which 709 runs were scored. The Fusiliers' innings (or perhaps the partnership of 623\*) lasted 285 minutes. Reports note that "nearly every man on the [ASC] team bowled" during the Fusiliers' innings.

In the Army Service Corps team, SSM, CSM and SS are the players' military ranks, not their initials.

Mitcham Second XI v Lloyd's Register 1895

4

Venue Mitcham Green, Surrey

Date 15 June 1895

Result

Toss

Umpires

---

O M R W

---

O M R W

Alerted by Philip Bailey 24 December 2020 to reports of WM Bradley (future Test player) taking six wickets in six balls for Lloyd's Register in this match.

*Cricket* 1895 page 217 confirms the achievement, these being the first six wickets of the innings; his eventual innings figures were 9-15. *Cricket* also notes that in a club match a week earlier Bradley had taken 8-17 including a hat-trick.

The match is not mentioned in any of the following newspapers in mid/late June 1895: the *Streatham [etc] News*, the *Wandsworth Borough News*, the *Wimbledon News*, the *Mid-Surrey Gazette*, or the *Surrey Independent*.

London Asylum v Forest Club 1895		6
Venue	London, Ontario, Canada	Date 4 July 1895
Result	London Asylum won by an innings and 45 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

London Asylum	First innings	
FW Terry		130

	Extras				
	Total	(All out)			149
Fall		1-9 not known, 10/149			
		O	M	R	W

Forest Club	First innings		Second innings
V Valkenburgh			18
H Goldsmith			24

	Extras						
	Total	(All out)			75	(All out)	29
Fall		1-9 not known, 10/75				1-9 not known, 10/29	
		O	M	R	W	O	M
						R	W

Gross records that Rev FW Terry scored 130 out of 143 runs made off the bat for Ontario Hospital. It is not immediately clear whether 143 was his side's all-out score minus extras, or just the number of runs added while Terry was at the wicket.

The performance is noted in *Cricket* 1895 page 314, which names Terry's side as 'London Asylum'. The *Toronto Saturday Night* newspaper for 13 July mentions that Terry scored 130 in this game, but gives no other details.

Cricket Archive now includes a potted scorecard of the match (misc 854600), in which Terry is shown as making 130 out of 149 all out. 130 out of a total of 149 is 87.25%, so this innings would only qualify for 'Major Feats' if there were at least four extras in the innings total. There remains the possibility that there were a total of six extras, in which case Terry would indeed have made 130 out of 143 off the bat as stated by Gross, and hence 90.90% of the side's total runs off the bat.

Some Toronto newspapers for 1895 have been added to the BNA, but at present (April 2023) they do not extend as far into the year as July. There are also 15 Ontario newspapers for 1895 at the British Library, but these have yet to be explored.

Wanderers XI v Panther c 1895				4
Venue	Frank Taylor's Ground, Bucknalls, Watford, Hertfordshire	Date	'about 1895'	
Result	Wanderers XI won by an innings and 500 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Panther	First innings	Second innings
---------	---------------	----------------

Extras									
Total	(all out)			100		(all out)			40
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/100					1 to 9 not known, 10/40			
	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W

Wanderers XI	First innings
DLA Jephson	run out 113
CE Cobb	(about) 120
GW Beldam	
R Brooks	

Extras				
Total	(all out)			640
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/640			
	O	M	R	W

This match all took place on a single day, on which 780 runs were scored. It is recorded in 'Chats on the cricket field' in *Cricket* 1907 pp 209-210, in which FS Ashley-Cooper was talking with Richard Brooks.

Venue	Johannesburg, Transvaal	Date	24 November 1895
Result	Stray Klips won by nine wickets		
Toss			
Umpires			

## Howard, Farrar &amp; Co innings

	Extras				
	Total				250
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/250				
		O	M	R	W
Sinclair				106	10

## Stray Klips innings

LJ Tancred		93
[unknown]		
JH Sinclair	not out	157

	Extras				
	Total	(for 1 wicket)			269
Fall	1/?				
		O	M	R	W

Robert Brooke includes this match in his list of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten in the same match, included in 'Milestones' in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32. It is also in the equivalent list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135, where the exact date of the match is given. Brooke says that JH Sinclair scored 157\* and took 10-103 in an innings for Johannesburg.

The match has not been traced in *Cricket* or *The Star* (Johannesburg), but the above details have been found in the *Johannesburg Times* of 16, 25 and 30 November 1895, and in the *Cape Times* of 26 November 1895. The latter newspaper has Sinclair's figures as 10-103 (as Brooke), but the more local *Johannesburg Times* has 10-106 and that has been followed here. Either could be correct.

Howard, Farrar & Co were an engineering firm in Johannesburg. Their innings is said to have lasted less than 90 minutes. It is not known whether Sinclair opened the batting for his side, but as Louis Tancred was a regular opener, it is assumed here that he did so on this occasion, and that Sinclair batted at number 3. Again, there is no certainty on this.



<b>Burwood v Paddington 1895/96</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Sydney Cricket Ground, Sydney	Date	30 November, 7, 21 December 1895	
Result	Paddington won on first innings by 565 runs			
Toss	Burwood			
Umpires				

Burwood innings

J Ashdown	b S McKenzie	0
DOS Jones	b S McKenzie	9
*WB Fairfax	not out	98
W Brown	lbw b Hogan	26
F Meares	b Noble	8
G Rogers	c Bannerman b Noble	1
E Rogers	c and b Noble	0
W McLeod	lbw b Noble	1
W Marsden	run out	1
W Dight	st Kelly b Noble	1
T Farry	b Noble	11
Extras		5
Total	(all out)	161
Fall	1/1, 2/23, 3/84, 4/94, 5/?, 6/96, 7/123, 8/?, 9/?, 10/161	

	O	M	R	W
S McKenzie	23	10	38	2
Callaway	7	3	17	0
Hogan	11	1	32	1
Noble	30.1	15	50	6
Bannerman	7	2	19	0

Paddington innings

PVJ Macnamara	c Jones b E Rogers	46
*AC Bannerman	c Ashdown b McLeod	122
AC Mackenzie	c Meares b McLeod	155
MA Noble	lbw b E Rogers	113
R Alderson	retired out	113
+ JJ Kelly	not out	106
EJ Hogan	not out	22
S McKenzie		
A Callaway		
JC Davis		
J Davis		
Extras		49
Total	(for 5 wickets)	726
Fall	1/94, 2/326, 3/361, 4/555, 5/646	

	O	M	R	W
G Rogers	21	2	87	0
E Rogers	40	7	168	2
McLeod	37	2	176	2
Dight	24	3	109	0
Ashdown	8	0	42	0
Meares	13	0	56	0
Marsden	3	0	12	0
Brown	5	1	17	0
Fairfax	3	0	10	0

Source: Sydney papers as follows: *Evening News* 2 and 9 December; *Sydney Morning Herald* 2 and 23 December; *Sunday Times* 8 and 22 December; *Daily Telegraph* 9 and 23 December; *Referee* 11 and 24 December

Close of Play: Day 1 – Paddington 26-0 (Macnamara 15, Bannerman 5); Day 2 – Paddington 374-3 (Noble 20, Alderson 6)

The *SMH* gives the Paddington total as 725, and the *Referee* as 727; but 726 is the figure more generally given.

Burwood fielded one man short on Day 3.

Unknown v Unknown 1895/96		4
Venue	Eshowe, Zululand, South Africa	Date ? 1 January 1896
Result	Not known	
Toss		
Umpires		

---



---

Gross says that G Essery took 19 wickets in a 'local match' at Eshowe in the 1895/96 season. The only available newspaper for this period is the *Natal Mercury*, but it makes no specific reference to this feat, though its match reports confirm that G – sometimes GV – Essery played for Eshowe in the earlier part of that season. On 10 January 1896 the paper reported that “the Zululand Challenge Cup was played off [at Eshowe] on the 1st and 2nd inst ... the three local clubs competed, Eshowe [i.e. Eshowe CC] playing the Garrison [Eshowe Garrison CC] on New Year’s Day, when an easy victory was gained by nine wickets. The next day the winners played the [Eshowe] Wanderers and it proved an exciting match, the ECC eventually winning by three wickets.” A full scorecard is given of the latter match, in which Essery definitely played, but no score details are given of the former. There is no direct evidence that the match in which Essery took 19 wickets was that on 1 January against the Garrison, but that seems distinctly possible from the available reports.

‘G Essery’ was very probably Guy Vivian Essery, b. 25.6.1875 at Durban and died 4.10.1958 at Unhlahi, Natal (information from the Chapman family tree on ancestry.co.uk).

Melbourne Cricket Club Third XI v East Melbourne Third XI 1895/96

4

Venue Melbourne, Victoria Date 15 February 1896  
 Result Melbourne CC Third XI won by an unknown number of wickets (and batted on)  
 Toss  
 Umpires

East Melbourne Third XI innings

---

McLeod	39
Clarke	28
Waxman	
F Trott	
Hodde	

Extras				
Total	(all out)			86
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/86			
	O	M	R	W
Thomas			17	7
Culpitt			16	3

Melbourne CC Third XI innings

---

F Sheahan	50
CS Green	28
Russell	19
J Quirk	18
R McKinnon	16
Culpitt	15
AJ Philpott	11
+ SM Simson	
ME Thomas	

Extras				
Total	(all out)			179
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/179			
	O	M	R	W
Waxman				
Trott				
Hodde				

Source: *The Age* (Melbourne) 17 February 1896

The report in *The Age* confirms that ME Thomas took four wickets in four balls in the East Melbourne innings, all of them stumped by SM Simson. His feat is also alluded to in the *Adelaide Observer* of 15 April 1899 (see page 109 below).

No further details have been traced.

Eaton Park v Sefton Park Extra XII 1896		1
Venue	Eaton, ?Liverpool	Date 2 May 1896
Result	Eaton Park won by 101 runs	
Toss		
Umpires	Players per side: Eaton Park 11, Sefton Park Extra XI 12	

#### Eaton Park innings

GA Roper	c Wilkinson b Gray	40
WB Brierley	b Owen	1
G Norman	c and b Trotter	8
P Newton	c Foster b Gray	16
C Harris	b Dean	8
T Weston	b Gray	3
E Wells	b Owen	21
EHM Lucock	not out	13
HE Crane		
A Parker		
AG Child		
Extras		15
Total	(for 7 wickets, declared)	125
Fall	1-7 not known	

	O	M	R	W
Gray				3
Owen				2
Trotter				1
Dean				1

#### Sefton Park Extra XI innings

HL Owen	b Brierley	2
FW Forster	not out	0
TF Orford	b Brierley	4
TA Trotter	b Brierley	0
H Appleby	b Brierley	0
J Vicars	b Norman	3
E Dean	b Norman	0
JH Gray	b Norman	8
A Rogers	b Norman	0
Fost	b Norman	0
Brocklebank	b Norman	0
Wilkinson	b Brierley	3
Extras		4
Total	(all out)	24
Fall	1-10 not known, 11/105	

	O	M	R	W
Brierley				5
Norman				6

Source: *Cheshire Observer* 9 May 1896. The match is also mentioned in *Cricket* 1896, page 170.

FW Forster carried his bat through the Sefton Park innings without scoring a run.

The scorecard in the *Observer* lists 11 players for Eaton Park (including two 'did not bats'), but 12 for Sefton Park. It is not known whether the match was played 12-a-side, or as an odds match.

<b>Andover v Basingstoke 1896</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Andover, Hampshire	Date	2 July 1896	
Result	Andover won on first innings by 112 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	F Best, unknown	Players per side: 12		

Basingstoke	First innings		Second innings	
Cordery	b Richardson	0	run out	1
Ransome	b Richardson	2	c Donaldson b Colebrook	13
H Baldwin	c Pain b Richardson	5	c sub b Hooper	20
Harrold	retired hurt	0		
FH Bacon	b Richardson	1	(4) not out	8
Sutton	not out	10	(5) c Bloxham b Hooper	7
Hill	b Richardson	0	(6) b Colebrook	3
Raynbird	b Richardson	0		
Henry	b Richardson	0		
Lewis	b Richardson	3		
Wagstaff	b Richardson	0		
Boxall	b Richardson	0		
Extras		3		7
Total	(all out)	24	(for 5 wickets)	59
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/24		1 to 4 not known, 5/59	
	O	M	R	W
Richardson			7	10
Colebrook				2
Hooper				2

Andover	First innings			
Pain	b Harrold			6
Donaldson	c Boxall b Baldwin			43
Woodgate	c and b Baldwin			2
Wilkinson	c Henry b Baldwin			1
Lamb	b Harrold			5
Bloxham	b Harrold			4
Hooper	b Harrold			2
T Richardson	c Ransome b Cordery			38
Rev Leigh	not out			14
Colebrook	c Hill b Cordery			0
Hendley	b Baldwin			0
Brathwaite	b Cordery			1
Extras				20
Total	(all out)			136
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/136			
	O	M	R	W
Harrold				4
Baldwin				4
Cordery				3

Source: *Salisbury & Winchester Journal* 4 July 1896; *Southampton Echo* 3 July 1896; *Evesham Standard* 18 July 1896; *Cricket* 1896 page 290.

Tom Richardson (Surrey & England) took the last seven wickets in Basingstoke (1) with seven successive deliveries – the first (Baldwin) caught at point, the others all bowled. He did not bowl in the second innings.

H Baldwin and FH Bacon were Hampshire professionals.

Leamington Collegiate School v Stratford Commercial School 1897				1
Venue	Leamington, Warwickshire	Date	July 1897	
Result	Collegiate School won by an innings and 85 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Stratford Commercial School					First innings					Second innings				
E Yardley	b Sharp			0	b Sharp				0					
F Ayres	c and b Branigan			0	b Sharp				0					
S Wright	c Gray b Sharp			0	c and b Branigan				0					
J Pullen	b Sharp			0	b Branigan				1					
S Pullen	b Branigan			0	run out				0					
H Hodges	c Lenton b Sharp			0	not out				0					
H Hopkins	run out			0	c and b Sharp				0					
B Wallis	c Sharp b Branigan			0	c Deverell b Branigan				0					
J Crowhurst	not out			1	run out				0					
T Ball	b Branigan			0	b Branigan				1					
W Smith	b Branigan			0	b Sharp				0					
Extras				0					1					
Total	(all out)			1	(all out)				3					
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/1				1 to 9 not known, 10/3									
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W						
Sharp				4				4						
Branigan				5				4						

Leamington Collegiate School					First innings				
S Gray	b Yardley			21					
H Sharp	b Yardley			11					
F Eldridge	b Hodges			0					
R Deverell	b Yardley			14					
W Eldridge	c and b Yardley			10					
N Cortes	b Hodges			8					
H Hurlston	b Hodges			10					
L Weekes	b Smith			0					
L Lenton	b Smith			0					
W Branigan	not out			5					
R Harrison	c Yardley b Smith			1					
Extras				8					
Total	(all out)			89					
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/89								
	O	M	R	W					
Yardley				4					
Smith				3					
Hodges				3					

Source: *Leamington Daily Circular* 16 July 1897; *Warwick & Warwickshire Advertiser* 17 July 1897; *Cricket* 22 July 1897 page 301; Gross's scorecard. The match was not noted in the *Leamington Courier*.

Branigan and Sharp bowled unchanged for the Collegiate School, returning match figures of nine for 1 and eight for 2 respectively.

The *Warwick & Warwickshire Advertiser* names the Stratford numbers 9 and 10 as J Crowhurst and F Ball, rather than Crowhurst and Ball as in the *Leamington Daily Circular*. It is not known which version is correct.

Central Cumberland v Hawkesbury District 1897/98				1
Venue	Parramatta Cricket Ground, Sydney, NSW	Date	4 October 1897	
Result	Central Cumberland won by a concession			
Toss	Central Cumberland			
Umpires	Players per side: C Cumberland 11, Hawkesbury 10			

Central Cumberland innings

LW Pye	not out	239
E Miller	c and b Smith	32
T Docker	b Harris	2
JC Wilson	b Poidevin	195
*WD Loveridge		
WP Howell		
(unknown)		
(unknown)		
(unknown)		
(unknown)		
(unknown)		
Extras		66
Total	(for 3 wickets)	534
Fall	1/96, 2/98, 3/534	

	O	M	R	W
Smith				1
Harris				1
Poidevin				1
Tuckerman				0
Howard				0
Wallach				0
Pitt				0
Sweeny				0

Hawkesbury District - did not bat

R Harris	
NR Poidevin	
S Smith	
S Tuckerman	
R Howard	
H Wallach	
J Pitt	
W Sweeny	
G Woodhill	
AN Other	
Extras	
Total	
Fall	

Source: Scorecard in Gross's records; *Sydney Morning Herald* 5 October 1897; *Cricket* 1899 pages 27 and 195. Further information from *Windsor & Richmond Gazette* 2 and 9 October 1897, and the *Cumberland Argus* 9 October 1897 (research by Harry Watton and Alfred James).

*SMH*: "At 4 o'clock the score stood [at] 534 runs for three wickets. The Hawkesbury men then declined to proceed any further with the game".

Hawkesbury initially named a squad of 12 players for this match, plus three emergencies. In the event, only five of these initial selections – Harris, Smith, Howard, Wallach and Pitt – turned up for the match, and the side found five late substitutes to make up the 10-man side eventually fielded. One of these was NR Poidevin, father of LOS.

In the Central Cumberland innings, the bowlers conceded at least the following number of runs: Smith 48, Harris 12, Poidevin nk, Tuckerman 48, Howard 58, Wallace 31, Pitt 10 and Sweeny 12.

<b>Middle Brighton v Cheltenham 1897/98</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Higinbotham's Meadow, Middle Brighton, Victoria	Date	15, 22 January, 5 February 1898	
Result	Cheltenham won by an innings and 606 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Monk, Williamson			

Cheltenham	First innings	
H Beulke	c Whitby b Staples	14
F Chandler	b Richmond	0
R Woff	c Whitby b Blackham	94
A Hewitt	c Whitby b W Robinson	105
S Chandler	lbw b Whitby	194
A Organ	b Moulton	50
A Chandler	b Hickling	54
S Cullin	b Richmond	35
C Judd	not out	66
C Wawn	c sub b Moulton	10
F Groves	c and b Blackham	30
Extras		30
Total	(all out)	682
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/682	

	O	M	R	W
W Robinson			120	1
Staples			65	1
Whitby			63	1
Moulton			69	2
Hickling			63	1
Blackham			66	2
J Robinson			25	0
Beulke			68	0
Richmond			96	2

Middle Brighton	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
Blackham	c [unknown] b F Chandler	2	run out	0
J Robinson	b Hewitt	0	b S Chandler	0
Moulton	b Hewitt	2	run out	6
W Robinson	c [unknown] b Hewitt	4	c [unknown] b S Chandler	6
Richmond	c [unknown] b Hewitt	5	lbw b Hewitt	0
Whitby	c [unknown] b F Chandler	5	lbw b S Chandler	2
Smith	c [unknown] b F Chandler	9	not out	6
A Beulke	b F Chandler	0	c [unknown] b S Chandler	0
Hickling	not out	3	c Cullin b S Chandler	5
Henrichsen	b F Chandler	1	b S Chandler	3
Staples	absent	-	absent	-
Extras		10		7
Total	(all out)	41	(all out)	35
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/41		1 to 9 not known, 10/35	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
F Chandler				5				
Hewitt				4				1
S Chandler								6

Source: *Southern Cross* (Brighton) 12 February 1898; *Ballarat Star* 9 February 1898; *Oakleigh Leader* (Victoria) 15 January and 12 February 1898; *The Age* 20 January and 8 February 1898. Also noted in *Cricket* 1898 p 42 and 1900 p 339.

Close of play: Day 1 – Cheltenham 312-3 (Woff 63, S Chandler 115); Day 2 – Cheltenham 551-6 (Organ 48, Cullin 17)

Across the two innings of Middle Brighton, S Chandler took six wickets for 11 runs, F Chandler took five for 14, and A Hewitt five for 34.

Hewitt and Cullin sometimes given as Hewett and Cullen. This was a match in the Brighton-Moorabbin District Competition.



A Conan Doyle's XI v JV Macmillan's XI 1898			6
Venue	Grayshott Park, Hindhead, Surrey	Date	2 August 1898
Result	A Conan Doyle's XI won by 213 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

#### A Conan Doyle's XI innings

H Felgate		36
AH Wood		48
Rev AL Porter		49
EH Marrietto		0
A Conan Doyle		24
AH Sharman		8
+ PCW Trevor		33
E Turle		11
P Butler		0
G Holden	not out	5
J Arps		1
Extras		45
Total	(all out)	260
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/260	
	O	M
	R	W

#### JV Macmillan's XI innings

BB Watson		14
B Chandler		0
R Dobell		6
F Munro		5
J Tristram		7
BH Weaver		3
CE Fraser		1
A Harding		4
H Bookham		0
C Tristram		0
JV Macmillan	not out	0
Extras		7
Total	(all out)	47
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/47	
	O	M
	R	W
Wood		9

Gross says that wicket-keeper PCW Trevor made six stumpings in the Macmillan innings, and this performance is also noted in *Cricket* 1898 page 471, without any score details.

Conan Doyle's side played several matches during the first week of August 1898, most of which are reported in some detail in local or sporting newspapers. But the match on Tuesday 2 August appears to have gone largely unnoticed. It is not referred to in any of the national sporting papers, nor in the *Farnham, Haslemere & Hindhead Herald*, the *Surrey Advertiser* or the *Surrey & Hampshire News*, nor in any newspaper available at the Surrey History Centre at Woking; neither is it known to the researchers of the Sherlock Holmes Society. However, the above details have been finally traced by David M Smith in the *Sporting Life* of 4 August 1898, in which the two teams are named as 'Undershaw (Dr Conan Doyle's XI)' and 'Grayshott Park', and the match is stated to be "the second match of the [Undershaw] 'week', played at Grayshott Park" (which is very close to Doyle's house at Undershaw). No scorecard is given, but the brief report states that "Captain Trevor at the wicket caught or stumped no fewer than eight men in the innings of Grayshott Park, while AH Wood in bowling accounted for nine of the ten wickets".

More recently the scorecard (containing no more details than above) has been added to Cricket Archive, with the match referred to as 'JV MacMillan's XI v Undershaw'.

The match was later referred to – though not including the name or date, or any other scorecard details – on pages 226-227 of Capt Trevor's *The Lighter Side of Cricket* (1901), in which the feat of 2ct and 6st is confirmed – as is the fact that all these victims came from the bowling of AH Wood.

<b>West Adelaide v Sturt 1898/99</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Adelaide Oval	Date	29 October, 5, 19 November 1898	
Result	Sturt won on first innings			
Toss				
Umpires				

West Adelaide	First innings		Second innings	
G Giffen	b Trott	58		
C Bailey	c and b Hay	8	(1)	b Winnall 0
BTR Bailey	c Osman b Hay	0	(2)	b Winnall 2
V Hugo	st Thomas b Winnall	0	(3)	c Trott b Hay 4
G Sparks	c Winnall b Hay	0		not out 80
G Parkin	st Thomas b Winnall	28	(7)	not out 78
M Lynch	c Chinner b Winnall	9		
N Thallon	c Green b Trott	11	(4)	b Hay 9
T Fitzpatrick	st Thomas b Winnall	2		
AJ Hurley	not out	4	(6)	c Scrymgour b Winnall 0
W Monk	c Scrymgour b Winnall	0		
Extras	b9, lb4, w1	14		b3, lb7 10
Total	(all out)	134		(for 5 wickets) 183
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/134		1/? , 2/? , 3/6, 4/? , 5/31	

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Winnall	17.4	3	40	5		21	4	58	3
Hay	17	4	33	3		18	2	51	2
Hewer	3	1	6	0					
Green	8	1	19	0	(3)	6	2	20	0
Trott	11	5	22	2	(4)	3	0	23	0
Hack					(5)	3	1	8	0
Scrymgour					(6)	3	0	18	0

Sturt	First innings	
FT Hack	not out	117
TE Osman	c Thallon b Hugo	0
AE Trott	c Monk b Giffen	0
H Hay	c Monk b Hugo	1
P Robertson	b Giffen	0
+ AC Thomas	b Giffen	10
CH Winnall	c Giffen b Hugo	5
H Chinner	c Monk b Parkin	25
AE Green	c Hurley b Hugo	148
BV Scrymgour	b Hugo	11
W Hewer	absent hurt	-
Extras	b4, lb8, w1	13
Total	(all out)	330
Fall	1/0, 2/3, 3/4, 4/5, 5/19, 6/24, 7/75, 8/315, 9/330	

	O	M	R	W
Giffen	31	8	88	3
Hugo	38	20	58	5
Parkin	6	1	32	1
Fitzpatrick	21	6	61	0
Sparks	6	0	34	0
BTR Bailey	7	1	24	0
C Bailey	4	0	20	0

Source: *Adelaide Observer* 5, 12, 26 November 1898; *The Advertiser* (Adelaide) 21 November 1898

Close of Play, Day 1 – Sturt 6-4 (Hack 4, Thomas 1); Day 2 – Sturt 299-7 (Hack 108, Green 143)

Gross gives the eighth-wicket partnership between Hack and Green as worth 233 runs, but the *Adelaide Observer* confirms the fall of wickets as here, making the partnership worth 240. Green scored 148 of the partnership's runs.

George Giffen was absent on the final day of the match.

Napier United A v Napier United B 1898/99

1

Venue	Heretaunga School Ground, Hastings, New Zealand	Date	21, 28 January, 4 February 1899
Result	Napier United A won by an innings and 254 runs		
Toss	Napier United B		
Umpires	Spraggon & HB Whyte; - Philson officiated later in the match		

Napier United B	First innings		Second innings	
* Harold B Lusk	b Marshall	72	absent	-
CH Cato	b Cotterill	19	(1) b Wilson	1
J Wolstenholme	b Marshall	12	b Wilson	5
W Hughes	run out	1	run out	16
W Young	b Marshall	22	(2) lbw b Marshall	14
RD Kelly	run out	26	b Marshall	9
H Martin	b Wilson	36	not out	33
CW Cato	c and b Marshall	19	b Wilson	12
Scott	b Marshall	0	c and b Dixon	7
J Hughes	not out	6	run out	1
AH Barnett	b Wilson	2	b Wilson	0
Extras		22		20
Total	(all out)	237	(all out)	118
Fall	1/50, 2/104, 3/111, 4/114, 5/150, 6/183, 7/222, 8/? , 9/? , 10/237		1 to 9 not known, 10/118	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Marshall	28	4	80	5	10		57	2
Wilson	23	5	81	2	13		26	4
Cotterill	8	0	32	1				
Hallamore	4	0	21	0				
Dixon					3		14	1

Napier United A	First innings	
+ RG Hallamore	lbw b W Hughes	38
B Cotterill	b W Hughes	2
E Macassey	c CH Cato b W Hughes	1
A Balfour	c Martin b Wolstenholme	0
WP Finch	b Wolstenholme	6
HC Wilson	b W Hughes	200
*G Marshall	not out	252
WG Wood	b W Hughes	2
AJ Dixon	b CW Cato	2
C Sainsbury	b W Hughes	27
EF Severne	b Martin	23
Extras		56
Total	(all out)	609
Fall	1/8, 2/14, 3/15, 4/39, 5/61, 6/421, 7/? , 8/? , 9/509, 10/609	

	O	M	R	W
W Hughes	47		198	6
Wolstenholme	39		103	2
CW Cato	13		65	1
Scott	15		75	0
Martin	10		55	1
Kelly	5		25	0

Source: *Hawkes Bay Herald* 23 and 30 January, 6 February 1899; *Hastings Standard* (NZ) 30 January 1899; *Daily Telegraph* (Napier) 30 January 1899; *Cricket* 1899 page 119

Close of play: Day 1 – Napier United A 30-3 (Hallamore 18, Finch 5); Day 2 – Napier United A 475-8 (Marshall 165, Sainsbury 19)

The stand of 360 for the sixth wicket for ‘A’ is noted by Gross and confirmed in the *Hawkes Bay Herald*.

Railway CC First XI v Next XV 1898/99			4
Venue	Petersburg, South Australia	Date	18 February 1899
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires		Players per side:	11 v 15

---



---

Gross records that AC Crossman recorded a hat-trick of stumpings in this match for the First XI, off the bowling of V Frisby.

Two references – but no scores – have been found in Trove to corroborate the occurrence:

First, a letter in the *Evening Journal* (Adelaide) on 25 February 1899 from the Hon Sec to the North-East Cricket Association: “Petersburg, Feb 20th, Dear Sir – During the progress of a game of cricket here on Saturday last, between the First XI and the Next XV of the Railway Cricket Club, the wicketkeeper of the first XI stumped three men off three successive balls ... the bowler was V Frisby, slow leg-break, and the stumper was AC Crossman. M Bush, in the same game, secured nine wickets for ten runs, including the hat-trick”.

The second reference is in the *Adelaide Observer* of 15 April 1899, which mentions Crossman’s achievement and also draws attention to the feat of four stumpings off successive deliveries recorded for Melbourne Thirds in 1895/96 (qv).

Eastbourne College v Bluemantles 1899				1
Venue	The Saffrons, Eastbourne	Date	15 May 1899	
Result	Bluemantles won on first innings by 350 runs			
Toss	Bluemantles			
Umpires				

Bluemantles	First innings				
JH Kelsey	not out			136	
HM Braybrooke	not out			256	
JW Mitchell					
FD Curtis					
BB de la Bere					
WA Sparling					
HH Lawrence					
GH Wilmer					
L Levy					
AH Margetson					
WJ Courtney					
Extras				11	
Total	(for no wicket, declared)			403	
Fall					
	O	M	R	W	

Eastbourne College	First innings			Second innings (following on)	
*FM Browne	b de la Bere	4	(4)	b Kelsey	3
JN Kidd	c Margetson b de la Bere	15	(1)	c Kelsey b Sparling	11
EG Langdale	b Lawrence	11	(6)	not out	15
AE Begg	run out	1	(3)	c Braybrooke b Kelsey	9
VFF Ward	c and b Lawrence	6		c Sparling b Braybrooke	4
C Romer	b Lawrence	6	(7)	c Mitchell b Braybrooke	13
HA Bromilow	c Kelsey b de la Bere	3	(8)	b Braybrooke	2
S Goldsmith	b de la Bere	4			
H Brooker	not out	0	(2)	b Braybrooke	19
GP Thirkell	c Braybrooke b Lawrence	3	(9)	not out	0
OC Tudor	b Lawrence	0			
Extras		0			13
Total	(all out)	53		(for 7 wickets)	89
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/53			1 to 7 not known	
	O	M	R	W	
de la Bere			39	4	
Lawrence			14	5	
Kelsey					2
Sparling					1
Braybrooke					4

Source: *Eastbourne Gazette* 17 May 1899, *Eastbourne Chronicle* 20 May 1899; *The Eastbournian* vol XV no. 150 (16 June 1899); *Cricket* 1899 page 138.

Wilmer, Levy and Margetson were players from Eastbourne College who played for Bluemantles to make up their full eleven.

Braybrooke hit 41 fours, and Kelsey 13 fours.

Appleby Grammar School v Appleby Cricket Club 1899			1
Venue	Appleby Grammar School, Westmorland	Date	20 May 1899
Result	Appleby Cricket Club won by an innings and 19 runs		
Toss	Appleby Grammar School		
Umpires			

Appleby Grammar School First innings					Second innings				
RE Leach	c T Walton b F Potts		0		not out				37
T Jackson	run out		0		lbw b F Pott				0
W Harris	b F Potts		0		b F Potts				0
HA Counsell	c and b F Potts		0		b Dryden				0
J Sowerby	b F Potts		0		c Chatfield b Dryden				3
W Savage	b F Potts		0		b Dryden				0
C Hallam	c J Walton b F Potts		0		run out				5
C Smith	c Brown b Dryden		0		b J Walton				4
F Forrest	b F Potts		0		b F Potts				2
E Salkeld	b Dryden		0		b Dryden				0
HD Pattinson	not out		0		b Dryden				0
Extras			0						2
Total	(all out)		0		(all out)				53
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0				1 to 9 not known, 10/53				
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Dryden	3	3	0	2					5
F Potts	2.1	2	0	7					3
J Walton									1

Appleby CC First innings				
F Potts	b Counsell			19
J Brown	b Harris			28
DA Dryden	c and b Counsell			2
J Walton	b Counsell			1
T Potts	b Harris			6
F Chatfield	b Counsell			1
G Heelis	c and b Counsell			8
W Watson	c Jackson b Counsell			0
W Elliott	b Counsell			0
D Slack	b Harris			0
T Walton	not out			0
Extras				7
Total	(all out)			72
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/72			
	O	M	R	W
Counsell				7
Harris				3

Source: *Penrith Times* 23 May 1899; *Carlisle Journal* 23 May 1899; *Penrith Observer* 23 May 1899. The match was also noted in the *Lakes Herald* of 26 May, and the *Maryport Advertiser*, the *Wigton Advertiser*, and the *Cumberland & Westmorland Herald*, all of 27 May.

Gross says that F Potts took 7-0 in 13 balls in the school's first innings, including two hat-tricks. Contemporary reports confirm the two hat-tricks, but say that the seven wickets were taken in 11 balls (*Carlisle Journal*, *Maryport Advertiser*) or else in two overs and one ball (*Penrith Observer*, *Cumberland & Westmorland Herald*). The correct figure will depend on the number of balls to an over used in this game. The change to the law introducing the six-ball over was not made until May 1900, so the likelihood is that this game used the five-ball over and that Potts only delivered 11 balls in the first innings.

RE Leach, who scored 37 of the Grammar School's second innings total of 53, was the school's headmaster.

Gentlemen of Sussex v Old Cliftonians 1899				1
Venue	County Ground, Hove, Sussex	Date	11, 12 August 1899	
Result	Match drawn			
Toss				
Umpires				

Old Cliftonians	First innings			
E Rowley	b BF Beard	31		
O Field	c Macnaghten b Maltby	5		
BW Bradford	c and b Maltby	58		
NO Tagart	c and b Ridsdale	63		
L Mortimer	b Somerset	27		
RH Johnston	c Wentworth b EP Beard	53		
PG Robinson	b BF Beard	46		
FG Robinson	not out	150		
CC Bradford	not out	25		
FB May				
WJE Verrall				
Extras	b23, lb7, nb1	31		
Total	(for 7 wickets, declared)	488		
Fall	1 to 7 not known			
	O	M	R	W
BF Beard				2
Maltby				2
Ridsdale				1
Somerset				1
EP Beard				1

Gentlemen of Sussex	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
BF Beard	c Johnston b BW Bradford	49		
RV Wentworth	b Verrall	21	c FG Robinson b CC Bradford	7
S Bostock	b Mortimer	15		
AF Somerset	c Johnston b Mortimer	8	not out	16
GS Whitfield	c BW Bradford b PG Robinson	1	c Rowley b – Bradford	36
KO Goldie	not out	133	not out	102
AE Ridsdale	b Verrall	0		
FE Maltby	b Verrall	1		
SM Macnaghten	c Rowley b BW Bradford	7		
EP Beard	st FG Robinson b Tagart	27		
JK Robertson	run out	46	c BW Bradford b CC Bradford	4
Extras	b8, lb3, w5	16		7
Total	(all out)	324	(for 3 wickets)	172
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/324		1-3 not known	
	O	M	R	W
BW Bradford				2
Verrall				3
Mortimer				2
PG Robinson				1
Tagart				1
CC Bradford				?2

Source: *Sporting Life* 14 August 1899. Also in *Brighton Gazette* 12 August, and *Sussex Agricultural Express* 15 August. Individual scores as given here are from *Sporting Life*, but in the *Sussex Agricultural Express* the scores of Tagart, Johnston and PG Robinson appear to be 68, 52 and 45 respectively (although the printing is not clear in BNA). None of these combinations produces a total of exactly 488.

Close of play, Day 1: Old Cliftonians 488-7. 16-year-old KO Goldie thus scored both his centuries on the second day of the match (as noted by Gross). According to *Cricket* (1899 page 350), the match was extended beyond its scheduled finishing time to allow him to reach his second century.

Kenneth Goldie played a total of 86 first-class matches, mostly for Sussex and for the Europeans (in India), between 1900 and 1920/21.

<b>North v South 1899/1900</b>		<b>1</b>
Venue	Sydney Cricket Ground, Sydney, NSW	Date 2, 3 November 1899
Result	North won on first innings by 610 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

South	First Innings	Second Innings			
*JA Beatson	c Bourke b Lindsay	10			
W Taylor	c Ripon b Lindsay	17	(7)	not out	2
AG Payten	c Norman b Lindsay	1	(1)	b Moore	6
FP Darchy	c Green b Moore	18	(3)	lbw b Clements	5
TJ Dillon	c Bourke b Moore	25	(4)	b Clements	4
R McDonald	c Norman b Moore	7	(5)	b Clements	0
G Whatman	b Moore	6			
SR Grainger	c McLean b Lipscombe	12	(6)	not out	4
F Hulm	not out	11	(2)	c Hogue b Moore	2
L Lacey	c Green b Moore	1			
A Cummins	c and b Moore	6			
Extras	w1, nb1	2			0
Total	(all out)	116		(for 5 wickets)	23
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/116		1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? ,		

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Lindsay	10	0	28	3					
Ripon	9	1	22	0					
McLean	5	2	12	0					
Moore	12.3	1	29	6	(1)	5	2	10	2
Lipscombe	8	1	23	1					
Clements					(2)	5	0	13	3

North	First Innings				
R Lindsay	b Lacey	28			
*L Moore	b Hulm	63			
T Hogue	b Cummins	245			
H Harden	b Grainger	59			
W McLean	run out	11			
W Lipscombe	b Hulm	43			
W Ripon	c Beatson b Grainger	92			
M Bourke	b Hulm	0			
E Clements	lbw b Dillon	92			
J Green	not out	12			
+ Norman	c Hulm b Dillon	10			
Extras		71			
Total	(all out)	726			
Fall	1/81, 2/155, 3/267, 4/362, 5/406, 6/406, 7/574, 8/662, 9/708, 10/726				

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Cummins	29	7	107	1					
Dillon	19	1	88	2	Beatson	18	10	43	0
Grainger	38	5	148	2	Taylor	3	1	11	0
Lacey	25	6	80	1	McDonald	13	1	65	0
Hulm	29	1	90	3	Darchy	3	0	8	0
Whatman	3	0	15	0					

/ continued in next column

Source: *Sydney Morning Herald* 3, 4 November 1899, alerted by an item on page 113 of *Bradman's of the Bush* by Ken Piesse and Alf Wilson. Additions and corrections from the *Referee* (Sydney) 8 November 1899, the *Maitland Daily Mercury* and *Goulburn Evening Penny Post* (both 2 Nov 1899), the *Scone Advocate* (3 Nov 1899) and the *Kiama Independent* (7 Nov 1899).

Close of play, Day 1: North 289-3 (Hogue 103, McLean 4). Hogue's 245 included 35 fours.

This was a match in the Sydney Country Week, won on first innings by over 600 runs..



Melbourne Cricket Club Second XI v Fitzroy Second XI 1899/1900				1
Venue	Fitzroy Cricket Ground, Melbourne, Victoria	Date	25 November 1899	
Result	Not known			
Toss				
Umpires				

Melbourne CC Second XI innings

W MacGregor	not out				146
Jennings					38
Russell					19
Tiernan					18
Wilkinson					16
(unknown)					
(unknown)					
(unknown)					
(unknown)					
D White	not out				70
Extras					
Total	(for 9 wickets)				344
Fall	1 to 8 not known, 9/100				
	O	M	R	W	
Burrell					4
Smart					3
McKirdy					2

Fitzroy Second XI innings

Burrell
McKirdy
Smart

Source: *Weekly Times* (Melbourne) 2 December 1899; *Cricket* 1900 page 9; *The Age* (Melbourne) 25, 27 November 1899; *The Australasian* 2 December 1899.

MacGregor and White added 244\* for the tenth wicket – in about 40 minutes, according to contemporary reports. *The Australasian* confirms that Dan White batted at number 11, but the position of MacGregor in the batting order is not known. *The Age* gives MacGregor’s initial as F rather than W.

W McGowan's XII v Badesi Ram's XII 1899/1900				1
Venue	Maharajah of Patiala's Ground, Patiala, India	Date	January 1900	
Result	Badesi Ram's XII won by 251 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: 12			

W McGowan's XII	First innings		Second innings	
KM Mistry	c Brockwell b Patiala	21	b Brockwell	49
W McGowan	c Nanak Ram b Patiala	23	b Brockwell	0
A Warburton	c Baboo b Patiala	7	run out	0
HH Kour Sahib	b Brockwell	1	c Mahabirchand b Patiala	2
Williams	c Badesi Ram b Tarachand	52	b Brockwell	10
Goormuck Singh	c Patiala b Brockwell	0	b Nanak Ram	0
G McGowan	run out	17	b Brockwell	9
P McGowan	b Brockwell	14	c sub (Modi) b Patiala	10
J Maddon	b Brockwell	9	run out	5
Narain Singh	c Mahabirchand b Tarachand	0	c Patiala b Brockwell	0
F Marshall	c Gokalchand b Patiala	1	not out	0
D Marshall	not out	0	b Brockwell	0
Extras		24		7
Total	(all out)	169	(all out)	99
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/169		1 to 10 not known, 11/99	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Patiala				4				2
Brockwell				4				6
Tarachand				2				
Nanak Ram								1

Badesi Ram's XII	First innings		Second innings	
W Brockwell	lbw b Mistry	150	run out	103
Gokulchand	lbw b Mistry	5	run out	1
Badesi Ram	run out	7	c Mistry b Maddon	33
Maharaja of Patiala	b W McGowan	0	b W McGowan	15
L Tarachand	b W McGowan	6	c and b Mistry	11
Hadi Hussain	c Williams b W McGowan	6	b Maddon	3
R Baboo	c Williams b Mistry	2	not out	30
Rugbirchand	run out	17		
Nanak Ram	c sub b Mistry	35 (8)	not out	61
Gokalchand	not out	3		
Brij Lal	b W McGowan	0		
Mahabirchand	b Mistry	1		
Extras		21		6
Total	(all out)	253	(for 6 wickets)	263
Fall	1 to 10 not known,, 11/253		1 to 6 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Mistry				5				1
W McGowan				4				1
Maddon								2

Source: *Times of India* 31 January 1900; *Cricket* 29 March 1900 page 39; *Sporting Life* 27 February 1900

The *Times of India* and *Cricket* give the result as a win by 251 runs, but all sources print the innings in the order given here. It is not known if in fact Badesi Ram's side batted first and declared their second innings, or if they batted on after winning - in which case the victory should be expressed in terms of wickets. The matter is further complicated by the fact that the sources give different totals for McGowan (1) - *Sporting Life* gives 169 (followed here) while *The Times* and *Cricket* give 166 - and the individual totals in some innings do not sum correctly to the stated totals. So whether '251 runs' is the correct figure, if indeed the victory was by runs, is uncertain.

The spelling of some names has been adjusted as compared with that given in the sources, to match the spellings used in Cricket Archive.

Gross says that Brockwell scored two centuries and took 13 wickets in the match, but the scorecards in both sources show him only taking ten.

Arkley v West Herts 1900				1
Venue	Arkley, Hertfordshire	Date	7 July 1900	
Result	Arkley won by 136 runs			
Toss				
Umpires	Players per side: 12			

#### Arkley innings

H Williams	c Burrows b Melbourne	91
EW Bishop	b White	6
C Turner	b Hoyer-Millar	5
Birch	b White	0
GL Jessop	b White	43
EY Drew	b Burrows	25
GW Hammond	b White	52
WH Robson	b White	8
GP Blizzard	not out	9
JH Robson		
HG Elton		
AS Farmer		
Extras	b8, w4	12
Total	(for 8 wickets, declared)	251
Fall	1 to 7 not known, 8/251	

	O	M	R	W
White	18	1	103	5
Hoyer-Millar	12	2	56	1
Burrows	8	1	35	1
Fox	6	0	25	0
Melbourne	3	0	21	1

#### West Herts innings

White	b Jessop	23
JH Hickin	b Jessop	33
RH Melbourne	b Jessop	0
FE Burrows	c Williams b Jessop	0
FG Wolfe	b Jessop	7
Fox	c JH Robson b Jessop	20
C Hoyer-Millar	b Jessop	8
FE Crisp	b Jessop	7
J Scanlon	b Jessop	6
CH Little	b Jessop	2
Picton	b Jessop	4
Harrison	not out	1
Extras	b2, lb1, nb1	4
Total	(all out)	115
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/115	

	O	M	R	W
Jessop	22.5	5	59	11
Blizard	5	0	22	0
Birch	7	3	13	0
Hammond	4	1	10	0
Turner	6	2	7	0

Source: *Herts Advertiser* 14 July 1900; *Cricket* 1900 page 271

Gilbert Jessop took all 11 wickets in his opponents' innings.

The West Herts no. 8 is named as Scanton in the *Advertiser*, but as Scanlon in *Cricket*, and in other match reports in the *Herts Advertiser*. Their no. 10 is Pioton in the scorecard of this match in the *Advertiser*, but Picton in *Cricket* and in cards of earlier matches in the *Advertiser*.

<b>Eastbourne v Trinity Wanderers 1900</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	The Saffrons, Eastbourne, Sussex	Date	13, 14 August 1900	
Result	Match drawn			
Toss				
Umpires				

Eastbourne	First innings	Second innings			
HR Browne	b Tagart	39			
AC Miller	c Harwood b Johnstone	14	(1)	lbw b Johnstone	10
EM Crosse	st Watney b Johnstone	15	(2)	b Johnstone	6
J Shuter	c Watney b Johnstone	33	(3)	b Whitfield	12
Nixon	b Johnstone	53			
AG Topham	c Anderson b Johnstone	7	(4)	lbw b Tagart	16
ME Pavri	c Watney b Tagart	45	(5)	c Watney b Whitfield	5
FW Bush	c Anderson b Tagart	17	(6)	b Druce	6
EO Tagart	not out	6	(7)	not out	13
EB Green	c Davenport b Tagart	6	(8)	not out	4
+ R Collins	c Whatford b Johnstone	1			
Extras		18			3
Total	(all out)	254		(for 6 wickets)	75
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/254			1 to 6 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Johnstone			106	6			19	2
Tagart			62	4			22	1
Whitfield							27	2
Druce							2	1

Trinity Wanderers	First innings	Second innings (following on)			
HJ Davenport	b Nixon	0	(2)	c Pavri b Nixon	36
CA Anderson	c Collins b Nixon	0	(4)	c Collins b Pavri	18
NO Tagart	b Nixon	1	(5)	b Pavri	12
HM Harwood	c Collins b Pavri	5	(6)	b Nixon	1
EAC Druce	b Nixon	0	(7)	not out	201
GL Whatford	b Nixon	4	(8)	b Nixon	4
+ GN Watney	c Bush b Pavri	1	(9)	b Pavri	2
VP Johnstone	c Topham b Pavri	9	(10)	st Collins b Pavri	120
GS Whitfield	b Nixon	16	(11)	not out	1
WH Whatley	b Pavri	19	(3)	b Pavri	33
JS Richardson	not out	15	(1)	b Miller	6
Extras		1			15
Total	(all out)	71		(for 9 wickets, declared)	449
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/71			1 to 7 not known, 8/149, 9/442	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Nixon			34	6			127	3
Pavri			36	4			156	5
Miller							51	1

Source: *Sporting Life* 14-15 August 1900; *Cambridge Independent Press* 17 August 1900; *Eastbourne Chronicle* 18 August 1900

Close of play, Day 1: Trinity Wanderers (2) 40-1 (Davenport and Whatley not out)

The ninth wicket partnership of 293 between Druce and Johnstone is reported to have taken "a little over 2 hours" (2h 5m in the *Eastbourne Chronicle*). Druce was 195\* when Johnstone was out; his side batted on to enable him to reach his 200, at which point they declared. Druce hit 23 fours and one six (the shot that took him from 195 to 201); Johnstone hit 13 fours.

## Derwent v Wellington 1900/01

1

Venue	Upper Cricket Ground, Hobart, Tasmania	Date	3, 10, 17 November 1900
Result	Wellington won on first innings		
Toss			
Umpires	CJ Eady with S Wild, J Masterton and CW Butler		

Derwent	First innings	
R Hawson	c Gatehouse b Burn	25
S Hawson	c F Dodds b N Dodds	11
D Paton	b N Dodds	11
C McAllen	b LH Macleod	28
GH Bailey	b LH Macleod	5
J Hudson	not out	106
K Bailey	b N Dodds	5
H Teague	c F Dodds b LH Macleod	2
G Trappes	b McDowall	21
R Burgess	b Reeves	20
HL Facy	b Burn	45
Extras		15
Total	(all out)	294
Fall	1/29, 2/45, 3/64, 4/75, 5/88, 6/93, 7/96, 8/137, 9/184, 10/294	

	O	M	R	W
N Dodds	34	5	87	3
Burn	28.2	3	62	2
LH Macleod	19	1	64	3
McDowall	3	0	12	1
Reeves	9	2	16	1
Douglas	5	2	9	0
M Macleod	4	0	15	0
Davies	4	0	14	0

Wellington	First innings	
LH Macleod	c S Hawson b K Bailey	1
M Macleod	c Facy b K Bailey	16
N Dodds	c S Hawson b Paton	62
K Burn	lbw b Paton	40
+ GH Gatehouse	lbw b R Pawson	247
B Davies	c R Hawson b K Bailey	6
OH Douglas	not out	200
F Dodds	c Burgess b K Bailey	57
D McDowall	c sub b R Hawson	0
H Reeves		
[unknown]		
Extras		42
Total	(for 8 wickets)	671
Fall	1/8, 2/33, 3/100, 4/135, 5/150, 6/520, 7/665, 8/671	

	O	M	R	W
S Hawson	26	2	93	0
K Bailey	56	10	139	4
R Hawson	28.4	4	98	2
Paton	39	3	131	2
Trappes	21	4	67	0
Teague	16	3	47	0
Hudson	8	0	27	0
Facy	3	0	22	0

Source: *The Mercury* (Hobart), *The Examiner* (Launceston), and *Tasmania News* (Hobart), all 5, 12 and 19 November 1900; *Cricket* 1901 p8.

Close of play: Day 1 – Derwent 294 all out; Day 2 – Wellington 285-5 (Gatehouse 111, Douglas 40)

Gatehouse and Douglas added 370 for Wellington's sixth wicket. In the Wellington innings, the substitute who caught McDowall is named variously as Campbell or Cambrell. A player named as A Cambrell played in the return match in January 1901 (*Herald* 21 January 1901) – so three to choose from!

The 11th player in the Wellington side was probably either Seager or Hayton, both of whom were named in the Wellington squad for the match as given in the *Herald* on 2 November.

Myrtleford Association v Omeo 1900/01			4
Venue	Myrtleford, Victoria, Australia	Date	1900/01 season
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			

---

---

---

Gross says that J Paul took 19 wickets in this match for Myrtleford Association. No report of the game has been traced in Trove, or elsewhere (including *Cricket*).

Royal Military College v Silwood Park 1901		1
Venue	RMC, Sandhurst, Berkshire	Date 11 May 1901
Result	Silwood Park won on first innings by 198 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

Silwood Park		First innings			
HB Chinnery	b Goldie				3
CC Pilkington	st Beadle b Magniac				110
FL Crabtree	c Beadle b Goldie				5
EC Garnett	b Magniac				36
EC Mordaunt	c Montgomery b Magniac				29
W Duncan	c and b Goldie				21
Maj Prescott-Decie	c Pattisson b Magniac				1
Capt EG Wynyard	not out				11
PC Goldney	c Pattisson b Holbech				5
LC Wagstaff	b Goldie				4
CC Clarke	not out				28
Extras					12
Total	(for 9 wickets, declared)				265
Fall	1-9 not known				
	O	M	R	W	
Goldie				4	
Magniac				4	
Holbech				1	

Royal Military College		First innings				Second innings (following on)			
Lord Dalmeny	c Wynyard b Pilkington				16	b Wynyard			9
KO Goldie	c Garnett b Pilkington				3	c sub b Wynyard			90
EJH Haughton	b Pilkington				2	b Crabtree			3
E Magniac	b Pilkington				8	b Mordaunt b Crabtree			5
TH Pattisson	b Pilkington				0	b Wagstaff b Wynyard			3
RD Beadle	c Mordaunt b Pilkington				12	run out			2
HM Hogg	b Pilkington				0	st Crabtree b Wynyard			0
W Holbech	b Pilkington				1	not out			2
RH Montgomery	not out				10	b Wynyard			0
N Henderson	c Garnett b Pilkington				0	c sub b Wynyard			0
HT Molloy	c Prescott-Decie b Pilkington				0				
Extras	b12, lb1, w1, nb1				15	lb1			1
Total	(all out)				67	(for 9 wickets)			115
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/67					1-8 not known, 9/115			
	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Pilkington			25	10					
Wynyard									6
Crabtree									2

This match was not included in the original list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135 of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten [or better] in the same match, but came to notice through an addendum, submitted by Ernest Gross, that appeared in *CQ* volume 6, on page 84. It is also included in the equivalent list in Robert Brooke's 'Milestones' column in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32].

Scorecard details come from various contemporary newspapers, including *The Sportsman* of 14 May 1901. All contemporary sources other than *Cricket* (1901 pp 138 and 141), together with Gross's addendum item, give Pilkington's first innings figures as 10-25 (as here), but *Cricket* - and Brooke - have 10-28.

It is not known whether RMC were all out with one man absent in their second innings (in which case they lost by an innings and 83 runs), or if the match ended with one wicket still to fall. The result as given above assumes the latter.

<b>AC Benson's House v HV Macnaghten's House 1901</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Eton College, Eton, Buckinghamshire	Date	July 1901	
Result	AC Benson's House won on first innings by 378 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

HV Macnaghten's House		First innings	Second innings	
ES Wyndham	c GW Lyttelton b Herbert	26	b GW Lyttelton	16
FR Pollock	b Herbert	16	not out	3
Leatham	b Herbert	3	c Herbert b GW Lyttelton	45
GJP Senhouse	b GW Lyttelton	3	c Eliot b GW Lyttelton	0
HDD Pender	c Herbert b GW Lyttelton	34	c CF Lyttelton b GW Lyttelton	0
Balfour	c GW Lyttelton b Herbert	5	c Cadogan b GW Lyttelton	12
E Turnour	c Cadogan b GW Lyttelton	5		
M Bevan	b – Lyttelton	0		
HEA Platt	b Herbert	3		
JF Lambton	b – Lyttelton	5		
Weldon	not out	0		
Extras		12		5
Total	(all out)	107	(for 5 wickets)	81
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/107		1 to 4 not known, 5/81	
	O	M	R	W
GW Lyttelton				3
Herbert				5

AC Benson's House		First innings
HW Grosvenor	c Senhouse b Pender	6
GW Lyttelton	not out	260
MRHM Herbert	not out	201
AMG Cadogan		
Campbell		
EH Ryle		
Buxton		
EHJC Eliot		
JD Balgonie		
CF Lyttelton		
FC Montgomerie		
Extras		18
Total	(for 1 wicket, declared)	485
Fall	1/9	
	O	M
Pender		1

Source: *Eton College Chronicle* 31 July 1901 (with thanks to Philip Defriez)

The source only gives surnames (and 'ma' and 'mi' - i.e. 'major' and 'minor' - for the two Lytteltons). Initials have been derived from the Eton College Registers. Where no initials are given, it is because there were two or more boys of the same name at the College at the time of the match.

It is not known which Lyttelton dismissed Bevan and Lambton in Macnaghten (1). If the bowler concerned was GW Lyttelton in both cases, then he and/or Herbert had a hand in every one of the 15 dismissals in the two innings of Macnaghten; and they, and no others, dismissed between them nine of the ten batters in Macnaghten (1), and two of the five in Macnaghten (2).

This was a house cup match. The partnership of 476\* for the second wicket in the Benson's innings is believed to be an English public school record.



Broadstairs & St Peter's v Visitors 1901		Date		24 August 1901	3
Venue	Broadstairs, Kent	Date		24 August 1901	
Result		Toss			
Umpires		Players per side:		12	

Broadstairs & St Peter's innings

---

EE Cockett	100
------------	-----

Extras				
Total	(all out)			207
Fall	1-10 not known, 11/207			
	O	M	R	W

Visitors innings

---

Extras				
Total	(all out)			
Fall				
	O	M	R	W
Cockett			50	11

This match was not included in the original list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135 of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten [or better] in the same match, but came to notice through an addendum, submitted by Ernest Gross, that appeared in *CQ* volume 6, on page 84. It is also included in the equivalent list in Robert Brooke's 'Milestones' column in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32].

References to Cockett's performance – but no scorecard - can be found in several contemporary sources, including the local *Thanet Advertiser* of 31 August 1901 [though not at all in the *Thanet Times* or in *Keble's Margate Gazette*], as well as in the *Nottingham Evening Post* and *Yorkshire Evening Post* of 28 August 1901, the *Eastern Daily Press* of 29 August, the *Hampshire Chronicle* of 31 August, and *Cricket* of 5 September (page 394).

There is no agreement on Cockett's initials: he is variously given as EE Cockett (*NEP*, *EDP*, *TA*), FF Cockett (*HC and Brooke*), and EF Cockett (*YEP*). EE is preferred here, because that is how the name is given in the most local newspaper. No exact matches to any of these initials have been traced in Kent in the 1901 census.

It is also unclear whether Cockett's side was Broadstairs and St Peter's (which was the name of the local authority), or just Broadstairs St Peter's. The *Thanet Advertiser* just refers to him playing for 'St Peter's'.

Residents v Visitors 1901				3
Venue	Devonshire Park, Eastbourne, Sussex	Date	28 August 1901	
Result	Visitors won by an innings and 282 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Visitors	First innings	
Mabel G Bryant	not out	224

	Extras			
	Total	(for 5 wickets, declared)	367	
Fall	1 to 5	not known		
		O	M	R
				W

Residents	First innings	Second innings
-----------	---------------	----------------

	Extras				
	Total	(all out)	18		67
Fall	1 to 9	not known, 10/18		1 to 9	not known, 10/67
		O	M	R	W
Bryant				5	5

Source: *Cricket* 1901 pp 387-388. Also picked up later by various national newspapers, though with less detail. But strangely, not noted at all in the *Eastbourne Gazette*, and hardly mentioned in the *Eastbourne Chronicle* (in which the only reference, on 9 September 1901, is picked up from "a London paper of Tuesday [4 Sept]").

Mabel Bryant, hockey international, as well as making the highest-ever score to date by a female cricketer and taking five wickets in each innings (reportedly), is also said to have taken two catches and two stumpings. Her innings of 224\* is said to have lasted 2¼ hours. Her position in the batting order is not known.

In an interview published in the *Liverpool Echo* 8 March 1924 (page 9) Miss Bryant – daughter of the vicar of St Leonards - said that she was playing for the Eastbourne Ladies against a team of visitors [rather than vice versa], and that she took "all the ten wickets of our opponents" for 18 runs [rather than five in each innings].

The absence of local corroboration of this performance is a little surprising. It is also not known whether this was an all-female match or a mixed match, nor in which order the teams batted. If they did at all.

## Olton v Yardley 1901

1

Venue	Solihull, Warwickshire	Date	31 August 1901
Result	Olton won by an unknown number of wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires			

### Yardley innings

SH Barton	c and b Whittle	0
R Rowlands	b Whittle	5
F Mason	b Whittle	2
O Heseltine	st Darlington b Whittle	0
Hodgkins	b Whittle	2
Whitehead	b Whittle	0
H Bromwich	b Whittle	12
CA Grice	c Darlington b Whittle	8
R Parker	c Darlington b Whittle	34
A Shuttleworth	b Whittle	9
Woodward	not out	5
Extras		15
Total	(all out)	92
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/92	

	O	M	R	W
Whittle			59	10

### Olton innings

TH Price	b Woodward	24
C Storrer	b Parker	0
PA Pettifer	lbw b Woodward	9
R Powell	not out	19
AEM Whittle	not out	100
WH Stubbs		
GB Pippet		
+ LJ Darlington		
R Wigley		
AR Pettifer		
CJ Young		
Extras		6
Total	(for 3 wickets)	158
Fall	1-3 not known	

	O	M	R	W
Woodward				2
Parker				1

This match is included in Robert Brooke's list of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten [or better] in the same match ['Milestones' in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32], but not in the equivalent list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135. Brooke names the successful Olton player as AFM Whittle, but AEM is correct; he was Bert Whittle, who played 89 first-class matches for Warwickshire and Somerset between 1900 and 1911.

Scorecard details are taken from the *Birmingham Daily Gazette* of 2 September 1901.

E Company, 2nd Hampshire Regiment v A Company, 2nd Hampshire Regiment 1901/02 1			
Venue	Barberton, Transvaal	Date	30, 31 October 1901
Result	E Company won by 138 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

E Company	First innings		Second innings	
Capt AC Richards	not out	101	b Ashby	185
Pte Kendrick	b Ashby	2	c sub (Collins) b Painter	5
Cpl Inggs	b Farmer	0	b Farmer	0
Sgt Pragnell	b Farmer	0	b Ashby	6
Cpl Crease	b Ashby	0	b Farmer	1
Pte Brown	b Painter	0	b Painter	0
Pte Gray	b Ashby	0	c Ashby b Farmer	3
Pte Prior	b Painter	2	b Budden	0
Pte Miller	b Painter	0	b Painter	0
Sgt Haines	b Painter	0	not out	0
Pte Sims	c Chandler b Painter	1	b Budden	5
Extras		8		16
Total	(all out)	114	(all out)	221
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/114		1 to 9 not known, 10/221	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Ashby				3				2
Farmer				2				3
Painter				5				3
Budden								2

A Company	First innings		Second innings	
Lt HF Ashby	b Pragnell	14	not out	69
Farmer	b Richards	28	c Richards b Pragnell	2
Painter	c and b Richards	3	c Brown b Pragnell	19
Handysides	c Haines b Richards	2	b Pragnell	11
Harrold	b Richards	2	run out	1
Budden	b Pragnell	1	b Pragnell	1
Harder	b Richards	0	b Pragnell	3
Sgt Mills	b Richards	0	b Richards	11
Corp Chandler	b Richards	0	absent	-
Corp French	not out	5	b Richards	2
Walder	c Sims b Richards	1	b Pragnell	2
Extras		13		7
Total	(all out)	69	(all out)	128
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/69		1 to 9 not known, 10/128	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Pragnell				2				6
Richards				8				2

Source: *The Field* 7 December 1901. Also noted in the *Pall Mall Gazette* 9 December 1901, and various other newspapers across the country; in *Cricket* 1901 page 472; and in an article by Gross in *the Journal of The Cricket Society* Vol 3 no 3, page 19

Arthur Carew Richards (1865-1930) played first-class cricket for Hampshire (4 matches), and has an obituary in *Wisden*. In this match he made 88.60% of his side's first innings total (95.28% of the runs off the bat), and 83.71% of their second innings total (90.24% of the runs off the bat). In all he scored 85.37% of his side's runs in the match, and 91.96% of the runs made off the bat.

## Sturt v North Adelaide 1901/02

1

Venue	Unley Oval, Adelaide	Date	1, 8 March 1902
Result	Match drawn		
Toss	North Adelaide		
Umpires			

North Adelaide	First Innings			
WJ Adey	b Hay			12
JP Atkinson	c Bushell b Hewer			19
JH Pellew	st NW Jolly b Winnall			30
*JC Reedman	c NW Jolly b Hay			45
FJ Dickinson	b Hay			53
N Claxton	b Hewer			12
A McKechnie	b Hewer			33
EH Kekwick	not out			227
RBC Rees	b Hay			48
+ A Welsby	b Hay			2
JGF Matthews	not out			141
Extras	(b 2, lb 7, nb 1, w 1)			11
Total	(for 9 wickets)			633
Fall	1/? , 2/32, 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/220, 8/? , 9/344			
	O	M	R	W
Hay	31	9	149	5
Hewer	32	3	122	3
Winnall	30	3	110	1
Hack	22	4	99	0
Rofe	13	0	63	0
BD Jolly	2	0	9	0
Chinner	8	1	36	0
Bushell	2	0	21	0
Osman	2	0	13	0

Sturt - did not bat

FT Hack  
 HGW Chinner  
 JJ Bushell  
 WJ Osman  
 BD Jolly  
 NW Jolly  
 PJ Rofe  
 H Hay  
 WA Hewer  
 CH Winnall  
 [unknown]

Source: Scorecard from Geoff Sando (Adelaide); plus the *Register*, the *Advertiser*, and the *Express & Telegraph* (all Adelaide), issues of 3 and 10 March 1902. Details of captain and WK for North Adelaide from Harry Watton.

Close of play, Day 1: North Adelaide 220-7 (Kekwick 13)

Kekwick (other spellings have been seen, but Kekwick [sic] is an established surname) and Matthews added 289\* for the tenth wicket. Some sources (the *Express & Telegraph*, and the *Advertiser*) say 298\*, but the *Register* group of papers, along with Gross and Sando, give 289\*, and that has been followed here.

Comments from Geoff Sando: "With three matches remaining, North Adelaide had a significant lead in the Premiership competition. Their position would be almost unassailable if they could prevent Sturt from winning this match. There were suggestions that Sturt had prepared a perfect batting wicket to aid their run chase on the second day. In response, North Adelaide showed an intent to bat for as long as possible, and in the end they batted throughout the match."



Langport v Glastonbury 1902		6
Venue	Field Road, Langport, Somerset	Date 31 May 1902
Result	Glastonbury won by 80 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

Glastonbury	First innings	
*CAH Baily	b Cozens	34
A Lisk	c Knight b Lang	6
+ GJ Ingram	run out	1
B Giblett	hit wicket b Cozens	8
HS Baily	not out	9
HH Baily	b Cozens	0
W Davis	b Lang	10
A Lukins	run out	4
G Edwards	lbw b Cozens	0
J Pompey	run out	4
G Richards	b Cozens	1
Extras		3
Total	(all out)	80
Fall	1/24, 2-9 not known, 10/80	

	O	M	R	W
Cozens				5
Lang				2

Langport	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
CJ Manley	lbw b Lisk	0	b Lisk	14
NF Pittard	b Lisk	0	c Lisk b – Baily	0
J Lang	lbw b Lisk	0		
A Knight	c Lukins b Lisk	0	b Giblett	3
WE Brister	b CAH Baily	0		
HE Cozens	b Lisk	0	(6) not out	2
HG Stigings	lbw b Lisk	0	(5) not out	12
HB Hamm	b CAH Baily	0		
L Parker	b CAH Baily	0		
H Weaver	not out	0	(3) b Lisk	11
F Burningham	b Lisk	0		
Extras		0		11
Total	(all out)	0	(for 4 wickets)	53
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0		1 to 4 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Lisk	2.3	2	0	7				2
CAH Baily	2	2	0	3				
- Baily								1
Giblett								1

Source: Scorecard in Cricket Archive, supplemented by additional details from the *Langport & Somerton Herald* and the *Central Somerset Gazette*, both of 7 June 1902.

Cricket Archive records that Lisk took five wickets in six balls in Langport's first innings. The ball-by-ball analysis in the *Gazette* confirms that he recorded a hat-trick, but suggests that he actually took 4 in 5, 5 in 7 and 6 in 8. The identity of the three hat-trick victims is uncertain: the first two were almost certainly Lang and Knight, but the third may have been either Pittard or Brister; probably the former.

The identity of the Glastonbury no. 11 is uncertain. The most local paper (the *Herald*) gives G Richards, and that has been followed here. But both the *Gazette* and Cricket Archive name him as T Wickham.

Only the *Herald* makes any mention of the Langport second innings, for which it gives the full score as above. The batting order for that innings is as shown in the *Herald*.

Cowley House School v Oakfield School 1902			1
Venue	High Barnet, Middlesex	Date	June 1902
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			

Cowley House School innings

EHE Morgan	not out	129
Cox	b Welch	0
Furlong	b Langfier	0
Etheridge	c Jack b Langfier	0
Goddard (major)	b Langfier	0
Mee	b Langfier	0
Goddard (minor)	c Jack b Varley	0
Glaisby	run out	1
Tidmarsh	b Fraser	0
Meikle	c Jack b Fraser	0
Bennett	b Fraser	0
Extras		4
Total	(all out)	134
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/134	

	O	M	R	W
Welch				1
Langfier				4
Varley				1
Fraser				3

Oakfield School innings

Fraser				
Jack				
Langfier				
Varley				
Welch				
Extras				
Total				
Fall				

Source: Article by Gross in *Journal of The Cricket Society* Vol 4 no 2, page 40. Morgan's performance was also noted in various contemporary newspapers, including the *Gloucestershire Echo* of 30 June, the *Edinburgh Evening News* of 3 July, and the *Scottish Referee* of 11 July.

No details of Oakfield School's innings are known.

Morgan was a teacher at Cowley House School. He can probably be equated with Edward Henry Elers Morgan of Hertfordshire, 1899-1902.



Ampleforth College v Gilling 1902

1

Venue	Ampleforth College, Yorkshire	Date	12 July 1902
Result	Ampleforth College won by nine wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires			

Gilling innings

Extras					
Total		(all out)			74
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/74				
		O	M	R	W

Ampleforth College innings

EC Pilkington	b [unknown]	0
OM Williams	not out	119
WJ Lambert	not out	282

Extras					
Total		(for 1 wicket)			21
Fall	1/0				422
		O	M	R	W

Source: *Ampleforth Journal* [college magazine] 8 (1903) page 114; *Yorkshire Evening Post* 14 July 1902. The match was not noted in the *Thirsk & District News*.

In the event of scorecard discrepancies, the few details in the *Ampleforth Journal* have been preferred. The scorecard in the *Yorkshire Evening Post* has Williams 120\* and the total 423-1 dec. The match is also mentioned in *Cricket* 1902 page 299, but with several differences in the scores: Gilling all out 79, Ampleforth 426-1 (no declaration mentioned), Williams 121\*, Extras 23, and the dismissed batter R Pilkington.

Gross gives the second-wicket partnership as 423. This does not tally with the college's own report of the match. I infer that the 'correct' figure is 422, in which case the fall of wicket was 1/0.

From Anselm Cramer, Archivist at Ampleforth College, 30 October 2020: "The College was doing so well, and Wilfrid Lambert in particular, that the local village side (most of whom were probably College employees) thought it would be nice for a bright youngster to do well, so they continued the match long after the necessary total had been reached. And it was a small ground. That is the story, the Tradition." The brief reference in the *Ampleforth Journal* confirms that the match was played at the College, and that it was the result of a challenge to the First XI by Gilling.

Rendcomb v Chedworth 1902				1
Venue	Rendcomb, Gloucestershire	Date	16 August 1902	
Result	Chedworth won by an innings and 35 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Rendcomb	First innings		Second innings	
T Redmond	b P Coates	0	b Miller	5
+ T Hassett	c – Hallett b Miller	0	run out	2
W Nevill	run out	0	b P Coates	0
C Culling	b Miller	0	c Miller b P Coates	2
W Hayward	c T Bartlett b P Coates	0	c Pinchin b P Coates	1
W Combes	c Grinnel b P Coates	0	c Fry b P Coates	0
W Leach	b Miller	0	c Pinchin b – Bartlett	1
W Harris	b P Coates	0	b P Coates	0
J Temple	c J Hallett b Miller	0	b Miller	3
S Temple	b Miller	0	not out	0
A Gegg	not out	0	b P Coates	1
Extras		0		0
Total	(all out)	0	(all out)	15
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0		1 to 9 not known, 10/15	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
P Coates			0	4				6
Miller			0	6				2
-Bartlett								1

Chedworth	First innings	
J Miller	c and b Harris	7
F Fry	st Hassett b J Temple	0
J Hallett	c Leach b Harris	8
E Bartlett	c Combes b Harris	0
O Bartlett	c J Temple b Leach	6
P Coates	b Leach	13
T Bartlett	c Redmond b Gegg	6
W Pinchin	b Leach	0
C Grinnel	b Leach	3
W Hallett	b Leach	4
R Coates	not out	0
Extras		3
Total	(all out)	50
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/50	

	O	M	R	W
Harris				3
J Temple				1
Leach				5
Gegg				1

Source: *Wiltshire & Gloucestershire Standard* 23 August 1902.

The match is also noted in the *Gloucestershire Echo* of 23 August, but not in contemporary editions of either the *Cheltenham Chronicle* or the *Cheltenham Examiner*.

Gross gives the venue as Chedworth, but the newspaper source confirms that the match was at Rendcomb. (The newspaper gives the spelling Rendcombe, but the shorter version is correct.)

Castle v Junior Hawks 1902

4

Venue	Clapham Common, London	Date	23 August 1902
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			

---

---

Gross says that J Watts took all ten wickets in an innings for no runs for the Castle side.

The feat is noted in *Cricket* 1902 page 388, which names the bowler as Joseph Watts.

It is not noted in contemporary issues of the *Clapham Observer*, the *South London Press*, the *Wandsworth Borough News* [*WBN*], or the *South Western Star*. The *WBN* issue of 29 August 1902 contains summary scores of matches played at Clapham Common on the previous Saturday (the 23rd), but this match is not included.

Harry Watton has noted, from the *Daily News* (London) of 29 June 1904, that there were two sides in the South London Auxiliary Cricket Association in 1904 (two years after this match) named Junior Hawks and Castle Yard (sic). The *WBN* in 1902 however gives separate scores, in some editions, of matches by 'Castle' and by 'Castle Yard', so these were evidently separate teams.

Venue Frindsbury, Kent

Date 1902 season

Result

Toss

Umpires

---

---

Gross records that J Stebbing took eight wickets with eight consecutive deliveries for Frindsbury in this match, but research to date casts considerable doubt on this.

James Stebbing was the pro for Rochester CC around this time, and played occasionally for Kent II.

The *Rochester & Chatham Journal* and the *Rochester & Chatham Standard* for 1902 have both been checked at the British Library, and although Stebbing is shown as playing regularly for Rochester, he is not seen in any Frindsbury team (although the latter's matches, and an occasional Rainham match, are reported in those papers). Further checking showed that *The Cricketer* in 1954 (Winter Annual page 549) says that Stebbing's feat was performed in 1898, but there is no mention of it in any newspaper in BNA, or in *Cricket*, in either that year or in 1902. *Wisden* first recorded the feat in 1911, assigning it to the 1902 season.

Checks at the Medway Archive Centre of various local newspapers for the area between 1896 and 1905 (the *Chatham Observer*, the *Chatham Standard*, the *Chatham & Rochester News*) have failed to find any mention of the feat, and neither have they ever shown Stebbing playing for any local team other than Rochester.

The feat is not alluded to in David Wood's history of Rainham CC (2006).

Cantonment School Ferozpur v Bhagwandas High School 1902				4
Venue	Not known	Date	(Calendar year 1902)	
Result	Not known			
Toss				
Umpires				

---

Extras					
Total					_____
	O	M	R	W	

---

Extras					
Total					_____
Fall	O	M	R	W	

PN Polishwalla's *Cricketers' Almanac for 1928* includes a list, compiled by Polishwalla, of all instances of a bowler taking 'all ten' in matches in India and Ceylon in the preceding 50 years.

These include an instance by Sardar Jaisingh (who Polishwala describes as a 'well-known bowler') taking ten wickets for no runs for Cantonment School in this match. This feat is not recorded by Gross.

With thanks to Sreeram B Iyer.

Carpenterian Reformatory v Waratah (Sydney) 1902/03			3
Venue	Brush Farm, Eastwood, NSW, Australia	Date	1 January 1903
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			

---



---



---

Gross says that CSB Cochrane (317) and AG Burgoyne (28\*) shared a partnership of 245 for the tenth wicket for the Reformatory side in this match.

The performance is noted in the *Sydney Morning Herald* on 3 and 7 January 1903, which confirms the partnership of 245 for the last wicket, but gives no other score details.

Gross refers to the home side as 'Brush Farm Reformatory (Carpentaria)', but the correct name is as given in the match-header above. The spelling 'Carpentarian' is sometimes seen, but 'Carpenterian' is correct: the initial foundation was named after social reformer Mary Carpenter, and 'Carpenterian' is used in the official documents under which the Reformatory was established.

Gross is the only source seen that names the triple-centurion as 'CSB Cochrane' (which he does twice, once in his list of 300s and once in his list of large 10th-wicket partnerships). The *SMH* and other sources just give him as CB Cochrane, which is believed to be correct.

## Redfern v Paddington 1902/03

1

Venue	Redfern Oval, Sydney	Date	31 January, 7 February 1903
Result	Paddington won by an innings and 443 runs		
Toss			
Umpires	C Brown, Caswell		

Paddington	First innings	
VT Trumper	st Prescott b Hanigan	335
D Gee	b Newton	172
WR Iredale	c Jesson b Hanigan	37
F Gow	st Prescott b Houghton	3
P Winning	b Hanigan	11
EG Noble	b Houghton	6
R Carty	b Houghton	24
AC Bannerman	not out	14
A Marshall	c Sone b Houghton	2
JJ Kelly	c Sone b Houghton	0
MA Noble	not out	0
Extras	b13, lb1	14
Total	(for 9 wickets declared)	618
Fall	1/423, 2/554, 3/558, 4/572, 5/572, 6/588, 7/609, 8/617, 9/617	

	O	M	R	W
E Hume	10	0	120	0
Prowse	15	0	114	0
W Hume	8	0	45	0
Hanigan	11	0	70	3
Creswick	6	0	57	0
Foster	2	0	21	0
Sone	6	2	34	0
Houghton	15	5	40	5
Jesson	3	0	39	0
Newton	9	0	64	1

Redfern	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
E Hume	c Trumper b MA Noble	14	(10) not out	4
T Foster	c Gee b MA Noble	15	(8) c MA Noble b Marshall	4
A Hanigan	run out	13	(5) c Iredale b Marshall	48
G Houghton	c and b MA Noble	0	(9) c MA Noble b Marshall	17
AT Sone	c Marshall b Trumper	1	(6) lbw b Gee	16
E Newton	b MA Noble	2	(3) b Carty	7
W Jesson	c Iredale b MA Noble	0	c Kelly b Gee	7
EA Creswick	c Marshall b MA Noble	2	(4) c Trumper b Carty	5
+ F Prescott	not out	5	(1) b EG Noble	1
E Prowse	c Trumper b MA Noble	0	(2) lbw b Carty	7
W Hume	absent hurt	-	absent hurt	-
Extras		1		6
Total	(all out)	53	(all out)	122
Fall	1/30, 2/31, 3/33, 4/34, 5/37, 6/37, 7/43, 8/48, 9/53		1 to 8 not known, 9/122	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
MA Noble	13.1	3	27	7				
Trumper	13	3	25	1				
EG Noble					(1)	9	3	32
Carty					(2)	11	2	32
Gee					(3)	8	1	32
Marshall					(4)	5.2	0	21

Source: Paddington innings – Charles Davis rescore in *The Cricket Statistician* 186 pp 33ff. Redfern innings from *Sydney Morning Herald* 9 February 1903. FoW in Redfern (1) from *Sydney Sportsman*. No FoW traced for Redfern (2). Umpires from *SMH* 7 February 1903.

Close of play, Day 1: Paddington 618-9 (Bannerman 14\*, MA Noble 0\*)

Trumper batted 180 minutes for his 335, made off 205 balls with 39 fours and 22 fives. He was third out, at 558.

Gee batted 140 minutes, faced 147 balls, and hit 24 fours and two fives.

F Gow was absent ill on the second day of the match, and Paddington fielded only ten players (*The Referee*, 11 February 1903)

# St Lawrence v St Peter's, Thanet 1903

1

Venue	Broadstairs, Kent	Date	23 May 1903
Result	St Lawrence won on first innings by 46 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

St Lawrence	First innings		Second innings	
A Latter	b Wright	4		
HE Green	c Roffey b Wright	3	run out	16
Maj Salmon	st Winter b Wright	20	st Winter b Porch	3
G Neame	b Taylor-Jones	3	c Thomas b Porch	2
Capt Partridge	b Wright	3	b Taylor-Jones	17
Fairservice	b Wright	18	not out	104
HM James	lbw b Taylor-Jones	2	not out	8
A Fielder	not out	19	st Winter b Porch	1
H Pine	c Johnston b Wright	4		
H Williams	st Winter b Wright	2	b Porch	0
Preston	run out	3	b Porch	8
Extras		8		22
Total	(all out)	94	(for 7 wickets)	181
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/ , 10/94		1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/?	
	O	M	R	W
Wright				7
Taylor-Jones				2
Porch				1
				5
St Peter's, Thanet	First innings			
RB Porch	c Latter b Fielder	12		
E Taylor-Jones	c Fairservice b Fielder	17		
RM Thomas	c and b Fairservice	6		
RA Brandram	b Fielder	0		
+ CE Winter	b Fielder	0		
OW Wright	b Fielder	0		
N Roffey	b Fielder	0		
DA Bacon	b Fielder	0		
RVL Johnston	b Fielder	0		
R Schofield	b Fielder	0		
WO MacArthur	not out	9		
Extras		4		
Total	(all out)	48		
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/ , 10/48			
	O	M	R	W
Fielder			23	9
Fairservice			22	1

Source: *The Field* 30 May 1903; *Thanet Advertiser* 30 May 1903

Gross says that Fielder took 9 wickets in the space of 11 deliveries in the innings of St Peter's, Thanet. The contemporary sources credit him with 5 in 5, 7 in 8, 8 in 11, and 9 in 13.

The batters' scores in St Lawrence (2) are as in *The Field*. The scorecard in the *Advertiser* switches the scores of Green and Neame, giving Green 2 and Neame 16.



Reynards Road Methodist Church v St Augustine's Church, Moreland 1903/04				1
Venue	Coburg, Victoria, Australia	Date	23 January 1904	
Result	Reynards Road Methodist Church won by an unknown number of wickets (and batted on)			
Toss				
Umpires				

St Augustine's	First innings		Second innings	
N Haythorpe	b Bashford	1	b Bashford	10
G Tacon	c McKernon b Bashford	0	c R King b Bashford	4
J Bickley	b Bashford	6 (6)	b Bashford	0
L Hoyling	b Bashford	0 (8)	not out	8
*H Tate	c and b Bashford	0	c and b Bashford	3
W Fleming	b Bashford	0 (7)	b Bashford	6
O Neuendorf	c and b Bashford	2 (3)	b Bashford	7
+ L Fox	b Bashford	3 (4)	b Bashford	3
G Draeger	not out	3	b Bashford	0
H Betteson	b Bashford	0	b Bashford	2
E Byer	b Bashford	1	b Bashford	2
Extras	b2, nb1	3	b3, lb1	4
Total	(all out)	19	(all out)	49
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/19		1 to 9 not known, 10/49	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Bashford	5.2	6	9	10	9	0	20	10
Farmer	5	1	7	0	2.4	0	13	0
R King					2	1	12	0

Reynards Rd Methodist Ch	First innings		Second innings	
R King	b Betteson	3 (4)	b Fox	0
L Wakelin	c Hoyling b Haythorpe	0 (6)	not out	11
R Farmer	b Haythorpe	6 (1)	b Haythorpe	22
J McKernon	b Betteson	11 (3)	c Fox b Haythorpe	5
T Lee	b Betteson	0 (2)	not out	12
H Woolacot	st Fox b Betteson	5		
*SW King	c and b Betteson	6 (5)	c and b Tate	1
C Bashford	c Haythorpe	3		
S Huntington	c and b Haythorpe	0		
E Kier	b Betteson	3		
H Swan	not out	0		
Extras	b1	1	b4	4
Total	(all out)	38	(for 4 wickets)	55
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/38		1 to 4 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Haythorpe	6	1	19	4	6	0	15	2
Betteson	5	0	18	6	2	0	8	0
Neuendorf					1	0	7	0
Hoyling					1	0	4	0
Fox					2.4	0	12	1
Tate					2	0	5	1

Source: *Cricket* 1904 pages 384 (also noted on pp 377 and 457); *Launceston Examiner* 23 July 1904 [reprinted from *The Age* of 21 July 1904]. Also noted by Gross in an article in the *Journal of The Cricket Society* vol 4 no. 2 page 44.

The successful bowler was definitely named Cyril Bashford (see e.g. the *Sydney Morning Herald* 14 April 1904, and the *North Western Advocate* (Tasmania) 25 July 1904), and was probably Cyril Harcourt Roslyn Bashford (1888-1970). Military records confirm that this CHR Bashford was a Methodist.

The number of overs credited to each bowler in St Augustine's (2) cannot be correct.

'Hoyling' is given as 'Hayling' by Gross. Gross also gives Bashford figures of 10-4 in the first innings, but 10-9 as given here (and in the contemporary sources) totals accurately.

Duke of Cornwall's Light Infantry Depot, Bodmin v St Breward 1904			1N
Venue	Lanhydrock, Cornwall	Date	7 May 1904
Result	Duke of Cornwall's Light Infantry Depot won by 37 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Duke of Cornwall's Light Infantry Depot innings

---

Extras				
Total				37
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/37			
	O	M	R	W

St Breward innings

---

				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
Extras				0
Total				0
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0			
	O	M	R	W
Keveith	1.3	1	0	8
[unknown]	1	1	0	?

Source: *Cornish & Devon Post* 14 May 1904; also reported in other provincial newspapers including the *Portadown News* and the *Berwickshire News*. Not recorded by Gross.

The successful bowler is sometimes named as Keneth, but Keveth is the more frequent spelling. (Keveth is an established surname in Cornwall.) His bowling figures – which included two hat-tricks - have been derived from the fact that most newspapers reported that the St Breward innings lasted 15 balls, but three overs is also given in some sources.

The Duke of Cornwall's Light Infantry Depot was based at Bodmin.

Western College A v Ashville College A 1904			1N
Venue	Western College, Harrogate, Yorkshire	Date	1 June 1904
Result	Ashville College A won by 47 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Ashville College A innings

Longley  
Swallow

Extras				
Total	(all out)			47
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/47			
	O	M	R	W

Western College A innings

				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
				0
Extras				0
Total	(all out)			0
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5/0, 6/0, 7/0, 8/0, 9/0, 10/0			
	O	M	R	W
Longley	5	5	0	3
Swallow	5	5	0	7

Source: *Knaresborough Post* 11 June 1904; *Cricket* 1904 page 201. Also noted in the *Harrogate & Claro Times* of 4 June 1904, and the *Harrogate Herald* of 8 June 1904. It is not mentioned in the *Harrogate Advertiser*. Not recorded by Gross.

Some details of the match are noted in the *Harrogate & Claro Times* of 4 June 1904. The following appears in the 'Cricket Chat' column in the *Times* edition of 11 June: "I report in another column the scores made in the Ashville and Western College match on Wednesday in last week, when the whole of the Western eleven failed to get a single run" – but unfortunately no such scores are given elsewhere in that newspaper. Maybe the reference was to the brief notice of the game in the previous week's edition.

With thanks to Jo Faulkner of the North Yorkshire County Record Office.

## Enfield v Marylebone Cricket Club 1904

1

Venue	Enfield, Middlesex	Date	18 June 1904
Result	MCC won by 10 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

### MCC innings

C Hulton	run out	0
N Cuthbertson	c Minton b Chambers	4
AR Severn	retired hurt	57
WE Coleman	c and b Chambers	180
W Salmon	b Burns	0
+ T Russell	c Bevington b Chambers	66
CF Baxter	c Burns b Pritchard	17
L Holland	b Pritchard	0
WG Gifford	b Couves	19
CC Hoyer-Millar	b Couves	5
Needham	not out	5
Extras		20
Total	(all out)	373
Fall	1/4, 2/8, 3/119, 4.302, 5/309, 6/310, 7/354, 8/356, 9/373	

	O	M	R	W
Minton	10	0	78	0
Chambers	15	0	89	3
Starkey	8	2	46	0
Burns	6	0	35	1
Pritchard	10	1	35	2
Couves	5.3	0	36	2
Rowley	3	0	37	0

### Enfield innings

F Rowley	c Salmon b Hoyer-Millar	33
LJ Couves	c Russell b Coleman	16
JC Bevington	b Coleman	6
SGC Starkey	c Russell b Coleman	4
SG Edwards	c Russell b Coleman	24
HL Toms	b Coleman	4
J Chambers	c Gifford b Coleman	39
R Pritchard	not out	139
MH Jenkins	b Coleman	31
JT Burns	c Seven b Hoyer-Millar	32
A Minton	c Russell b Holland	26
Extras		9
Total		363
Fall	1/36, 2/44, 3/60, 4/62, 5/67, 6/102, 7/143, 8/223, 9/286, 10/363	

	O	M	R	W
Coleman			93	7
Hoyer-Millar				2
Holland				1
Needham				0

Source: *Middlesex Gazette* 25 June 1904

In the MCC innings, AR Severn retired when the score was 100-2. WE Coleman hit 26 fours.

736 runs scored in a single day.

<b>Laxton House v Sidney House 1904</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Oundle School, Northamptonshire	Date	June 1904	
Result	Laxton House won by an innings and 681 runs			
Toss	Laxton House			
Umpires				

Laxton House	First innings	
WM Horsfall	b Scruby	44
RH Platts	b Scruby	25
CA Armitage	c Morris b Smith	31
*C Gimson	run out	267
VG Adendorff	c Morris b Coombs	322
HD Phelps	c Morris b Smith	29
+ HRS Bree	b Scruby	6
CM Horsfall	c Dowson b Smith	31
GV Colchester	c Dowson b Coombs	14
W Cox	not out	4
JAR Armitage	c Walker b Coombs	3
Extras		76
Total	(all out)	849
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/150, 4/635, 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/849	

	O	M	R	W
Walker			205	0
Smith			237	3
Scruby			131	3
Lound			13	0
Winstanley			31	0
Tabor			96	0
Morris			31	0
Coombs			29	3

Sidney House	First innings		Second innings (following on)	
AP Smith	b WM Horsfall	7	b Colchester	9
LC Walker	b Gimson	9	b Colchester	2
J Scruby	c Cox b Gimson	1	b Adendorff	4
OC Winstanley	c CA Armitage b Adendorff	23	c CM Horsfall b Adendorff	0
CR Tabor	c Platts b Gimson	4	c JAR Armitage b Adendorff	6
WJ Dowson	b Gimson	0	c Platts b Adendorff	1
AKM Halliley	b Colchester	21	b Adendorff	17
HA Lound	b Adendorff	0	c Gimson b Adendorff	4
D Ewen	c and b Colchester	0	not out	19
JO Morris	not out	0	b Adendorff	15
PG Coombs	b Adendorff	0	b Adendorff	7
Extras		1		18
Total	(all out)	66	(all out)	102
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/66		1 to 9 not known, 10/102	

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Adendorff			8	3				39	8
Gimson			26	4	(3)			26	0
WM Horsfall			19	1					
CM Horsfall			12	0					
Colchester			0	2	(2)			19	2

Source: *The Laxtonian* (Oundle School magazine) for July 1904, courtesy of Elspeth Langsdale (Oundle School Archivist)

Christopher Gimson and Adendorff added 485 for Laxton's fourth wicket; Adendorff also took 11 wickets. Gimson played nine first-class matches for Cambridge University and Leicestershire between 1908 and 1921, and later served as a committee man at Leicestershire CCC. Little has been traced of VG Adendorff, though it is recorded that he dismissed WG Grace (for a mere 141) in a match at the school in 1901, and two years later batted briefly with WG when the great man was guesting for Oundle Rovers against London County in a match at Crystal Palace.

Tewkesbury Grammar School v Eldersfield School 1904				1
Venue	Swilgate Meadow, Tewkesbury, Gloucestershire	Date	13 July 1904	
Result	Tewkesbury Grammar School won by 36 runs (see notes)			
Toss				
Umpires				

Tewkesbury GS	First innings		Second innings	
SN Priestlay	hit wicket b Seyers	0		
HH Rix	c Clements b Seyers	3		
WG Essex	b Seyers	11		
WE Cook	b Seyers	2		60
W Chandler	c Rock b Clements	2		
RB Merrell	b Seyers	10		
CE Tysoe	b Clements	2		
HJ Clarke	c Clements b Seyers	1		
L Paxman	c and b Clements	5		
DP Morgan	not out	1		
F Coombe	b Seyers	0		
Extras		12		
Total	(all out)	49	(for 4 wickets, declared)	96
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/49		1 to 4 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Seyers				7				
Clements				3				

Eldersfield School	First innings		Second innings	
C Clements	b Priestlay	0		
E Turner	not out	6		
E Rock	b Priestlay	0		
B Seyers	b Priestlay	0		21
J Clarke	b Priestlay	0		
F Barley	b Priestlay	3		
F Fowler	c Cook b Priestlay	1		28
H Phillips	b Priestlay	0		
T Jackson	c Chandler b Priestlay	0		
W Jackson	b Priestlay	0		
W Bevan	b Priestlay	0		
Extras		6		
Total	(all out)	16	(all out)	93
Fall	1/0, 2/0, 3/0, 4/0, 5-9 not known, 10/16		1 to 9 not known, 10/93	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Priestlay	4.3	4	0	10			33	6
Cook	4		10	0				

Source: *Tewkesbury Register* 16 July 1904; *Tewkesbury Weekly Record* 16 July 1904; *Gloucester Citizen* 16 July 1904; *Cricket* 1904 page 281. Also noted in various other papers round the country (including the *Cheltenham Chronicle* but not the *Cheltenham Register*).

The fullest match score is in the *Tewkesbury Weekly Record*, but it is not clear from their report whether – as assumed here - Eldersfield School were all out in their second innings (in which case the Grammar School won by 36 runs over two innings) or not (in which case, the win was by 33 runs on first innings).

Stanley Priestlay took four wickets in his opening over (w.ww.w). He was one of four brothers to play for the school this year, the others being Ray, Donald, and JH (sometimes given as JE). He took 70 wickets for the school in the season (avge 5.37) and also scored their only century (in all he scored 334 runs in 20 innings with 1 not-out, avge 17.58). His family name is given consistently as 'Priestlay' at the time of his birth, but it appears to have been changed at some point to 'Priestley', which is the name recorded for Stanley in WW1 rolls of honour, and the name by which his brother (Sir) Raymond was known in his career as a geologist and Antarctic explorer.

The players' initials as given above follow those in the *Weekly Record* of 16 July, but in scorecards of the Grammar School's other matches in the season there are several variations: WG, GW and WC Chandler; DJ Morgan; FS Coombe. GW Chandler and DP Morgan are the most frequently-seen variations. 'Cook' is sometimes given as 'Cooke'.

## Honor Oak II v Brunswick 1904

1

Venue	Honor Oak CC, Colyton Road, Peckham Rye	Date	1 August 1904
Result	Honor Oak II won by an unknown number of wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires	Players per side: 12		

### Brunswick innings

Handley	b Bates	1
H Butcher	c Tobitt b Bates	2
Jope	c and b AR Cutbush	1
Reynolds	b Bates	16
CA Woodbridge	lbw b Bates	2
Brierley	b Young	9
Warren	b Young	17
Shrimpton	c Bates b Young	56
Edmonds	c Tobitt b Young	0
Desmoulins	b AR Cutbush	10
A Beck	b Young	6
Gray	not out	0
Extras		6
Total	(all out)	126
Fall	1 to 10 not known, 11/126	

	O	M	R	W
Bates				4
AR Cutbush				2
Young				4

### Honor Oak II innings

W Falkner	b Reynolds	0
AR Cutbush	b Gray	44
RR Crump	b Reynolds	6
RF Tobitt	c and b Gray	60
WR Young	b Jope	35
MA Jackson	c and b Jope	42
HA Bates	not out	259
VF Critchley	not out	104
Peacock		
FG Cutbush		
Manalon		
Mobsby		
Extras		16
Total	(for 6 wickets)	566
Fall	1 to 5 not known, 6/199	

	O	M	R	W
Reynolds				2
Gray				2
Jope				2

Source: With one exception, the above card is from *Cricket* 1904 page 327 (11 August edition). A note in the previous edition (4 August, page 314) gave some different details, with Brunswick all out for 133 and Honor Oak II scoring 569-6 (Bates 267\* with ten sixes, Critchley 105\*). MB Alexander's *A short history of Honor Oak CC 1866-1982* corroborates the team score as given above, and the size of the Bates/Critchley partnership (367\* for the seventh wicket). The only variant seen to the card of 11 August is that *Cricket* gives Critchley a score of 101\* (as in *Cricket*). The score of 104 is given by Alexander and Gross, and with that adjustment the score adds correctly. The score of 104\* is therefore preferred.

Alexander adds that "one feels that the opposition were harshly treated, particularly as the damage was largely done by two players from the first eleven, who were without a match that day".

Further information courtesy of Harry Watton: In the *South London Press* 6 August 1904, the name of the Honor Oak number 10 looks improbable; a player named Mansell appeared in other matches for this side, so this may be a mistype in the newspaper. The paper confirms Critchley 104\* rather than 101\*. The *South London Mail* of the same date credits Bates with eight, rather than ten, sixes.

<b>Folkestone v Honor Oak 1904</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Folkestone, Kent	Date	15, 16 August 1904	
Result	Honor Oak won by 211 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Honor Oak	First innings		Second innings	
EG Hayes	c Katinakis b Hampson	72	not out	201
SJ Dickason	b Hampson	1	c Katinakis b Hampson	16
G Adams	b Edwards	10	c Maclaren b Fleming	15
RF Tobitt	c Norman b Hampson	24	b Fleming	0
WR Young	b Fleming	25	not out	45
CM Campbell	c Edwards b Hampson	18	c Ingram b Hampson	15
SS Williams	b Hampson	21		
+ Barton	c Hope b Fleming	0	b Ingram	20
W Hallett	b Hampson	3		
FG Cutbush	not out	1		
EW Sedley	run out	1		
Extras		9		44
Total	(all out)	194	(for 5 wickets)	356
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/194		1 to 5 not known	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Hampson				6				2
Edwards				1				
Fleming				2				2
Ingram								1

Folkestone	First innings		Second innings	
AC Edwards	c Barton b Young	12	b Hayes	2
FOT Hawkes	b Young	12	b Hayes	8
J Hampson	b Young	0	b Hayes	6
CEW Hope	c Hayes b Young	43	b Hayes	11
RG Maclaren	b Young	2	b Hayes	12
Maj Fleming	c Cutbush b Young	22	c Hallett b Hayes	18
CG Beasley	c Dickason b Young	7	lbw b Campbell	8
MD Katinakis	c Hallett b Hayes	6	b Hayes	11
E Ingram	b Hayes	4	c Barton b Campbell	35
Maj Isacke	not out	3	st Barton b Hayes	1
EC Norman	b Hayes	0	not out	1
Extras		7		7
Total	(all out)	119	(all out)	120
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/119		1 to 9 not known, 10/120	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Young				7				
Hayes				3				8
Campbell								2

Source: *Folkestone Herald* 20 August 1904. Some Honor Oak players' initials are taken from the *Sporting Life* of 13 August 1904, which listed the club's players for their upcoming tour to Kent.

Surrey (and future England) player Ernest Hayes scored a double-century and took 11 wickets in the match. No details of the runs he conceded are given in any source, including MB Alexander's history of the Honor Oak club, which however confirms the other details of his performance.



<b>Leinster v County Kildare 1904</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Rathmines, Dublin	Date	2, 3 September 1904	
Result	Leinster won by an innings and 56 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

County Kildare	First innings		Second innings	
*Sir J Kennedy	c Peterson b RJH Lambert	2	c RJH Lambert b Peterson	9
HAG Aylmer	b RJH Lambert	7	b RJH Lambert	3
EM Lucas	c sub b Donovan	1	(1) c SD Lambert b Donovan	1
H de Burgh	c Myerscough b Thompson	119	b RJH Lambert	0
JG Blackburn	b Donovan	36	absent	-
W Harrington	b RJH Lambert	4	b RJH Lambert	25
A Aylmer	b Donovan	0	b RJH Lambert	1
W Keys	c Peterson b RJH Lambert	79	b RJH Lambert	0
JJ James	not out	12	(2) run out	2
Col Cooke	b RJH Lambert	0	not out	1
L Lerrit	c Peterson b RJH Lambert	0	b RJH Lambert	0
Extras		9		3
Total	(all out)	269	(all out)	45
Fall	1/1, 2/11, 3/25, 4/106, 5/114, 6/119, 7/248, 8/264, 9/266, 10/269		1/3, 2/3, 3/3, 4/6, 5/28, 6/38, 7/40, 8/45, 9/45	
	O	M	R	W
RJH Lambert	36.3	9	105	6
Donovan	30	7	86	3
Peterson	8	0	46	0
Thompson	8	0	23	1

Leinster	First innings			
CR Faussett	c HAG Aylmer b Harrington	0		
N Peterson	c A Aylmer b James	63		
RJH Lambert	not out	256		
*SC Smith	b Harrington	6		
SD Lambert	b Harrington	10		
SHG Fleming	b Keys	7		
EJ Donovan	c HAG Aylmer b Keys	0		
HA Hartford	not out	9		
SS Myerscough				
AB Thompson				
RW Stuart				
Extras		19		
Total	(for 6 wickets, declared)	370		
Fall	1/0, 2/172, 3/206, 4/294, 5/329, 6/333			
	O	M	R	W
Harrington	29	2	164	3
Keys	24	1	102	2
James	14	1	63	1
De Burgh	3	0	22	0

Source: *Daily Express* (Dublin) 5 September 1904; *Sport* (Dublin) 10 September 1904; *Kildare Observer* 10 September 1904

Close of play, Day 1: Co Kildare (1) 232-6 (De Burgh 100+, Keys 74)

Some versions of the score have Cooke 4\* in Co Kildare (2), and an innings total of 48 (fall: 8/45, 9/48).

HAG Aylmer is sometimes named as H Hendrick-Aylmer.

RJH Lambert hit 38 fours in his innings of 256\*, and also took 12 wickets in the match.

London Schools Board v Southend School Board 1904

5

Venue  
Result  
Toss  
Umpires

Date 1904 season

---

O M R W

---

---

O M R W

Alerted by Philip Bailey to a claim that a player named Barker took 10 catches in the slips in the Southend School Board innings, all off the bowling of one Bridger.

The feat is not noted in *Cricket* nor in any newspaper currently (January 2021) in the BNA – which seems surprising for such a remarkable feat (if genuine).

It is I suppose possible that this was a two-innings match, and that Barker's ten catches came across the two innings.

## Valley v Oxley 1904/05

1

Venue	Exhibition Ground, Brisbane	Date	29 October, 12 November 1904
Result	Valley won by 4 wickets (and batted on)		
Toss	Oxley		
Umpires			

## Oxley innings

R Newman	c Elms b Byrnes	25
D Carr	c Hendy b Richardson	46
N Strickland	b Richardson	7
SJ Hulle	b Byrnes	6
R Doran	b Byrnes	6
E Rees	st Pyke b Byrnes	24
G Pratten	c sub b Macdonald	50
J George	b Macdonald	5
W Strickland	not out	0
M Campbell	absent	--
+ Bolton	absent	--
Extras		15
Total	(all out)	184
Fall	1 to 7 not known, 8/184	

	O	M	R	W
Byrnes			49	4
Richardson			40	2
Macdonald			12	2
Hendy			18	0
Kenny			38	0
Eve			12	0

## Valley innings

+ RD Pyke	lbw b Newman	7
WF Kenny	c Pratten b Newman	4
CF Morgan	not out	258
A Atkins	c Doran b N Strickland	11
W Blackstock	c – Strickland b Hulle	28
W Richardson	b Pratten	13
V Macdonald	st Bolton b Pratten	6
RW Eve	not out	111
Byrnes		
Hendy		
Elms		
Extras		11
Total	(for 6 wickets)	449
Fall	1 to 5 not known, 6/171	

	O	M	R	W
N Strickland			113	1
Newman			30	2
Carr			55	0
Campbell			31	0
Hulle			51	1
Pratten			76	2
Rees			17	0
W Strickland			65	0

Alerted by Valley District CC scorer Pat Culpan to the club record seventh-wicket partnership of 278\* in this match. Scorecard in *Brisbane Courier* 31 October and 14 November 1904, and *The Queenslander* 19 November 1904.

Close of Play, Day 1: Valley 50-3 (Morgan 27, Blackstock 0). This was a match in the Brisbane A Grade.

The newspaper reports do not refer to the size of the partnership, but a stand of 278\* indicates that the sixth wicket fell at 171, and that figure has been included here.

## AMP Society v Queensland Trustees 1904/05

2

Venue	Exhibition Ground, Brisbane	Date	9 November 1904
Result	Match drawn		
Toss	Queensland Trustees		
Umpires			

## Queensland Trustees innings

A Norris	b Dixon	4
JG Woods	b Dixon	13
JT Woods	c Andrews b Wetherell	28
AW Walker	c Drane b Dixon	1
W Thompson	lbw b Dixon	14
T Sampson	b Dixon	0
WP Thomas	b Wetherell	1
V Panton	c Ewart b Wetherell	2
T Wilkie	c Wetherell b Dixon	6
F Clarke	not out	70
JP Smith	c Webb b Wetherell	133
Extras		13
Total	(all out)	286
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/286	

	O	M	R	W
Webb			85	0
Dixon			71	6
Wetherell			57	4
Drane			26	0
Bennett			9	0
Thorpe			17	0

## AMP Society innings

AE Webb	not out	82
CC Dixon	b JT Woods	5
J Thorpe	lbw b Thompson	10
AJ Bennett	b Walker	0
J Ewart	b Wilkie	0
C Drane	c -Woods b Walker	11
FE Morley	b Wilkie	10
JE Andrews	c -Woods b Thompson	35
AC Trundle	not out	15
H Wetherell		
AN Other		
Extras		17
Total	(for 7 wickets)	185
Fall	1-7 not known	

	O	M	R	W
Thompson			54	2
JT Woods			9	1
Walker			6	2
Smith			30	0
Wilkie			28	2
Clarke			21	0

Source: *The Telegraph* (Brisbane) 11 and 14 November 1904; *Brisbane Courier* 11 November 1904.

The report in the *Telegraph* says that “the partnership of the last two [for Queensland Trustees] added over 200 runs to the score”, but gives no further details. Their partnership is not recorded by Gross.s

Richmond Second XI v Melbourne University Second XI 1904/05				1
Venue	Richmond, Melbourne, Victoria	Date	14, 21 January 1905	
Result	Richmond Second XI won by an innings and 609 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Richmond Second XI	First innings	
J Francis	[details unknown]	239
Fulton	[details unknown]	162
RW Plaisted	[details unknown]	117
FG Murdoch	[details unknown]	106
FJ Cox	[details unknown]	74
AB Curphey	[details unknown]	64
Brain		
Kelly		

Extras			
Total	(all out)		867
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/867		

O M R W

Melbourne University Second XI	First innings	Second innings
Sutton		29
Morris	not out	27
Ross		55
Robertson		31
Jones		26

Extras					
Total	(all out)		182	(all out)	76
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/182			1 to 9 not known, 10/76	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Brain			22	2			39	1
Kelly			30	2			21	3
Murdoch								

Source: *Weekly Times* (Melbourne) 28 January 1905; *The Age* 16, 21, 25 January 1905; *The Argus* (Melbourne) 24 January 1905; *The Australasian* 28 January 1905. Also noted in *Cricket* 1905 page 42.

For Melbourne University, Robertson is shown as scoring 31 in the *Age*, but 41 in the *Argus*. It is also reported that Murdoch took 1-10 in one innings or the other of Melbourne University, but it is not clear which. The batting order in the teams' innings is not known.

The close of play score on the first day of the match is not given in any of the sources listed above, but it is at least possible that Richmond scored over 700 runs on that day.

Clarendon v McLaren Vale 1904/05		2
Venue	Adelaide, South Australia	Date 18 March 1905
Result	Match drawn	
Toss		
Umpires		

Clarendon innings

---

H Payne	not out	204
V Payne	not out	125

Extras				
Total	(for 6 wickets, declared)			367
Fall	1 to 6 not known			
	O	M	R	W

McLaren Vale innings

---

M Waye	not out	39
F Wilson	[unknown dismissal]	17

Extras				
Total	(for 2 wickets)			56
Fall	1-2 not known			
	O	M	R	W

Source: *The Advertiser* (Adelaide) 21 March 1905; *The Register* (Adelaide) 25 March 1905; *The Observer* (Adelaide) 1 April 1905.

Gross says that the Paynes added 334\* for the sixth wicket, but from the limited details in the newspaper sources the partnership must have been for the seventh wicket. Either way, if the figure of 334 is correct the last wicket to fall in the Clarendon innings must have gone down when the team had scored 33 runs. The newspapers do not confirm the actual size of the partnership, but corroborate the innings total of 367-6 with both Paynes not out.

Ramblers v Town 1904/05			4
Venue	Bloemfontein, South Africa	Date	1904/05 season
Result	Not known		
Toss			
Umpires			

---

---

Gross says that CG Fichardt (229) and L Richardson (113) added 401 for the second wicket for Ramblers. If so, the partnership must have included at least 59 extras. In an email in December 2020, Philip Bailey noted that he has this listed as a first-wicket partnership.

The match is not reported in the *Bloemfontein Post* or the Bloemfontein paper *The Friend* covering the 1904/05 season, although several Ramblers' matches are reported in those papers. None of their printed scores showed a player named Richardson turning out for Ramblers, but a player of that name did well during the season for Grey College, so may have played for Ramblers as well.

Maybe the season of the match as given by Gross is wrong? If so, 1905/06 would seem more likely than 03/04, given Richardson's likely young age. But the newspapers for that season have yet to be checked.

No such match is reported in *Cricket* in 1904, 1905 or 1906.

Phoenix v Curragh Brigade 1905				1
Venue	Phoenix CC Ground, Dublin	Date	30, 31 May 1905	
Result	Phoenix won by seven wickets			
Toss				
Umpires				

Curragh Brigade	First innings			Second innings	
HL Wethered	b Crawford	10	(8)	b Crawford	12
C Hornby	c Russell b Crawford	20		c Corley b Crawford	22
A Goldie	c Gay b Crawford	0	(4)	c Corley b Crawford	16
Capt Wyatt	b Crawford	18	(3)	b Crawford	59
WL Rogers	b Morrow	19		c Gillman b Crawford	4
AA Goschen	b Morrow	34	(1)	b Crawford	9
TH Moore	c Corley b Crawford	17		c Gillman b Crawford	17
Kinkler	b Morrow	0		not out	4
CEG Woolcombe-Adams	b Morrow	4	(9)	b Crawford	4
Mawby	c Blake b Ensor	25		b Crawford	6
J Varley	not out	12		b Crawford	0
Extras		17			10
Total	(all out)	176		(all out)	163
Fall	1/27, 2/?, 3/39, 4/79, 5/91, 6/?, 7/?, 8/122, 9/?, 10/176			1/22, 2/53, 3/97, 4/?, 5/?, 6/147, 7/148, 8/?, 9/?, 10/163	

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Ensor	11.5	3	37	1	(4)	4	0	13	0
Crawford	18	4	54	5	(1)	21.5	4	70	10
Morrow	14	1	54	4	(6)	7	0	30	0
Gillman	1	0	3	0	(5)	2	2	0	0
Blake	4	0	11	0	(2)	4	0	20	0
Corley					(3)	4	0	20	0

Phoenix	First innings			Second innings	
JWFA Crawford	c Rogers b Mawby	16	(2)	not out	125
E Ensor	lbw b Varley	0	(3)	b Varley	2
GA Morrow	b Mawby	4	(5)	not out	3
DF Gillman	c Goschen b Varley	31	(1)	b Varley	2
Major Gay	b Varley	0			
G Russell	b Varley	26			
St J Blake	c Hornby b Moore	0			
HH Corley	c Mawby b Varley	65	(4)	b Moore	31
CJ Newbold	b Varley	1			
Major Brady	c Wethered b Varley	16			
AA Fitzgerald	not out	10			
Extras		7			6
Total	(all out)	176		(for 3 wickets)	169
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/176			1/?, 2/21, 3/?	

	O	M	R	W		O	M	R	W
Mawby	20	2	84	2	(2)	14	1	59	0
Varley	29.2	13	55	7	(1)	13	1	63	2
Moore	6	0	30	1		3	0	18	1
Goschen						2	0	23	0

This match was not included in the original list in *Cricket Quarterly* Summer 1967 page 135 of instances of a player scoring a century and taking all-ten [or better] in the same match, but came to notice through an addendum, submitted by Ernest Gross, that appeared in *CQ* volume 6, on page 84. It is also included in the equivalent list in Robert Brooke's 'Milestones' column in *The Cricketer* August 1990 page 32].

Scorecard details are taken from the *Irish Independent* 1 June 1905 and the *Kildare Observer* of 3 June 1908. The match is also noted in *Cricket* 1905 pp 234-235. The figures of overs bowled in Phoenix (1) cannot be correct. 'Kinkler' is sometimes given as 'Kunkler'.

Close of Play, Day 1: Phoenix (1) 98/8 (Corley and Brady not out).



<b>Matlock v Derby St Peter's 1905</b>		<b>1N</b>
Venue	Matlock, Derbyshire	Date 1 June 1905
Result	Derby St Peter's won by 50 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

Derby St Peter's innings

R Baxter  
W Cross  
Broughton  
Kirkland

Extras				
Total	(all out)			53
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/53			
	O	M	R	W

Matlock innings

JH Housley	c Cross b Baxter	0		
J Atkinson	not out	0		
J Lamb	c Kirkland b Baxter	0		
J Simmons	b Baxter	1		
A Slater	b Cross	0		
H Marshall	b Cross	1		
GW Gilbert	b Cross	0		
EO Naylor	c Baxter b Cross	1		
F Inns	b Baxter	0		
R Eyre	b Baxter	0		
H Alsop	c Broughton b Baxter	0		
Extras		0		
Total	(all out)	3		
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/105			
	O	M	R	W
Baxter			1	7
Cross			2	3

Source: Score details from *The Cricketer* 27 June 1925. The number of wickets taken by Baxter and Cross for Derby St Peter's, as noted in the *Cricketer's* report (and as given here), do not match the scorecard details.

J Atkinson carried his bat through the Matlock innings without scoring a run.

Cotton House v Littlefield 1905				1
Venue	Marlborough College, Wiltshire	Date	1, 8 June 1905	
Result	Cotton House won by an innings and 421 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

Cotton House		First innings								
*HJ Goodwin		run out			365					
GV Sturgeon		lbw b Lagden			73					
MP Thorburn		c Outerbridge b Uthhoff			83					
PHR Jephson		not out			3					
E Clover										
CL Norman										
FG Mirfield										
+ RHAD Love										
S Giffard										
RT Ainsworth										
AC Wolfson										
Extras					30					
Total		(for 3 wickets, declared)			554					
Fall	1/276, 2/540, 3/554									
		O	M	R	W					
Lagden					1					
Uthhoff					1					
Reiss					0					
Fagan					0					
Littlefield		First innings				Second innings (following on)				
SL Reiss		b Goodwin			5	run out				15
RK Uthhoff		b Goodwin			1	b Goodwin				0
HP Hill		c Jephson b Thorburn			6	b Goodwin				5
A Tylor		b Thorburn			0	c Mirfield b Goodwin				35
CFF Fagan		b Goodwin			2	b Goodwin				4
+ LC Outerbridge		b Goodwin			13	hit wicket b Ainsworth				0
WH Bucknall		c Ainsworth b Goodwin			7	c Clover b Goodwin				0
JM Du Buisson		c Wolfson b Goodwin			0	st Love b Goodwin				9
HC Marshall		c Sturgeon b Thorburn			6	b Goodwin				5
RE Field		b Goodwin			4	b Goodwin				0
JC Petherick		not out			0	not out				2
RO Lagden		absent ill			-	absent ill				-
Extras					5					9
Total		(all out)			49	(all out)				84
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/49					1 to 9 not known, 10/84				
		O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Goodwin					7					8
Thorburn					3					
Ainsworth										1

Source: *The Marlburian*, June 1905 (courtesy of Grainne Lenehan, Marlborough College Archivist); *Cricket* 1910 page 98.

Close of play, Day 1: Cotton House 416-1 (Goodwin 268, Thorburn not out)

Twelve players are listed for Littlefield. It is assumed that Lagden was ill on the second day of play, and was replaced by a full substitute. RE Field is listed as a professional, and so may be presumed to have been the replacement player.

*The Marlburian* does not give bowling figures for each innings, but says (as does Gross) that Goodwin took 15 wickets for 57 in the match.

Harold Goodwin captained Warwickshire in 1910; he was killed in action during the First World War.

King's Regiment v Royal Field Artillery 1905				1
Venue	Aldershot, Hampshire	Date	21 June 1905	
Result	King's Regiment won by 3 wickets			
Toss				
Umpires				

Royal Field Artillery		First innings				Second innings				
Clarke										
Dyke										
Holloway										
Rich										
Extras										
Total	(all out)	117				(all out)	65			
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/117					1 to 9 not known, 10/65				
		O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	

King's Regiment		First innings				Second innings				
Capt Harrington	c and b Holloway	1								
Capt TW Sheppard	c Holloway b Dyke	156				c Dyke b Holloway	0			
Lt Gunner	b Rich	1				b Dyke	0			
Maj Edridge	b Holloway	3				b Holloway	0			
Col Evans	c Dyke b Holloway	0								
Maj Nicholson	c Dyke b Holloway	0				not out	10			
Lt Marshall	b Holloway	0				c Clarke b Holloway	0			
Cpl Phillips	b Dyke	0				not out	0			
Cpl Nield	b Dyke	4				b Holloway	0			
Lt Uniacke	not out	1				b Holloway	0			
Lt Brook	b Dyke	0				b Dyke	0			
Extras		3								
Total	(all out)	169				(for 7 wickets)	17			
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/169					1 to 7 not known				
		O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Holloway		5				5				
Dyke		4				2				
Rich		1								

Source: *Cricket* 29 June 1905 page 333. Also noted in *Athletic News* 26 June 1905. Not reported in *The Field* or, surprisingly, in the *Aldershot News* on 23 or 30 June (or 7 July), although those papers report many other military matches.

In their second innings, King's Regiment lost seven wickets while chasing a target of 14.

TW Sheppard played one first-class match for Hampshire in 1905, and one for Worcestershire in 1909. He was a great-uncle of Rev DS Sheppard. In his first innings of this match he scored 92.31% of his side's runs, and 93.98% of the runs scored off the bat.

## Stoke House v Stoke Place 1905

1

Venue	Stoke House, Stoke Poges, Bucks	Date	23 August 1905
Result	Stoke House won by an unknown number of wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires			

## Stoke Place innings

CE Seymour	b VC Agnew	140
J Bateman	b VC Agnew	5
EH Wilding	hit wicket b EH Parry	4
R Howard-Vyne	st Balston b EH Parry	148
JH Burnett	c NE Parry b EH Parry	21
A Little	not out	29
W Young	run out	7
H Page	not out	0
F Spring		
H Watson		
H Paskett		
Extras		13
Total	(for 6 wickets, declared)	366
Fall	1-6 not known	

	O	M	R	W
VC Agnew				2
EH Parry				3

## Stoke House innings

JT Parry	not out	189
VC Agnew	c Bateman b Seymour	154
+ M Balston	b Seymour	28
EH Parry	c Paskett b Seymour	0
NE Parry	c Spring b Watson	14
F Wingrave	b Watson	4
J Spring	not out	0
Tooley		
J Davis		
C Allen		
W Agnew		
Extras		17
Total	(for 5 wickets)	406
Fall	1-5 not known	

	O	M	R	W
Seymour				3
Watson				2

Source: *Windsor & Eton Express* 2 September 1905

772 runs were scored in a single day, in 4½ hours at a rate of around 170 runs per hour.

## Redfern v Paterson, Laing &amp; Bruce 1905/06

1

Venue	Redfern Oval, Sydney	Date	20 January 1906
Result	No result		
Toss			
Umpires			

## Redfern innings

T Foster	retired	112
W Hayes	retired	35
AJ Nicholls	b Winning	48
C Hopkins	retired	74
W Ward	run out	8
H Neil	c [unknown] b Lusby	3
W Unsworth	retired	54
C Wordsworth	run out	32
R Anthony	not out	32
G Hyman	c [unknown] b Devlin	6
P Champion	not out	29
Extras	b17	17
Total	(for 9 wickets, declared)	448

Fall 1-9 not known

	O	M	R	W
Devlin	10	1	87	1
Cranney	10	0	94	0
Drew	6	0	58	0
- Simpson	5	0	50	0
Winning	5	0	43	1
Lusby	6	0	54	1
Bosward	4	0	45	0

## Paterson, Laing &amp; Bruce innings

H Cranney	c [unknown] b Foster	121
N Winning	c [unknown] b Anthony	55
A Devlin	c [unknown] b Anthony	4
TH Bosward	c [unknown] b Anthony	0
J Lusby	c [unknown] b Foster	1
W Yates	b Foster	1
C Simpson	b Anthony	11
J Crewes (?Drew)	b Neil	22
Pert	b Neil	15
F Dyson	not out	23
HA Simpson	not out	30
Extras	b12, lb1	13
Total	(for 9 wickets)	296

Fall 1-9 not known

	O	M	R	W
Wordsworth	5	1	32	0
Champion	4	0	38	0
Hopkins	6	1	36	0
Unsworth	3	0	30	0
Nicholls	3	0	20	0
Anthony	7	0	43	4
Foster	6	1	21	4
Neil	4	0	33	2
Hays	2	0	15	0
Ward	1	0	5	0

Source: *Referee* (Sydney) 24 January 1906; repeated verbatim (but without bowling figures) in *Cricket* 1906 page 52. Both scorecards give all catches as 'c and b', but 'c unknown' seems more likely.

744 runs were scored in a single day of 87 overs in 225 minutes, at rates of 2 min 35 sec per over, and 206 runs per hour. Yet the match included four maidens! Foster's 112 is said to have been made in 29 minutes (six fives, 16 fours), and Cranney's 121 in 50 minutes.

Parnell v Grafton 1905/06			1
Venue	The Domain, Auckland, New Zealand	Date	20, 27 January 1906
Result	Parnell won on first innings by 146 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Parnell	First innings	Second innings			
RB Lusk	b Sloman				7
+EV Sale	run out				284
R Barry	b Williamson				2
C Olliff	c Spence b Sloman				1
S Robertson	b D Hay				1
AA Stewart	b Kallender				6
FS Murray	c Clayton b Sloman				0
LG Robinson	not out				81
N Bowden	not out		(3)	not out	12
EJGF Greville			(1)	b Sloman	20
A Rowles			(2)	b Sloman	12
Extras					23
Total	(for 7 wickets, declared)			(for 2 wicket)	57
Fall	1 to 5 not known, 6/104, 7/403			1/?, 2/57	

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Sloman			92	3			27	2
Williamson			64	1				
D Hay			75	1				
Kallender			45	1				
[unknown]								
[unknown]								
[unknown]								
[unknown]								

Grafton	First innings				
WR Forster	c Rowles b Olliff				64
SP Jones	b Olliff				39
S Spence	c Sale b Robinson				3
D Hay	b Barry				27
JA Kallender	c Rowles b Olliff				1
A Sloman	b Olliff				29
D Clayton	lbw b Olliff				20
C Hay	st Sale b Olliff				14
H Forster	not out				17
D Hill	b Barry				9
R Williamson	b Barry				11
Extras					31
Total	(all out)				265
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/265				

	O	M	R	W
Olliff			70	6
Barry			52	3
Robinson			49	1

Source: Parnell (1) from *NZ Herald* 22 January 1906; remainder from *Auckland Star* 29 January 1906. Fuller initials of Parnell players from *New Zealand Herald* and *Auckland Star* (various editions in January and February 1906)

Close of play, Day 1: Parnell 411-7 (Robinson 81, Bowden 6)

The sources indicate that a total of eight players bowled in Parnell's first innings.

Gross says that Sale and Robinson added 299 for Parnell's 11th wicket – probably the result of a mis-hearing. Nevertheless, their stand of 299 for the seventh wicket still meets the thresholds for inclusion here.

This was a match in the Auckland Cricket Association, Senior Grade.

Manor House School Second XI v Camberwell Grammar School Second XI 1906				1
Venue	Manor House School, Clapham, London	Date	23 May 1906	
Result	Camberwell GS Second XI won by an unknown margin			
Toss				
Umpires				

---

Manor House School Second XI innings

---

	Extras						10
	Total	(all out)					29
Fall	1 to 7 not known, 8/29, 9/29, 10/29						
		O	M	R	W		
Martin		4	4	0	10		

---

Camberwell Grammar School Second XI innings

---

EP Martin

	Extras						
	Total	(all out)					78
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/78						
		O	M	R	W		

Source: *Cricket 1906* pp 170 (citing *The Sportsman*) and 180; *London Daily News* 25 May 1906. The cited item in *The Sportsman* has not been traced.

Martin took a hat-trick, and five wickets in six balls, in his fourth over. His ball-by-ball analysis read

..w... | ww.... | .w.w.. | ww.www

Manor House School was in Clapham; it was the alma mater of (among others) Digby Jephson. No reference to the match has been found in the *Clapham Observer*, the *South London Press*, or in other newspapers at Lambeth Archives.

It is not known in which order the teams batted, so the exact result cannot be stated.

<b>Luton Town v South Kensington 1906</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Wardown Park, Luton, Bedfordshire	Date	25 June 1906	
Result	South Kensington won on first innings by 90 runs			
Toss	South Kensington			
Umpires				

South Kensington	First innings		Second innings	
JB Hobbs	c Hoy b Dow	116	not out	105
W Walmshurst	c and b Dow	12		
Barkley	b Cripps	15		
D White	c Cripps b Brown	8		
Williams	run out	1		
G Nixey	b Cripps	14		
H Collins	c Brown b Dow	6		
A Jefferies	b Cripps	2		
+HC Owen	c Warren b Cripps	4		
J Woolren	b Dow	19	(2) not out	43
M Davis	not out	4		
Extras		8		11
Total	(all out)	210	(for no wicket)	159
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/210			
	O	M	R	W
Dow	15	1	71	4
Cripps	16.2	1	79	4
Brown	9	0	46	1

Luton Town	First innings			
GH Small	st Owen b Walmshurst	22		
F Hoy	b Hobbs	4		
J Dow	b Walmshurst	5		
H Holdstock	b Walmshurst	12		
G Claridge	run out	44		
W Brown	lbw b Walmshurst	20		
H Warren	b Walmshurst	5		
W Samms	run out	2		
G Morris	c Walmshurst b Hobbs	2		
F Small	not out	2		
F Cripps	st Owen b Walmshurst	0		
Extras		2		
Total	(all out)	120		
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/120			
	O	M	R	W
Walmshurst	17	3	52	6
Hobbs	14	3	44	2
White	3	0	22	0

Source: *Luton News & Bedfordshire Chronicle* 28 June 1906; *Luton Reporter* 29 June 1906.

There are several variations in the names of the players in and between these two sources: Walmshurst is sometimes given as Walmhurst or Wilmshurst; Woolren sometimes as Wollren and once as Walker. The 1901 UK census records only one person in England and Wales named Walmshurst – William Walmshurst then aged 22, living in Kensington – and it does not record anyone named ‘Walmhurst’; and one Woolren – James, aged 27, also living in Kensington – and no ‘Wollren’. These versions have therefore been preferred.

In South Ken (1), guest player Hobbs was dismissed by the last ball before lunch. When the second innings began there were 45 minutes left for play, and according to the sources the play was not taken seriously. At one point in his second innings, Hobbs scored 44 off 3 overs. In all he hit 18 fours in his first innings, and 21 in his second. His feat of scoring two centuries on the same day is noted by Gross.



<b>Carmarthenshire v An Essex County XI 1906</b>			<b>1</b>
Venue	Stradey Park, Llanelly, Carmarthenshire	Date	9, 10 July 1906
Result	An Essex County XI won by an innings and 310 runs		
Toss	An Essex County XI		
Umpires	P Waller and D Hinder		

An Essex County XI	First Innings				
EJ Freeman	c Morgan b Light	12			
JWHT Douglas	lbw b Gee	14			
D Reese	b Gee	0			
CP McGahey	not out	305			
VJ Woodward	c Watkins b Howell	39			
TAL Whittington	c Barclay b Howell	5			
PH David	b Gee	7			
FS Perrin	c Gee b Light	1			
B Tremlin	c FL Rees b James	160			
DR Upsdale	not out	0			
CH Douglas					
Extras		31			
Total	(for 8 wickets declared)	574			
Fall	1/22, 2/22, 3/42, 4/136, 5/145, 6/196, 7/200, 8/574				
	O	M	R	W	
Light				2	
Gee				3	
Howell				2	
James				1	

Carmarthenshire	First Innings		Second Innings (following on)					
FL Rees	c and b Tremlin	0	not out	55				
H Howell	b Reese	35	b Tremlin	0				
Barclay	c Woodward b Tremlin	14	run out	10				
NL James	c David b McGahey	14	b Tremlin	0				
BP Rees	lbw b - Douglas	43	b McGahey	14				
E Gee	c Reese b - Douglas	32	c CH Douglas b McGahey	4				
HW Seymour	b Tremlin	7	run out	2				
Light	c and b Tremlin	0	b Tremlin	1				
E Cook	lbw b - Douglas	6	c Whittington b Tremlin	0				
JN Morgan	c - Douglas b Tremlin	0	c CH Douglas b Tremlin	0				
DT Watkins	not out	6	b McGahey	0				
Extras		20		1				
Total	(all out)	177	(all out)	87				
Fall	1/0, 2 to 9 not known, 10/177		1/1, 2/22, 3/23, 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/87					
	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Tremlin				5			25	5
Reese				1				
McGahey				1			26	3
- Douglas				3				

Source: Compiled from scorecards and reports in *Cricket* 1906 page 291; *Evening Express* (Cardiff) 9, 10 and 11 July 1906; *Llanelly Mercury* 12 July 1906; and the *Llanelly & County Guardian* 12 July 1906. Also seen: *Carmarthen Journal* 13 July 1906

Close of play, Day 1: An Essex County XI 574-8 (McGahey 305, Upsdale 0)

The Carmarthenshire players' initials as given here are taken from the *Evening Express* edition of 11 July, but on other days James is given the initials WK and WL, Gee given the initial C, and Morgan given the initials JA.

Gross says the eighth-wicket partnership between McGahey and Tremlin was worth 263 runs, but this is undoubtedly incorrect. The above reconstruction gives an entirely plausible figure of 374.

McGahey hit 41 fours and ten sixes in his innings of 305\*. Fred Rees definitely carried his bat through Carmarthenshire's second innings.

## Streatham v HDG Leveson Gower's XI 1906

1

Venue	Streatham, Surrey	Date	14 July 1906
Result	HDG Leveson Gower's XI won by an unknown number of wickets (and batted on)		
Toss			
Umpires			

### Streatham innings

N Miller	c Mason b Napier	150
E Field	not out	103
HH Scott	b Napier	2
BP Dobson	c Borgnis b Napier	31
GV Campbell	run out	0
HT Cross	c Mason b Marriott	35
JL Phillips	not out	5
JFW Hooper		
EJ Dobson		
VF Feeny		
DO Kerr		
Extras	b14, lb5, w1, nb8	28
Total	(for 5 wickets, declared)	354
Fall	1-5 not known	

	O	M	R	W
Napier				3
Marriott				1

### HDG Leveson Gower's XI innings

CJ Burnup	c Miller b EJ Dobson	125
MW Payne	c Miller b Hooper	137
JR Mason	c Feeny b EJ Dobson	14
LG Colbeck	run out	23
C Braithwaite	c sub b EJ Dobson	13
RH Marriott	c Hooper b Phillips	53
PR May	not out	34
HDG Leveson Gower	not out	4
GG Napier		
Earl of Chesterfield		
JAH Borgnis		
Extras	lb2	2
Total	(for 6 wickets)	405
Fall	1-6 not known	

	O	M	R	W
EJ Dobson				3
Hooper				1
Phillips				1

Source: *Cricket* 19 July 1906 page 287. Also reported in *Sporting Life* 14 July 1906 and the *Yorkshire Telegraph* 16 July 1906.

759 runs were scored in a single day. The *Yorkshire Telegraph* said that in each innings over 200 runs were added before a wicket fell.

St Lawrence v Marylebone Cricket Club 1906				2
Venue	St Lawrence Ground, Canterbury	Date	13, 14 August 1906	
Result	Marylebone Cricket Club won by an innings and 27 runs			
Toss				
Umpires				

St Lawrence	First innings		Second innings	
A Latter	c Bomford b Newstead	26	lbw b Llewellyn	19
Munds	c sub b Llewellyn	29	c D'Aeth b Smith	88
Rev W Rashleigh	c Bomford b Newstead	4	c Bomford b Searight	118
J Dean	b Llewellyn	7	b Llewellyn	1
AO Snowden	c Hulton b Newstead	29	st Bomford b Llewellyn	11
Hon W James	b Llewellyn	1	b Llewellyn	8
AM Hilton	st Bomford b Llewellyn	15	c Newstead b Smith	0
J Snowden	not out	3	c Newstead b Smith	21
Skinner	b Newstead	0	b Llewellyn	12
A Ffrench Blake	b Newstead	0	b Llewellyn	2
Clinch	b Newstead	1	not out	6
Extras	b1, lb2	3	b6, lb5, w1	12
Total	(all out)	118	(all out)	298
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/118		1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/? , 8/? , 9/? , 10/298	
	O	M	R	W
Llewellyn				4
Newstead				6
Smith				3
Searight				1

Marylebone Cricket Club	First innings			
CT Studd	c Hilton b Skinner	6		
Smith	b Skinner	5		
Maj LNH D'Aeth	b Skinner	2		
CB Llewellyn	retired out	203		
JT Newstead	retired out	200		
JG Howard	not out	4		
+ H Bomford	c Dean b Skinner	8		
H van Booven	c Blake b Clinch	0		
Capt HF Searight				
AE Gibson				
C Hulton				
Extras	b10, lb5	15		
Total	(for 7 wickets, declared)	443		
Fall	1/? , 2/? , 3/? , 4/? , 5/? , 6/? , 7/443			
	O	M	R	W
Skinner				4
Clinch				1

Source: *MCC Scores for 1906*; *The Sportsman* 16 August 1906; *Sporting Life* 18 August 1906. The *Canterbury Journal & Farmers Gazette* gives a very brief summary score of the match, but no report (unlike for the two following matches in the St Lawrence Cricket Week, which are reported in some detail).

There are various differences in players' names as between the sources. *Sporting Life* has G Salter, not A Latter (as in *MCC* and *The Sportsman*); *Sporting Life* has G Mac Hilton, and *The Sportsman* A MacHilton, rather than *MCC's* AM Hilton; *Sporting Life* and *The Sportsman* both have CE Harris playing for MCC, not AE Gibson; and *Sporting Life* has Murds, not Munds (as in *MCC* and *The Sportsman*). I have followed the *MCC* book in all cases.

Gross states that Llewellyn and Newstead added exactly 400 for MCC's fourth wicket, but the scores at the falls of the relevant wickets are not given in any of the sources.

Dover County School v Sandwich Grammar School 1906			4
Venue	Date	1906 season	
Result			
Toss			
Umpires			

---

Extras				
Total	_____			
Fall				
	O	M	R	W

---

Extras				
Total	_____			
Fall				
	O	M	R	W

Alerted by Philip Bailey, who advised that one of the sides in this match was dismissed for 0.

No corroboration or further details have been traced from the BNA or *Cricket*. The *Dover Express* of 18 May 1906 has scores of both 1st and 2nd XI matches played the previous week between Dover County School and Sandwich Grammar School, but none of the teams in these matches was dismissed for 0. The two schools' first and second XIs were reportedly also due to meet on 20 June. Similarly, the *Dover Telegraph* gives scores of several of the County School's matches through the season, and notes the 'forthcoming fixture' on 20 June, as does the *Dover Standard*. But neither paper makes any further mention of the match or matches on that date.

St John's School v St David's School 1906/07		1N
Venue	Auckland Domain, Auckland, New Zealand	Date 10 November 1906
Result	St John's School won by an innings and 165 runs	
Toss		
Umpires		

St David's School First innings					Second innings					
McKinney					0					13
	Extras	b1								
Total		(all out)			1	(all out)				37
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/1					1 to 9 not known, 10/37				
		O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W	
Marris					0					5
George					0					4
Blincoe										12
Baddiley										21
										6
										4
St John's School First innings										
EA George	not out				88					
R Morris					42					
H Mutton					25					
H Blincoe					10					
N Marris										
A Baddiley										
	Extras									
Total		(all out)			203					
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/203									
		O	M	R	W					

Source: *Auckland Star* 9 and 12 November 1906. *Otago Witness* 21 November 1906; *New Zealand Herald* 18 December 1906; *Cricket* 1907 page 122. Not recorded by Gross.

The surname of 'Marris', who took five first-innings wickets for St John's School, may be 'Morris' – a newspaper report of a later match by this school refers to both N Morris and R Morris as being members of their side.

This was a match in the Auckland Sunday School Cricket Association, First Grade



<b>East Brunswick v South Melbourne Footballers 1906/07</b>				<b>1</b>
Venue	Brunswick Recreation Reserve, Melbourne	Date	9, 16, 23 February 1907	
Result	South Melbourne Footballers won on first innings by 424 runs			
Toss	South Melbourne Footballers			
Umpires				

South Melbourne Footballers First innings

Birch	c Robertson b Burrell	11
Riddell	b Dick	1
Craven	b Dick	6
Sykes	c McSpeerin b Ross	78
Hoban	c Ross b Eckersall	18
A Dowsing	c Etheridge b Ross	61
A Franks	b Bartlett	104
Casey	lbw b Dick	15
JH Kyle	not out	153
*+ HW Elms	st Whitfield b Eckersall	5
WR Robertson	b Burrell	82
Extras		28
Total	(all out)	562
Fall	1 to 8 not known, 9/342, 10/562	

	O	M	R	W
Dick			128	3
Ross			110	2
Burrell			73	2
Eckersall			71	2
Bartlett			76	1
Robertson			23	0
Lowe			35	0
Giller			18	0

East Brunswick	First innings		Second innings (following on)
Robertson	c Sykes b Kyle	0 (4)	c Franks b Craven 14
Lowe	c Birch b Robertson	0	lbw b Robertson 13
Etheridge	c Hoban b Robertson	10 (1)	run out 3
Burrell	c Sykes b Robertson	22	
Ross	lbw b Robertson	4 (3)	b Franks 31
Dick	st Elms b Robertson	2 (5)	st Elms b Franks 3
McSpeerin	c Birch b Robertson	7	
Bartlett	b Franks	31 (6)	not out 26
*W Giller	c Elms b Robertson	51 (7)	not out 15
Eckersall	not out	0	
+Whitfield	run out	0	
Extras		11	8
Total	(all out)	138	(for 5 wickets) 113
Fall	1 to 4 not known, 5/32, 6/42, 7/56, 8/130, 9/?, 10/138		1 to 5 not known

	O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W
Robertson			77	7			23	1
Kyle			22	1				
Franks			16	1			13	2
Craven							31	1

Source: Full scorecard from *The Record* (South Melbourne) 9, 16, 23 Feb, 2 Mar 1907; *The Argus* (Melbourne) 18, 25 Feb 1907; and *The Age* (Melbourne) 25 Feb 1907.

Close of play: Day 1 - SMF 42-2 (Birch 6, Sykes 25); Day 2- SMF 392-9 (Kyle 51, Robertson 18)

Kyle (Victoria 1907/08-1911/12) and Robertson (the former Test player) added 220 for the Footballers' tenth wicket.

This was a match in the Victoria Junior Association (the third tier of local club cricket).

*Cricket* (1907 page 58) and Gross both refer to the winning team as 'South Melbourne Juniors', but the above is correct.

Venue	Jeparit, Victoria	Date	? February 1907
Result	Jeparit won by an innings and 137 runs		
Toss			
Umpires			

Jeparit First innings

---

Extras					
Total	(all out)				145
Fall	1-9 not known, 10/145				
		O	M	R	W

Tullyvea First innings Second innings (following on)

---

					0				
					0				
					0				
					0				
					0				
					0				
					0				
					0				
					0				
					0				
Extras	b2				2				
Total					2				6
Fall	1 to 9 not known, 10/2								1 to 9 not known, 10/6
		O	M	R	W	O	M	R	W

Source: *Evening Journal* (Adelaide) 2 March 1907; also noted in *Cricket* 1907 page 59. Both these journals source their reports to the *Nhill Free Press* (Nhill, Victoria – 1907 editions not available on Trove)

Not recorded by Gross.

The sequence of innings is uncertain.

Jeparit, about 230 miles north-west of Melbourne, is celebrated as the birthplace of Robert Menzies, the cricket-loving Prime Minister of Australia 1939-41 and 1949-66 (information from Ken Williams).



## MERIDEN v NETHER WHITACRE 1881 - ISSUES (April 2023)

There are currently two versions of the scorecard of this match in Cricket Archive:

- Version 1: CA ref misc254448 (as printed in *Scores & Biographies*)
- Version 2: CA ref misc343894 (as printed in *James Lillywhite's Cricketers' Annual*, or 'Red Lilly')

These two scorecards differ very substantially from each other, and both are different from the only scorecard found to date in a contemporary newspaper, that in the Coventry Independent Journal (hereafter CIJ) of 17 August 1881. The following is an assessment of the various alternatives contained within these three scorecards.

### 1 Team names

Version 1 names the two teams as Meriden and Whitacre Nether; version 2 and the CIJ as Meriden and Nether Whitacre.

Gazetteers contemporary with the match, as well as today's Ordnance Survey gazetteer, and reports of the cricket team's matches in the *Coleshill Chronicle* in the 1880s, consistently name the latter village as Nether Whitacre, and there seems no doubt that that was the correct name of the side in this match. The village of Nether Whitacre lies about 10km SSE of Tamworth and about 18km NE of the centre of Birmingham. It is some 7km north of the rather larger village of Meriden.

### 2 Match date and venue

Version 1 says the match was played at Forest Hall, Meriden on 6 August 1881, and thus identifies Meriden as the home team. Version 2 has the match at Coton Road, Whitacre Heath on 13 August 1881, and has Nether Whitacre as the home team. The scorecards of the two matches are far too similar for there to be any question of the two CA scorecards referring to two completely different matches.

The CIJ says explicitly that "This match was played at Meriden on August 6th, and resulted in an easy victory for the home team". There seems to be no reason to doubt these details from the only known local and contemporary source.

Reference to the match can also be found in the history of Nether Whitacre CC entitled *Cow pats and cricket bats*, written by Maurice Barnett and viewable online at the club's Play-Cricket.com website. This too gives the date as 6 August, and the venue as Meriden.

**Verdict on issues 1 and 2:** The match was played at Meriden on 6 August 1881, and the fixture is correctly identified as 'Meriden v Nether Whitacre'.

s

### 3 Order and number of innings, and the result

Version 1 has Nether Whitacre batting first, and also gives details of a brief second innings for that side. Version 2 and the CIJ both have Meriden batting first, and make no mention of either side batting for a second time. Version 1 has the result as a draw, whereas version 2 says that Meriden won by 73 runs. The CIJ also refers to the result being "an easy victory for the home team".

The issue of the result is relatively easy to resolve. As this was a one-day match, it would have been decided on first innings alone, unless a result were to be obtained via completed second innings. Even if a side batted again after both first innings had been completed, and had not completed its second innings, the result of the match could not be given as a draw: the result would be based on first-innings performances alone. In this case, even if Nether Whitacre batted a second time, their second innings was not completed. So version 1 is wrong to give the result as a draw: the winners, because they scored more runs in their first innings, were Meriden. Whether their win was by 73 runs, or by the number of wickets that they had remaining when they passed Nether Whitacre's first-innings score (which must have been ten, since Nether Whitacre made 0 in their first innings, and both Meriden openers scored at least one run) depends on which side batted first.

That question is more difficult to settle. Even if Meriden batted first and then dismissed their opponents for 0, there is no reason why the third innings of the match – if there was one – should not have been played by Nether Whitacre: following on if they had batted after Meriden first time round, or else, if they had batted first, just going in again in the normal way after the completion of both teams' first innings. Under the Laws of cricket as they stood at the time, a team was required [sic – it was compulsory] to follow on if they were 60 or more runs behind their opponents on first innings in a one-day match.

There is no compelling evidence either way as to the order in which the teams batted – or indeed whether either side embarked upon a second innings. On balance, I think it likely that Nether Whitacre did bat a second time – the scorecard details given for their second innings in Version 1 contain nothing out of the ordinary to suggest that they may have been 'invented', and one can understand the two teams wanting to carry on playing after the two first innings must have been completed early in the scheduled hours of play. Purely based on the fact that two of the sources give Meriden batting first, I currently favour that order of innings (which means that Nether Whitacre must have followed on), but a note is needed to the scorecard to indicate that this is conjecture only.

**Verdict:** The scorecard should be written to show Meriden batting first, and to show Nether Whitacre starting a second innings when following on. The result will therefore be a win for Meriden by 73 runs. But all this should be qualified in a note to the scorecard.

#### 4 Players' names

The names of the players, or the spellings of their names, differ in several respects between the three versions. The differences are as follows:

- Meriden's number 5 batter is named as A Garratt in both Version 2 and the CIJ, but as A Revenhall in Version 1.
- Nether Whitacre's number 8 is spelled Fyfield in Version 1, but as Fiefield in Version 2 and the CIJ.
- Nether Whitacre's number 9 is spelled Keighlley in Version 1, Keighley in Version 2, and Keightley in the CIJ.
- Versions 1 and 2 both have Meriden's numbers 1 and 3 as E Skidmore and E Hicken respectively, but the CIJ has them as B Skidmore and H Hicken.

A check of surnames as given in the 1881 census for the area indicates that Fiefield and Keightley are the correct spellings of those players' names. There is no persuasive evidence either way on the other issues noted. On balance, and in the absence of any supporting evidence for the names and initials given in Versions 1 and 2, I favour – with no great confidence – following the contemporary versions as given in the CIJ.

**Verdict:** The spellings Fiefield and Keightley should be used in the Nether Whitacre card, and the CIJ should be followed in identifying other players as A Garratt, B Skidmore and H Hicken. [The corrected spellings for these names are used in the remainder of this note.]

#### 5 Other scorecard differences

Various other differences between the scorecards have been identified:

- Only Version 1 gives any breakdown of Extras.
- Version 1 and the CIJ both give Keightley 'hit wicket b Hicken' in Nether Whitacre(1); Version 2 has him lbw to the same bowler.
- Version 1 has Watson 'c Fiefield b [unknown] in the Meriden innings, while Version 2 and the CIJ both give 'c and b Fiefield'.

Again with no special confidence, and for the same reason as given above, I favour going with the CIJ's version of the dismissal details of Keightley and Watson. In the absence of any alternative to the Extras breakdown as given in Version 1, I am willing to accept this as it stands.

**Verdict:** Use the Extras breakdown from Version 1, and the dismissal details from the CIJ.

## TORONTO v TRINITY COLLEGE SCHOOL 1882 – ISSUES (April 2023)

As of May 2020 there were two versions of the scorecard of this match in Cricket Archive:

- Version 1: CA ref misc21552 [since replaced by Version 3, see below]
- Version 2: CA ref misc411693 [since deleted]

These versions differ in several respects from each other, and from the match report and scorecard in the *Toronto Daily Mail* (hereafter TDM) of 15 July 1882 (the day after the match). The following is an assessment of the merits of the various alternatives contained within these three scorecards. Reference is also made to 'Version 3' – the version of the scorecard appearing in Cricket Archive in April 2023. In most, but not all, respects this is identical to the above 'Version 1', and it bears the same reference number of 'misc21552'.

### 1 Team Names

Version 1 has Toronto Cricket Club v Trinity College School Past & Present; Versions 2 and 3 had or have Toronto v Trinity College School Rovers; TDM has Trinity College School (Ex & Present) v Toronto Cricket Club.

For the Trinity side, I see no reason not to go with the name by which this team was twice referred to at the time in the TDM – on 14 July before the match, and on 15 July when reporting it. 'Past & Present' may roll better off the tongue, but there is no evidence that it was the name by which the team was known at the time. Against this, however, it is noted that other matches involving many of the same Trinity players are reported in the TDM on 15 and 17 July under the team-name 'Trinity College School Rovers'. Nevertheless, the fact that the newspaper used the 'Ex and Present' name for the Toronto match while on the same day using the 'Rovers' name for two other matches (all played on different dates) suggests that there was method behind the use of the 'Ex and Present' rather than the 'Rovers' name for the Toronto match.

The Toronto side is consistently named in the TDM as Toronto Cricket Club, and it was surely a club side rather than one representing the city as a whole.

### 2 Venue

Versions 1 and 2 both give or gave this as 'Caer Howell, Toronto', while the TDM on 15 July just has "At the Toronto grounds" (and also, unhelpfully, in its pre-match notice "on the grounds of the home team"). In his listing of the match's record 11th-wicket partnership, Gross gives the venue as 'Fort Hope, Toronto'. In 2023, Cricket Archive's Version 3 gives the venue as 'Bloor Street Ground, Toronto', which it identifies elsewhere as the home ground of Toronto CC. This may or may not be the same venue as Caer Howell, which other contemporary sources name as being the home ground of Toronto CC at the time. On the other hand, Port [sic] Hope was and is the location of Trinity College, some miles from the city itself. The venue as given in the TDM on 15 July seems clear that Toronto were the home team, and so either (or possibly both) of Bloor Street or Caer Howell would be the correct name of the venue. This also means that the fixture should be named with Toronto CC as the home side.

**Verdict on issues 1 and 2:** The match was 'Toronto CC v Trinity College School Ex & Present', and was played at Bloor Street and/or Caer Howell, Toronto.

### 3 The result

Versions 1 and 3 say the match was drawn; Version 2 said it was a win for Toronto on first innings. No result is mentioned in the TDM.

This was a one-day match. The conventions of the time were that such a match would be played over a single innings, but there was no reason why a team should not play (or start) its second innings if time and the players' enthusiasm permitted. Only if both teams' second innings were played out would the result be determined as if it had been arranged as a two-innings match; otherwise the result would be decided by first innings. Thus once both teams had completed a first innings, a definite result to the match was inevitable – it could not be left as a draw. In this case, Toronto CC outscored their opponents on first innings, and as the two second innings were not completed the result must be a win for Toronto CC on first innings. Strictly it was a win by the number of wickets still in hand when Trinity's first-innings total was passed, but this figure is not known. **Verdict:** Toronto CC won on first innings by an unknown number of wickets.

#### 4 The toss and captains

Versions 1 and 3 say that the winners of the toss are not known; Version 2 said the toss was won by Toronto. The report in the TDM is explicit that it was in fact Trinity who won the toss, and I know of no evidence to challenge this.

None of the CA versions identifies a captain for either side. The TDM refers to Logan as the Trinity captain, and again there is no evidence on which to dispute this. The captain of the Toronto side is not identified in any source seen to date. **Verdict:** The toss was won by the Trinity side, who were captained by CJ Logan.

#### 5 Players' names and initials

The scorecard in the TDM does not give any players' initials, other than to distinguish JS and DM Howard in the Trinity side. Versions 1, 2 and 3 both give or gave initials for all 24 players, and are consistent for all but three of them: Versions 1 (and 3) have JG Daly, FR Martin and J Brown, while Version 2 had AM Daly, Martin [no initial] and AG Brown.

These three apart, reports of other matches in 1882 in the TDM confirm that players bearing the initials in all three CA Versions played in senior cricket in Toronto in that season. There seems no reason to doubt the CA Versions' initials of these 21 players.

For Daly, CA has JG Daly playing several matches for Toronto-based sides in 1859 and 1860, then nothing until reappearing for a few matches in 1882; while it shows AM Daly appearing in several matches in 1882, though none in any other season. The TDM has a player named AM Daly appearing in matches in the city both before and after the Trinity game, and like CA it never has JG and AM Daly playing together. It seems to me that there is a strong balance of argument in favour of **AM Daly** being the cricketer who played against Trinity; the apparent 22-year gap in JG's career seems likely to be an error.

I can find no FR Martin in any match in the TDM in the 1882 season (to the end of August), although a player named EK or EKC Martin appears in a number of scorecards. I have no specific evidence that the Martin in the Trinity game was or was not FR, or that it was or was not EKC. However, EKC is favoured, based on the inclusion of that name (but not FR) in TDM's list of players for the Trinity College Rovers squad for a match on the days immediately following this game.

The case of Brown is more significant, since he was one of the participants in the record-breaking 11th-wicket partnership in this match. The TDM has a player named AG Brown playing for Toronto both before and after the Trinity game, but does not have any games featuring a 'J Brown' in the season to the end of August 1882. It may also be significant that a news item about the match – without a scorecard – from "a Canadian correspondent" that appeared in *Cricket* on 3 August 1882 (on page 197) refers to the man in the partnership as AG Brown. (It may be borne in mind that the TDM did not give the player's initials, so this correspondent must have derived the initials 'AG' from some other source: he was not simply copying the details from the TDM.) CA has 'J Brown' playing for Toronto in two matches in 1882 (and no others in other years), but shows AG Brown as having a lengthy career in matches in the city. I feel there is clear balance here in favour of the view that the player in the Toronto side was **AG Brown** – which is the name given by Gross.

One other issue concerns the spelling of the Trinity bowler Macdonnell (as given in CA's three versions) or Macdonell (as in the TDM, both in this match and in several other matches in the same season). CA names this cricketer as Angus Claude Macdonnell (1861-1924). A search of ancestry.co.uk reveals that Angus Claude Macdonell [sic], with the same birth and death dates as the player in CA, was a Conservative member of the Canadian parliament between 1904 and 1921. Some online family trees spell the surname as MacDonell, but in this instance I favour the orthography given on the Canadian Parliament's website. So **Macdonell** it is.

#### 6 Dismissal of Clarke for Toronto

The TDM shows Clarke and Morrison as both 'not out' in the Toronto innings, whereas CA's three versions all have Clarke 'run out'. The TDM states that ten men were out before the big last-wicket partnership in this innings; one of those must have been Clarke. The 'run out' option seems by far

the most likely correction to the TDM scorecard, bearing in mind too that their bowling figures show only ten of Toronto's 11 wickets falling to bowlers. **Run out** is therefore favoured.

#### **7 The size of Toronto's last-wicket partnership**

The partnership for the last wicket in Toronto's innings appears to be a record for an 11th wicket in any organised match, so it is desirable to establish its size beyond reasonable doubt.

Versions 1 and 3 of the scorecard both show or showed the 10th wicket falling at 52 and the 11th at 249, and have a note to the scorecard saying that Brown and Morrison added 197 for the last wicket.

Version 2 showed the 9th wicket falling at 51, had no figure for the score at the fall of the tenth wicket, and has the 11th wicket falling at 249. This time there was a note saying that the last-wicket partnership was worth 198 runs – which means that the compilers of this card must have understood the tenth wicket to have fallen at 51.

The TDM gives very few fall of wicket details. An early reference is to the first three wickets of the Trinity innings producing “but two runs”, which is a detail lacking in both the other versions of the scorecard. More significantly, its report later says “At the fall of the tenth wicket Toronto was but two ahead ... the Torontos' first innings [later] terminated for the sum of 249”. Both the report in the paper, and its scorecard, confirm that Trinity's all-out score in their first innings was 49 (as do versions 1, 2 and 3). This means that the tenth wicket must have fallen at 51 and not 52, thus making the 11th-wicket partnership worth 198 – which tallies with the figure given by Gross, and as noted at the time in the item in *Cricket* mentioned earlier).

It is strongly suspected that the fall of wickets details given in Version 2 were simply an error, and that the compiler meant to write ‘9/?, 10/51, 11/249’ – which would tally with the details in the TDM, and would be consistent with the note below that particular scorecard in CA. Such an error may not be wholly unexpected when writing up the fall of wickets in a 12-player innings.

No evidence has been seen to support Version 1 and 3's figure of 52 for the score at the fall of the tenth wicket, or for the partnership being 197 as stated in that version. In the absence of any such evidence, and in the face of explicit contemporary evidence for the score of 51 at the fall of the tenth wicket and a final partnership of 198, I see no reason to question the TDM details. **Verdict:** Toronto's tenth wicket fell at 51, and the 11th-wicket partnership was worth 198. In addition, the third wicket of the Trinity first innings fell at a score of 2.