

ESSEX v. LANCASHIRE. Played at Leyton on July 22, 23 and 24. Drawn.

Both sides were very strongly represented for this match and some good cricket was seen. Lancashire lost Hartley and Tyldesley for 25 and Sharp at 62, but the association of Spooner and Makepeace improved matters. In 50 minutes they added 61 and then the amateur was caught at the wicket for 60. He was missed at 15 and 34 and was not seen at his best: his chief hits were six 4's. With Hornby in 55 were added in 40 minutes, the fifth wicket not falling until 178. A run later Macleod and Huddleston left to consecutive balls, and the last five wickets fell in 35 minutes for 42. Makepeace, who showed the best cricket of the day, scored 80 out of 158 in 130 minutes: he drove well and hit finely to leg and gave no chance. Essex made 127 for three wickets before play ceased. Douglas and Fane made 32 together in 20 minutes and the latter and Perrin 58 in 55. Fane was bowled by a no-ball from Brearley when 9, but offered no chance in his 49. On the second morning McGahey and Gillingham remained together until they had put on 72 in 45 minutes. The former batted an hour and three-quarters for his flawless 54, in which were eight 4's. Grinter afterwards showed good cricket once he had settled down, and Reeves, who hit as many as nine 4's in his 48, helped him to add 66 in 40 minutes. Grinter batted an hour and a half for 49 and carried out his bat when the innings closed with Essex 86 ahead. When Lancashire went in the second time Spooner and Tyldesley played a great game after Hartley's departure at 12. In 125 minutes they put on 217 for the second wicket by most attractive cricket. Spooner, the first to leave, hit fourteen 4's, and reached 50 out of 83 in 55 minutes, 100 out of 208 in 125, and, in all, made 113 out of 229 in 140. He was missed when 73 and 97, each time off Reeves, but his timing was perfect and he made runs all round the wicket, especially between cover and third man. Tyldesley made his 50 out of 102 in an hour, 100 of 191 in 105 minutes, and, altogether, 114 out of 242 in two hours and a-half: he hit fifteen 4's, and should have been stumped when 91. Makepeace afterwards played steadily whilst Sharp scored readily, and at the end of the day the pair were together with three wickets down for 289. On the third morning Sharp played on with only a single added, whilst both Macleod and Huddleston were out by 316. Hornby and Makepeace took the total to 346, when the latter was seventh out for a valuable effort of 38. The rest of the innings was marked by a fine display on the part of Hornby, who reached 50 in less than an hour and put on 60 for the eighth wicket with Dean. He made his 71 in 80 minutes and was last out. Play before lunch was interrupted by rain on four occasions, and Essex were left only three hours and a-quarter in which to make 338. They lost Douglas to the first ball sent down, but Fane and Perrin added 45 for the second wicket and the latter and McGahey 75 for the third. Gillingham helped to put on 43, and, with four wickets down for 209, stumps were drawn a quarter-of-an-hour before the usual time. Perrin batted three hours for 101 not out, driving with great power and making no mistake. Score and analysis:—

Table with columns for Lancashire and Essex, listing players, runs, and wickets for both first and second innings.

Summary table for Essex v Lancashire, showing total runs (306) and wickets (209) for both sides.

Scorecard for Lancashire and Essex, including batting and bowling statistics for both teams.

WESTMINSTER v. CHARTERHOUSE.

Played on Vincent Square ground and won by Westminster by three wickets. This was Westminster's first win over Charterhouse since 1901. Score and analysis:—

Scorecard for Westminster v Charterhouse, including batting and bowling statistics.

WESTMINSTER.

Scorecard for Westminster, including batting and bowling statistics.

CHARTERHOUSE.

Scorecard for Charterhouse, including batting and bowling statistics.

WESTMINSTER.

Scorecard for Westminster, including batting and bowling statistics.

SCOTLAND v. IRELAND. Played at Perth on July 22, 23 and 24. Scotland won by an innings and 132 runs.

The veteran Balfour-Melville, who captained Scotland, made his 91 in 95 minutes. Thorburn and Chapel added 86 for the last wicket of the winners. Score and analysis:—

Scorecard for Scotland v Ireland, including batting and bowling statistics for both teams.

IRELAND.

Scorecard for Ireland, including batting and bowling statistics.

SCOTLAND.

Scorecard for Scotland, including batting and bowling statistics.

IRELAND.

Scorecard for Ireland, including batting and bowling statistics.

SUFFOLK v. CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

Played at Felixstowe on July 9 and 10 and left drawn. Score and analysis:—

SUFFOLK.

Scorecard for Suffolk, including batting and bowling statistics.

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

Scorecard for Cambridgeshire, including batting and bowling statistics.

SUFFOLK.

Scorecard for Suffolk, including batting and bowling statistics.

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

Scorecard for Cambridgeshire, including batting and bowling statistics.

Mr. Charles Smith, of Whaphams, Henfield Sussex, a former captain of the Sussex County Eleven, who died on March 12th last, aged 70, left estate of the gross value of £15,408 2s. 9d., of which the net personality has been sworn at £8,807 9s. 5d.

SPORTING NOTES.

Of all the peculiar things in cricket the Cambs. County team is the most remarkable. If they are playing against a really good side and are generally expected to be soundly beaten, they put up a good fight and lose on the luck. If they are playing against a side much inferior on paper they crumple up and lose again. They inevitably lose, but why they should do so, nobody knows. The team itself is not nearly such a bad one as anybody would imagine from its record. There are some quite respectable cricketers in the team, but somehow or other they can never get going in county cricket. In the Suffolk match at Felixstowe last week, for instance, the home county were represented by the weakest team they have had for years, and yet the win alone saved Cambs. from a bad hiding. I don't exaggerate in the least when I say that Suffolk ought to have been out for 150 instead of nearly 900, and what is more, I believe that any Senior League team playing on Parker's Piece would have got them out for that total.

If Cambs. had fielded as they did against Herts, they would have had the match well in hand on the first day instead of being 176 behind on the first innings. At Bishop Stortford their work in the field would not have disgraced any team, but at Felixstowe a third-rate Junior Cup team could not have been much worse. I know of course that a good many allowances must be made. A six o'clock breakfast and a four hours' railway journey are not calculated to send a man into the field in his best form. And then again the light on the Felixstowe ground was much stronger than it is on any ground in Cambridge, and it must have been embarrassing to men coming straight from the dim atmosphere of third-class smokers, while a high wind may have made the ball still more difficult to judge. But when you have made every excuse, it is impossible to entirely explain away Cambs. horrible blunders. The fielding was bad, rank bad, and of course the team suffered for it.

And the worst of it was from a spectators' point of view that the Suffolk batting was very few degrees higher than the Cambs. fielding. It produced runs, and when you have said that, you have said nearly all that can be said in its favour. To obtain runs is of course the main object of any innings, and is a very desirable thing from the team's point of view. From the spectators' point of view it is better that the runs should be actually earned than that they should be fluked, and what proportion of Suffolk's runs were fluked, I should not like to say. C. L. Alexander, who made 28, hit half a dozen balls in as many minutes without having the remotest idea where they had gone. He was missed before he had scored, and missed at regular intervals all through his weird innings. Altogether he had nearly as many lives as a cat. Penfold cut very nicely later in the innings, and V. J. Lewis got in some pretty leg hits, but altogether it was not a display to enthuse over, and was about as much worth 283 as it was worth a million. Apropos of leg hits, it would be interesting to know why the younger Pigg persisted in bowling on the leg side and having all the fielders on the off side. The handling of the Cambs. bowling altogether left much to be desired.

From the pavilion, at any rate, it was impossible to understand why Bellars was persevered with. If it was in the hope that he would get wickets the Cambs. captain must possess an unusually sanguine temperament. As it was the full resources of the team were never tried. Tebbutt and Ling, for instance, both bowl a bit, and even if they are played for their batting they might at least have been given a turn with the ball. If it did no good it could not have done very much harm. Fromant was the most successful bowler, taking 4 for 48, a remarkably good analysis. Coulson was also bowled well, but he only secured 2 for 29. In batting, as in everything else, Cambs. failed to produce anything like their real form. The men got themselves out in the most absurd way. B. W. Pigg and F. E. Collier alone making any show. It was a fortunate thing for Cambs. that the rain prevented play on the second day, though it is rather hard luck on Suffolk who only obtain three points instead of five.

The Senior League cricket on Saturday was more or less farcical, for no definite result could be reasonably expected in either of the matches in which a start was made. There must have been some very sensational cricket for any team to win a match outright under the existing conditions, and in the time at their disposal. There were no sensations, and so St. Giles' and the Albert Institute and the C.E.Y.M.S. and the Crown take a point each all round. On Parker's Piece Barker's policy of sending the Albert in to bat was roundly criticised. The sudden wicket, of course, was not likely to improve, and if the sun came out, as at one time appeared probable, it was likely to get considerably worse. But it would be an insult to Barker's intelligence to suggest that he did not realise this, and I am inclined to think that there was a good deal more in his policy than he was given credit for. It must be remembered that when a start was made in the match less than three hours' actual cricket was possible. Consequently, if an attempt was to be made to win the match, instead of merely playing out time for the sake of the divided points, Barker had only two courses open to him. He could take first innings and instruct his men to hit in the hope that he would be able to declare in sufficient time to get the Albert out, or he could send the Albert in, and hope for a collapse. If it was in this spirit that he sent the Albert in, then he was doomed to disappointment, for the Institute declined

to collapse, and there was never the least chance of the match being finished. Hunt batted very well indeed for his 84, but it would be interesting to know what would have happened to the Albert if Kidman had caught him at point before he had scored. No blame attaches to Kidman for it would have been a remarkably good catch if he had held it, and in addition to that I think it would quite possibly have altered the whole course of the game.

In the other match between the Crown and the C.E.Y.M.S. on Saturday, only eight players turned out on each side, and the game, which would have been delayed in any case till four o'clock by the weather, was not started till about a quarter to five. Scoring was very slow, and the Crown declared at half-past six with four wickets down for the 83 runs scored. The C.E.Y.M.S. had no earthly chance of making the runs in the 30 minutes left for play, and were not likely to get out as the wicket gave the bowlers no assistance whatever. The natural result was a draw.

The Senior League match on Parker's Piece last Thursday between the Camden and Newmarket was not productive of much more than a good win for the home team. The pitch was soft after much rain, and the Camden, winning the toss, did well to make 163, owing to good scores by Collier, P. A. French and Rowley. Newmarket gave a deplorable display against the bowling of Gray, who found the wicket to his liking. Pat Robins was sent back in his first over, having been beaten by every ball, but Guy Robins was the only one to see or time the ball with anything approaching certainty. Rolfe was the only other man to reach double figures, and he made 12 with a few hits. Newmarket were thus all out for 44, being beaten by 99.

The Cambs. Senior League table up to and including July 10th is as follows:-

Table with 5 columns: St. Giles', Rodney, Camden, Newmarket, C.E.Y.M.S., Albert Institute, Crowe. Rows show runs, wickets, and points.

The feature of the match between Mr. P. H. Young XI and the Borough Police was the brilliant batting of Mr. H. G. Whibley. Out of a total of 98, the deputy-mayor claimed 43, which included four boundaries and three 3's. Fell had a remarkably good bowling analysis, taking six for 26. Against Banham and Newbold the Police could do little, and were all out for 54, Mr Young's XI. winning comfortably.

The Cup-tie between University Prees and Shelford was left in an interesting position, the Prees, with five wickets to fall, requiring 43 runs to win. Owing to the rain, a start was not made until four o'clock, and the pitch was sodden, slow and dead true. Consequently the Press, whose bowling was weak, took some time to get Shelford out. That the score was kept down to 109 was due to the tremendously keen fielding of the Press. An exceptionally fine catch was made by Murfitt, who, fielding at mid-on, had to jump for a hot drive from Rooke's slow bowling. Phypers, whose bowling had not been considered anything exceptional, was put on when most of the team had been tried, and did the hat-trick with his first three deliveries. His analysis was 4 for 9. Rooke took 3 for 42, and Millman 2 for 22. Pearce batted well for Shelford. The Press lost five wickets for 47 runs, Halls running both Horn and himself out in sheer eagerness to get runs, whilst Allen, who had been playing carefully, skied a ball which he should have sent to the boundary to square-leg. The position was very serious for the Press, but Phypers and Rooke, who were now in partnership, reached how much the side depended on them, and absolutely refused to take any risks, although some tempting slows were sent down.

The score crept up slowly, and with the drawing of stumps at seven o'clock, when the light was getting bad, the Press had made 67 for five.

It is probable that the weather had more to do with saving Cambridge from defeat in the Inter-Varsity cricket match than anything else. They have also the Dark Blues to thank for not being pushed at the finish. The general opinion was that Oxford played the wrong game on Wednesday. No play was possible before half-past twelve, and as there was always a strong possibility of more rain, Oxford's most obvious course was to make runs with all haste and declare as quickly as possible. The earlier batsmen, however, played with as much care as though the defeat of Oxford was imminent. Hurst did not show much enterprise by delaying the declaration until four o'clock. The pitch was too difficult to make run-getting easy, and everything was against fast scoring. It is probable that the presence of MacLeod was in some degree responsible for Oxford's hesitation, for he has proved himself capable of very big deeds in the way of hurricane hitting. Even so, it would have been more pleasing and more in accordance with the traditional spirit of Varsity cricket had Hurst risked a little—or even more than a little—in an attempt to snatch a win.

On the whole, Oxford had probably the better side, but J. N. Buchanan can hardly be congratulated upon his captaincy. In the match itself J. H. B. Lockhart was the only good bowler he had, and even for him the wicket was very much too slow. This gave the batsmen plenty of time to watch Lockhart, yet Buchanan refrained from putting him on till the Oxford men had settled down. This probably accounted for the big first innings score. As is natural for a "goopy" bowler on a slow wicket, Lockhart was rather expensive, but he secured six wickets for 96. Buchanan, however, was severely handicapped by Olivier and Lyttel-

ton being off-colour this season. But no real attempt to find a good bowler was made when it was discovered that these two were not in last year's form. The Cambridge captain trusted, I think, too much to them finding their form later in the season, and this they were unable to do.

Again, in batting the side might possibly have been improved. D. C. Collins merited a more extended trial. Buchanan, it must be admitted, on this year's form was not worth his place. Wright was played much too often, with the result that several other claimants did not receive a proper trial. From the commencement of the season Wright never touched his real form, and he might certainly have been dropped when the team left Cambridge. H. E. W. Prest was included at the last moment, and thoroughly justified his selection by the stand he made with Ireland, which was one of the finest performances of the match. It came at a critical time, and it served to show that there are still young players who are not overawed by an awkward situation. Both played excellent cricket. Nason, who was a disappointment, stayed thirty-five minutes in the second innings for nine. Probably he would have played better if he had not been awarded his Blue so soon. F. T. Mann deserved his place.

CAPTAIN.

HINXTON v. WHITTLESFORD STATION. Played at Hinxton on Thursday of last week and resulting in a win for the homesters by 71 runs. Scores:-

HINXTON. P. Colman b Pledger 5, W. Oglesby b Howard 0, R. Snashall b Pledger 18, R. Kemp, sen., b Pledger 1, H. Vipers b Howard 0, H. Randall run out 1, R. Kemp c Pledger b Howard 3, S. Gingell c and b Howard 5, W. Hopwood b Howard 1, W. Huckle run out 0, R. Kemp not out 2; extras 9; total 45.

Second innings - 89 for 3 (declared), Snashall 46, Oglesby 23 not out.

WHITTLESFORD. H. Gissing b Vipers 5, Last c F. Kemp b Vipers 0, J. Fuller b Snashall 1, C. Jones b Vipers 0, C. H. Chalkley b Vipers 8, S. Pledger b Snashall 4, M. Howard b Vipers 0, C. Horspool b Snashall 8, Wilson c Hopwood b Vipers 1, C. Hemsell c Huckle b Vipers 1, Arnold not out 0; extras 5; total 34.

Second innings - 27. FOXTON UNITED v. HASLINGFIELD. Played at Haslingfield on Saturday, and resulted in a win for the visitors by ten runs. Scores:-

FOXTON UNITED. Ward b Watson 22, Palmer b Watson 4, Woods b W. Watson 2, Lummis b Stern 0, Carpenter b Watson 7, Whybrow b Watson 0, Hoffer c and b Watson 1, Cote b Stern 1, Mead not out 10, Worland b Stern 1, Loker c Barnard b Watson 0; total 48.

HASLINGFIELD. Barnard c Woods b Whybrow 3, H. Jennings c Lummis b Cote 0, Dobbin b Whybrow 0, Senior c and b Cote 3, P. Watson b Cote 13, A. Jennings b Whybrow 0, Stearn run out 2, Jude run out 3, Wisbey b Whybrow 0, H. Watson b Cote 0, Mills not out 6; extras 8; total 38.

CONINGTON v. KNAPWELL. Played at Conington on Tuesday, when the visitors won by an innings and 20 runs.

CONINGTON. J. Harvey b P. Childerley 0, J. Peat b W. Thompson 2, A. Harridine c Childerley b Thompson 4, G. Lawson c Childerley b Thompson 1, F. Tuplin b Thompson 0, H. Joyce b Thompson 4, H. Martin b Childerley 1, F. Johnson, sen., b Childerley 4, R. Harvey b J. Hall 0, F. Johnson, jun., not out 0, C. Murden b J. Hall 0; extras 2; total 18.

Second innings - 32. KNAPWELL. S. Webb c Murden b Tuplin 8, Chandler b Tuplin 4, Hodson b Joyce 0, P. Chillery b Joyce 0, Hawkes b Joyce 23, Bath b Joyce 12, Thompson b Joyce 4, Root b J. Peat 0, J. Hall c and b Joyce 2, C. Hall not out 2, W. Chillery c and b J. Peat 3; extra 3; total 70.

CRICKET.

**MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP.
Cams. v. Suffolk.**

Cams. commenced their second match of the season in delightful weather at Felixstowe on Friday, when they met Suffolk. The home county were not at full strength, while Cams. made three changes from the team which lost to Herts., C. Pigg, O. W. Bocock and W. Fromant coming in for Capt. Cooke, F. Bryan and L. J. Reid. Cams. lost the toss, and Suffolk batted first. Coulson and B. W. Pigg shared the attack, bowling to Quife and Hollings. The first two overs produced 12, 9 being scored from Pigg. The bowler was not long waiting for his revenge, for in his second over he sent back Quife. Catchpole, the new comer, opened his account with a beautiful 4 off Pigg, but with the score at 23 Hollings skied a ball to Collier, who dropped it. Hollings had then made 7, but the mistake did not prove very expensive, for he had only added one to his total when he was clean bowled. Alexander, who took his place, did not seem at all comfortable, and he appeared to be missed behind the wicket before he had scored. He also put two or three balls from Coulson up in dangerous fashion, and was all but out to a brilliant attempt by Collier at an awkward catch. Collier had a long way to run, and though he just managed to get his left hand to the ball he could not hold it. Neither batsman could do much with Coulson, who sent down six maidens in succession. When the sequence was broken, however, runs came fairly fast, and the 50 was hoisted as the result of three-quarters of an hour's play. At 66 Bellars went on for Pigg, and his first ball Alexander hit hard and straight to C. Pigg, who dropped it as if it was red hot. Alexander continued to have all the luck there was about, for with the score at 70 Thomas gave him yet another life. At 74 Coulson gave way to Fromant, and the change had the desired effect, for off the second ball Catchpole was taken at square-leg. Wilson helped Alexander to take the total to 98, when Pigg went on again for Bellars, and Alexander with a nice cut—one of the few good strokes he had made—sent up the 100. He reached his own 50 in the same over. Just before lunch Wilson gave Tebbutt a chance, but with the sun in his eyes Tebbutt misjudged the catch altogether. At lunch the total was 119 for 3.

In the third over after lunch Pigg got rid of Wilson, four wickets being down for 135. With 14 added, Coulson bowled Alexander, who had made 58, and who had had runs presented to him, if any batsman ever did. With Lewis and Penfold together runs came fast, and at 171 Thomas took the ball from Pigg. The change had no effect, however, for runs came as freely as ever, and the second century was hoisted at twenty minutes past three, after two hours and three-quarters' batting. Then a double change was tried in the bowling, Bellars going on for Coulson and Fromant for Pigg. With the total at 221, Lewis was out to a brilliant catch at square-leg. The ball was going very fast and high over Ling's head, but he took it one hand with all the ease in the world. In the next over Penfold put up the softest catch imaginable, but C. Pigg managed to drop it, though how he did it nobody knows. Another 30 runs were put on before the seventh wicket fell, Gaby being bowled by Fromant. When Barnes came in Penfold hit Bellars for two 4's and a 6 in succession, but the new comer was out to the fourth ball—a yorker. Penfold was ninth out for a spirited 63, marred by one very easy chance. Trudgett, the last man in, was missed behind the wicket before he had scored, but the innings concluded at 283, a very smart throw by Tebbutt running Henley out.

Altogether the innings occupied just under four hours, and the side ought to have been out for well under 200.

Cams. commenced their first innings about half-past four with Coulson and Thomas. The start was disastrous, for with only three scored Thomas was bowled by Penfold. The side never recovered, and were out for 107, so that they required 176 to save the innings defeat. B. W. Pigg and Collier were the only batsmen to make any show at all against Penfold and Trudgett, who bowled unchanged right through, the former taking six for 42 and the latter four for 59. Scores:

SUFFOLK—1st innings.

Quife (W.) b B. W. Pigg.....	10
Hollings b Coulson	8
W. Catchpole c Coulson b Fromant	27
C. L. Alexander b Coulson.....	58
H. L. Wilson b B. W. Pigg.....	33
V. J. Lewis c Ling b Fromant.....	43
Penfold b Fromant	63
W. F. Gaby b Fromant	13
A. B. Barnes b Bellars	0
H. C. Henley run out	8
Trudgett not out	2
Extras.....	18
Total	283

CAMBS.—1st innings.

T. S. Thomas b Penfold.....	3
Coulson b Penfold	11
O. W. Bocock b Penfold	0
F. E. Collier c Penfold b Trudgett.....	23
A. S. Ling b Penfold	14
H. C. Tebbutt c Wilson b Trudgett.....	8
B. W. Pigg not out.....	34
Watts c Alexander b Trudgett.....	1
W. Fromant c Gaby b Penfold.....	2
A. E. Bellars c Alexander b Trudgett	0
C. Pigg c Hollings b Penfold.....	5
Extras.....	6
Total.....	107

Owing to the heavy rain at Felixstowe no play was possible on Saturday, and the match was left drawn.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK—1st Innings.

	O.	M.	R.	W.
Coulson	24	11	59	3
B. W. Pigg	20	9	81	2
A. E. Bellars	10	3	07	1
T. S. Thomas	4	0	17	0
W. Fromant	15	3	48	4
CAMS.—1st Innings.				
Penfold	10.3	7	43	6
Trudgett	19	6	50	4

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP.

Cambs. v. Suffolk.

NO PLAY TO-DAY—MATCH LEFT DRAWN.

Cambs. commenced their second match of the season in delightful weather at Felixstowe on Friday, when they met Suffolk. The home county were not at full strength, while Cambs. made three changes from the team which lost to Herts., C. Pigg, O. W. Boccock and W. Fromant coming in for Capt. Cooke, F. Bryan and L. J. Reid. Cambs. lost the toss, and Suffolk batted first. Coulson and B. W. Pigg shared the attack, howling to Quaife and Hollings. The first two overs produced 12, 9 being scored from Pigg. The bowler was not long waiting for his revenge, for in his second over he sent back Quaife. Catchpole, the new comer, opened his account with a beautiful 4 off Pigg, but with the score at 23 Hollings skied a ball to Collier, who dropped it. Hollings had then made 7, but the mistake did not prove very expensive, for he had only added one to his total when he was clean bowled. Alexander, who took his place, did not seem at all comfortable, and he appeared to be missed behind the wicket before he had scored. He also put two or three balls from Coulson up in dangerous fashion, and was all but out to a brilliant attempt by Collier at an awkward catch. Collier had a long way to run, and though he just managed to get his left hand to the ball he could not hold it. Neither batsmen could do much with Coulson, who sent down six maidens in succession. When the sequence was broken, however, runs came fairly fast, and the 50 was hoisted as the result of three-quarters of an hour's play. At 66 Bellars went on for Pigg, and his first ball Alexander hit hard and straight to C. Pigg, who dropped it as if it was red hot. Alexander continued to have all the luck there was about, for with the score at 70 Thomas gave him yet another life. At 74 Coulson gave way to Fromant, and the change had the desired effect, for off the second ball Catchpole was taken at square-leg. Wilson helped Alexander to take the total to 98, when Pigg went on again for Bellars, and Alexander with a nice cut—one of the few good strokes he had made—sent up the 100. He reached his own 50 in the same over. Just before lunch Wilson gave Tebbutt a chance, but with the sun in his eyes Tebbutt misjudged the catch altogether. At lunch the total was 119 for 3.

In the third over after lunch Pigg got rid of Wilson, four wickets being down for 135. With 14 added, Coulson bowled Alexander, who had made 58, and who had had runs presented to him, if any batsman ever did. With Lewis and Penfold together runs came fast, and at 171 Thomas took the ball from Pigg. The change had no effect, however, for runs came as freely as ever, and the second century was hoisted at twenty minutes past three, after two hours and three-quarter's batting. Then a double change was tried in the bowling, Bellars going on for Coulson and Fromant for Pigg. With the total at 221, Lewis was out to a brilliant catch at square-leg. The ball was going very fast and high over Ling's head, but he took it one hand with all the ease in the world. In the next over Penfold put up the softest catch imaginable, but C. Pigg managed to drop it, though how he did it nobody knows. Another 30 runs were put on before the seventh wicket fell, Gaby being bowled by Fromant. When Barnes came in Penfold hit Bellars for two 4's and a 3 in succession, but the new comer was out to the fourth ball—a yorker. Penfold was ninth out for a spirited 63, marred by one very easy chance. Trudgett, the last man in, was missed behind the wicket before he had scored, but the innings concluded at 283, a very smart throw by Tebbutt running Henley out. Altogether the innings occupied just under four hours, and the side ought to have been out for well under 200.

Cambs. commenced their first innings about half-past four with Coulson and Thomas. The start was disastrous, for with only three scored Thomas was bowled by Penfold. The side never recovered, and were out for 107, so that they required 176 to save the innings defeat. B. W. Pigg and Collier were the only batsmen to make any show at all against Penfold and Trudgett, who bowled unchanged right through, the former taking six for 42 and the latter four for 59. Scores:

SUFFOLK—1st innings.

Quaife (W.) b B. W. Pigg.....	10
Hollings b Coulson	8
W. Catchpole c Coulson b Fromant	27
C. L. Alexander b Coulson.....	58
H. L. Wilson b B. W. Pigg.....	33
V. J. Lewis c Ling b Fromant.....	43
Penfold b Fromant	63
W. F. Gaby b Fromant	13
A. B. Barnes b Bellars	0
H. C. Henley run out	8
Trudgett not out	2
Extras.....	18
Total	283

CAMBS.—1st innings.

T. S. Thomas b Penfold.....	3
Coulson b Penfold	11
O. W. Boccock b Penfold	0
F. E. Collier c Penfold b Trudgett.....	23
A. S. Ling b Penfold	14
H. C. Tebbutt c Wilson b Trudgett.....	8
B. W. Pigg not out.....	34
Watts c Alexander b Trudgett.....	1
W. Fromant c Gaby b Penfold.....	2
A. E. Bellars c Alexander b Trudgett	0
C. Pigg c Hollings b Penfold.....	5
Extras	6
Total.....	107

Owing to the heavy rain at Felixstowe no play was possible to-day, and the match was left drawn.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK—1st innings.

	O.	M.	R.	W.
Coulson	94	11	59	4
B. W. Pigg	20	2	34	2
A. E. Bellars	18	3	37	1
T. S. Thomas	4	0	17	0
W. Fromant	15	2	48	4

CAMBS.—1st innings.

Penfold	19	7	49	6
Trudgett	10	5	52	4

CRICKET

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP. SUFFOLK v. CAMBS.

This match was arranged for Friday and Saturday at Felixstowe. A good deal of rain fell during the night and, though it held fine during the first part of the morning, heavy clouds gathered just before the time fixed for resuming this match on Saturday, which appeared a certainty for Suffolk, and it rained hard till lunch time. Prospects of fine weather were a little brighter then, but the ground was so saturated that there was little likelihood of play till four o'clock, owing to the absence of a drying wind. Suffolk gain three points upon the first innings. The match was left drawn. Score:—

SUFFOLK. Quaffe b B W Pigg 10 Hollings b Coulson 8 W Catchpole c Coulson b Fromant 27 C L Alexander b Coulson 58 H L Wilson b B W Pigg 33 V J Lewis c Ling b Fromant .. 43 Penfold b Fromant 63 V O F Gaby b Fromant 13 A B Barnes b Bellairs 0 H C Henley run out 8 Trudgett not out 2 Extras 8 283

CAMBRIDGESHIRE. T S Thomas b Penfold 3 Coulson, b Penfold 11 O W Bocock b Penfold 0 F E Collier c Penfold b Trudgett.. 23 A S Ling b Penfold 14 H C Tebbutt c Wilson b Trudgett 8 B W Pigg not out 34 Watts c Alexander b Trudgett .. 1 W Fromant c Gaby b Penfold .. 2 A B Bellairs c Alexander b Trudgett 0 C Pigg c Hollings b Penfold .. 5 Extras 6 107

BOWLING ANALYSIS. SUFFOLK.

o. M. R. W. Coulson .. 24 11 63 2 B W Pigg .. 20 2 83 2 A E Bellairs 16 3 57 1 W Fromant.. 15.1 2 45 4 T S Thomas 4 — 17 — CAMBRIDGESHIRE. Penfold .. 19.3 7 42 6 Trudgett .. 19 5 59 4

SPORTS AND PASTIMES

NOTES BY ENTHUSIAST.

With the remarkable weather that has been experienced for some time past, one cannot possibly imagine sport in any one direction being a success, but undoubtedly cricket has suffered to the greatest extent. Matches abandoned, or left drawn after an hour or so's batting, seems to be the order of the day, and it is not surprising that followers of this grand old summer sport have been considerably ruffled in their tempers. Cambridge has been no more fortunate than other towns, and on Saturday last the various matches were played under very trying circumstances, and the greater number of them were left drawn.

Cambs contested their second match in the Minor Counties' Championship last week end, having as opponents, Suffolk. Doing badly on the first day, it seemed impossible that our team would be able to stave off defeat, but after a beautifully fine Friday, Saturday opened with most inclement weather, and it was found impossible to once more go to the wicket. So although it was a most unsatisfactory finish, Cambs can no doubt put it down to the weather that they were saved from defeat. Of course it is just possible that they might have cut down their opponents' wickets wholesale and knocked up a splendid total themselves in the second innings, but after their display against Herts last week, one cannot feel too confident of their powers of attack.

Losing the toss Cambs eleven had to take the field, Coulson and B. W. Pigg sharing the attack, and bowling to Quaffe and Hollings. The Suffolk men began scoring with freedom, the first over producing 12, but in the second over Pigg troubled Quaffe's wicket, this batsman's place being taken by Catchpole. He quickly became set, and at his first effort drove Pigg for four. Hollings should have been out when his score stood at 7, a "skied" ball going to Collier, who failed to hold it. Fortunately this mistake did not prove very expensive, for after adding another run to his score Hollings became a "looker-on," Coulson clean bowling him.

C. L. Alexander, who followed, appeared to be by no means at home, and before he had scored he had a narrow escape. Scoring became slow, Coulson giving the batsmen a good deal of trouble, as can be imagined, when it is mentioned that he sent six maidens down in succession. Soon, however, this state of affairs was altered, and when the batsmen gained confidence the bowlers were badly punished. To all points, the leather was driven, and after three quarters of an hour's play, 50 went up. The batsmen continued to have the best of the argument, so Fromant relieved Coulson. The change apparently stopped the willow wielders' progress, for runs came much slower, and Catchpole was soon on his way back to the tent. A good stand was made by Wilson and Alexander, and lunch time came without further loss, the score standing at 110 for three.

On the resumption of play, sixteen were added and then Wilson succumbed to Pigg, and with the score at 149, Coulson, who had again taken the ball, upset Alexander's wicket. It cannot be said of Alexander, that he played well for his fifty-eight, for really he made very few good strokes, and his luck was of the best, he being badly missed on several occasions. Lewis and Penfold were now together, and things again became lively, the 200 being registered after two and three-quarter hours' batting.

Several changes were tried in the bowling, but it was not until the score had reached 221, that Lewis was dismissed, through a magnificent catch by Ling. The next over should have seen the dismissal of Penfold, but C. Pigg dropped a most simple catch. It was a costly mistake, for thirty more runs were added before the next wicket fell, Gaby being bowled by Fromant. Penfold hit out with remarkable force, as though determined not to give another chance, but with 63 to his credit he fell a victim to Fromant, Barnes having preceded him with a "duck." Henley and Trudgett, the remaining batsmen, did not add very considerably, and with the score at 283, the innings closed, the former being run out.

A poor start by the Cambs eleven was not a good omen for a successful innings, and disaster dogged them throughout. With only three to their credit, they lost Thomas, who was bowled by Penfold. This bowler, who was ably assisted by Trudgett, was in great form, and try as they might the Cambs men could not stand against the hot attack. The result was they made a very tame show, and could only put together 107.

CRICKET.

Suffolk's performance against Cambridgeshire came as a pleasant surprise, and it is to be regretted that rain prevented them extracting the maximum points. The Felixstowe wicket on the opening day was quite a good one, in spite of the fact that rain had left it a little on the soft side. But the strong breeze all Friday morning improved it as the game proceeded, and Suffolk took splendid advantage of their opponents' bad fielding. In the course of Suffolk's innings seven catches were dropped, and C. L. Alexander had no fewer than four lives. But for these let-offs, Suffolk would probably have been disposed of for a hundred less than the innings realized, and even then their efforts would have been sufficient to give them a useful first-innings lead.

The newspaper report spoke of Suffolk being represented by what would have been a moderate Ipswich and East Suffolk side. This was, perhaps, a little exaggeration, because it was the Bury and West Suffolk professional who had a great hand in the result. After knocking up a splendid 63—his highest contribution for the county—he captured six Cambridgeshire wickets for 42. He varied his pace splendidly, and tucked all the batsmen up, for he was dead on the wicket. Penfold has rendered yeoman service for the county in the past, and shown again and again how indispensable he is to the team. The sportsmanlike action of the Bury Club in lending Penfold for this match must be recognised, as the Burians were down to play Colchester and East Essex on the Saturday, at Colchester, and, of course, his absence would have turned out a serious one for the club, had the weather allowed of the game being played.

Penfold received useful assistance in the bowling line from Trudgett, who is trundling better this season than he was last. Trudgett bowled with skill against Bedfordshire, and was unlucky to have so many runs knocked off him, and he was doubtless pleased to capture four Cambridgeshire wickets for 59. Of the Ipswichians, C. L. Alexander, W. Catchpole, V. J. Lewis, and V. F. Gaby all rendered good service, and the Suffolk fielding was a great improvement on that of their opponents.

For a weak side to score 281 seems to point to the fact that the bowling opposed to them was weak. True it was not strong, once Coulson was knocked off. This veteran "pro," a week or so before had taken eight Hertfordshire wickets for 13 runs, and was mainly responsible for getting rid of that strong batting side for 37. Against Suffolk he started well, getting such a lot of work on the ball that great care had to be exercised in playing him. Alexander and Catchpole withstood his attacks for a long time, and when the former commenced to cut him hard to the boundary, he was taken off.

Cambridgeshire made an inglorious display, and it was only B. W. Pigg's luck in being missed and getting runs from bad and mis-hits that the total exceeded the century. Fortunately for Suffolk the innings was completed on the first day, as on the Saturday it rained so hard all the time that further play was impossible. Considering that Cambridge needed 176 to avoid a single-innings defeat, they were robbed of a certain five points, but consoled themselves with getting three for a first-innings victory. Friday was a great day for Penfold, and the Suffolk "Juniors."

Norfolk and Suffolk were both represented in the Varsity match—M. Falcon and F. F. Mann hailing from the dumpling county, and J. F. Ireland from Suffolk. The trio were all on the Cambridge side, and it was Ireland who prevented the Oxfordians inflicting an ignominious defeat. He contributed the highest scores in each innings, and a gentleman who has seen 25 successive Varsity encounters gave his opinion that Ireland's 65 was, under all the circumstances, one of the best innings he has seen. It may be remembered that Ireland owed his inclusion in the side last year to a big effort for Suffolk against Norfolk. This season he has not been doing so well, and a few weeks back there was some talk of him being stood down, but a fifty odd against Sussex at an opportune time kept him his place.

It is not generally known that Kenneth Baynor, the brilliant Suffolk player, was Oxford's twelfth man. He was at Lord's dressed all three days in case of emergency, and local cricketers will regret that he was not fortunate enough to get his blue. After two excellent innings for Suffolk against Hertfordshire a fortnight ago, he was wired for to assist Oxford against Lovson-Gower's XI., and he displayed his worth by making bigger scores than any of his colleagues. One would have thought that that alone would have justified his inclusion against Cambridge, but the Oxford captain considered another bowler essential. This is not the first time Baynor has been placed in a similar predicament. He leaves the University with distinction apart from his cricket, for he has obtained caps for Association

football and hockey. Suffolk will have the good fortune to receive his services in two or three more matches this season. He has definitely promised to assist against Lincolnshire at Ipswich on August Bank Holiday and following day. This match should be a great attraction, and Ipswichians will have a chance of showing their interest in local cricket by turning up and assisting to make a record gate. It will not take many pounds to establish that.

Colchester Garrison sustained a very severe defeat at the hands of the Free Foresters on Wednesday and Thursday, being beaten by an innings and 49 runs. The feature of the match was the fine batting of Capt. F. Wilson and C. S. Wilson, who knocked up 175 runs between them, the Captain making 95 and his brother 80. They both gave a delightful exhibition of batting, and it was due to their fine efforts that the Foresters ran up such a respectable total as 227. With the exception of Colonel Dyson the Garrison failed utterly before the bowling of Captains Lancaster, Alleyne, and Wilson. They were obliged to follow on, and although Major Higginbotham got going, the rest of the side did not do much, and the match was over soon after lunch. It was a great disappointment that there was not more play on Thursday, as the afternoon turned out a glorious one for cricket—a somewhat rare thing for this summer. To-day (Monday) the Garrison are playing against Mr. C. S. Wilson's Suffolk XI. on the Abbey Field.

LONG LEG.

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE v. IPSWICH AND EAST SUFFOLK 2nd XI.

This match was played at Framlingham on July 10th. Runners continued for 12 hours, and the ground was consequently very sodden. A start was made at 2.30 on a wicket which played surprisingly well. The home eleven won the toss, and elected to bat. A total of 104 for seven wickets was put together, Russell scoring 104. He played splendid cricket, and gave no chance. Naturally 4's were difficult to obtain, and only five were registered in his score. Ipswich replied with 89 for seven wickets, the match thus ending in a draw very much in favour of the hosts. Good play all round for Ipswich, and was unfortunate to be run out when well set.

FRAMLINGHAM COLLEGE.

Table with 2 columns: Player Name, Score. Includes P. L. Lincoln, b Peck (8), W. O. Russell, not out (102), R. H. Rash, b Tungate (2), M. J. Waddell, b Tungate (0), L. C. Swain, b Sharp (18), E. H. Leek, b Tungate (10), J. Joy, b Brown (11), J. Cave, c Godley, b Brown (2), W. D. Wheeler, not out (8), Extras (3).

G. E. Henderson and W. Ram did not bat.

*Innings declared.

Table with 2 columns: Player Name, Score. Includes IPSWICH AND EAST SUFFOLK 2nd XI. H. C. Goldsmith, b Russell (0), H. M. Thurgar, c Cave, b Rash (15), E. Godley, run out (32), E. R. Tungate, b Rash (3), F. L. Titchmarsh, c Rash, b Russell (7), T. G. Sharp, c Russell, b Rash (5), H. J. Brown, b Rash (1), H. C. B. Peck, not out (2), G. Frazer, not out (1), Extras (13).

O. D. Phillips and E. S. D. Bury did not bat.

CHELMSFORD v. FOREST GATE.

Played at Chelmsford on Saturday. Owing to the weather a start was not made until about 2.30, but after half an hour's play the game was again delayed for an hour or so. Forest Gate, who batted first, made 86 for eight (declared). Gascoigne took three wickets for 21, and Letch two for 17. Scores:—

Table with 2 columns: Player Name, Score. Includes FOREST GATE. A. Beecroft, b Robinson (1), D. C. Kaye, c Russell, b Gascoigne (1), F. Scates, hit wkt, b Gascoigne (3), G. Hosking, c Turrall, b Letch (22), H. S. Pizey, b Ballard (9), H. C. Pizey, b Letch (11), B. J. Field, not out (16), F. Scates, c W. Turrall, b Gascoigne (13), E. Arundel, b P. Turrall (4), F. H. Scates, not out (0), Extras (6).

CHELMSFORD.

Table with 2 columns: Player Name, Score. Includes H. D. Sheldrake, not out (19), W. G. Turrall, b Kaye (7), P. Turrall, c Field, b T. Scates (4), W. Hilliard, b Pizey (34), G. Symons, not out (2), Extra (1).

S. J. Ballard, H. W. Robinson, Gascoigne, W. Letch, Russell, and E. E. Pitts did not bat.

BURY SCHOOL v. OLD BURIANS.

Played at School ground on Saturday. Owing to heavy rain a start was not made until 3.15, when the School had first knock. They compiled 88 runs, 54 of which were contributed by Mr. R. T. England (the Head Master) by good play. During this innings, J. Cobbold took four wickets for 32 runs, and G. Lacy Scott two for 16. For the old Burians, J. Cobbold batted well for 19. The position of affairs did not look very promising at certain stages of the innings, but H. V. Callis and J. Dowse improved matters considerably during the last partnership. However, just before time the School won, Mr. Liddle causing V. C. Callis's dismissal by smart wicket-keeping. W. C. Callis had played well for 37. The School won by one run only. H. T. Robins captured six wickets for 27 runs, and W. T. Williams two for 15. Score:—

Table with 2 columns: Player Name, Score. Includes BURY SCHOOL. R. T. Robins, b Lacy Scott (7), G. H. Murdoch, run out (16), R. C. G. Plattman, b Cobbold (16), G. H. Wood, c Wise, b Taylor (1), B. W. Cockhill, lbw, b Taylor (4), Mr. E. T. England, c Cobbold, b Scott (34), Mr. G. E. Liddle, lbw, b Cobbold (2), L. E. Kinder, c Scott, b Cobbold (2), F. G. Ronch, b Cobbold (0), R. England, b Taylor (1), W. T. Williams, not out (4), Extra (1).

Table with 2 columns: Player Name, Score. Includes OLD BURIANS. F. T. Bamford, b Robins (2), G. Lacy Scott, retired (9), Rev. W. K. Kefford, c Mr. England, b Robins (10), F. E. Wise, c Mr. Liddle, b Mr. England (4), S. Taylor, b Robins (0), J. Cobbold, b Robins (0), H. V. Callis, st Mr. Liddle, b Mr. England (37), S. Stephens, b Robins (0), T. O. Taylor, at Mr. Liddle, b Williams (2), M. C. Callis, lbw, b Williams (1), J. Dowse, not out (1), Extras (2).

COLCHESTER CONSERVATIVE CLUB v. ESSEX AND SUFFOLK FIRE OFFICE.

Played on the Recreation ground on Thursday. Scores:— Conservative Club: T. R. Richards c Bonny b Durden 4, H. Cooper Green b Durden 0, H. J. Bird c Bonny b Brettingham 16, A. E. Purkiss b Brettingham 17, H. Wright b Durden 8, E. W. Stebbing c Durden b Brettingham 30, E. G. Bach c Frost b Durden 0, H. C. Martin not out 34, C. E. Byford not out 0, extras 7, total 111. R. M. Turner and G. McGrath did not bat. Essex and Suffolk Fire Office: Cant b Bird 0, Ansell b Bird 0, M. H. F. Brettingham b Wright 10, Thompson c Wright b Bird 5, Frost lbw b Wright 1, P. P. Wardall b Wright 0, Walling lbw b Wright 1, T. Bonny b Wright 0, Durden not out 0, E. Gray b Wright 2, Heap c Wright b Bach 0, extras 16, total 40.

District Asylum (Molton) v. Leiston.—Leiston 75, District Asylum 74.

Evo Juniors v. Diss Athletics.—Played at Evo, on the Town ground, on Saturday. Scores:—Diss Athletics: Crick c D. Onyon b Wicks 2, c Hayward 5; Edwards c Smith b Wicks 2, c Hayward 5; Peritt b Wicks 0, c D. Onyon b Mortimer 3; Boulton c Beales b Smith 9, c Smith b Mortimer 0; Moore b Wicks 1, c Green b Mortimer 0; Rees c and b Smith 1, c Smith b Mortimer 1; Nobbs b Wicks 3, c Percy b Mortimer 0; Price c Beales b Mortimer 6; Mortimer 6; Pearson not out 3, b Mortimer 0; Cash c Smith b Mortimer 2, b Smith 0; extras 5 and 2, totals 32 and 56. Evo Juniors: Smith c Boulton b Edwards 3, c Edwards b Crick 7; Wicks b Crick 9, b Edwards 0; Beales b Wicks 1, c Mortimer 0; Green at Edwards b Edwards 1, c Cattermole b Crick 1; Mortimer b Crick 0, not out 4; Onyon b Crick 0, b Edwards 0; Hayward b Edwards 7, c Cook b Edwards 0; Todd b Crick 0, not out 4; Taylor b Edwards 0, did not bat; Harvey c and b Edwards 2, did not bat; Percy not out 1, did not bat; extra 1, totals 28 and 22. For Evo Juniors T. Mortimer took seven wickets for 8 runs.

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP

SUFFOLK v. CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

This match was commenced at Felixstowe on Friday. Suffolk's team was hardly more than a moderate Ipswich and East Suffolk side, quite the worst that has done duty for the last three years, and it was pleasing to hear that for the remaining five games the county will be more worthily represented. Cambridgeshire, who had a strong team out, lost the toss, and Coulson and B. W. Pigg bowled to Quafe and Hollings. The first-named secured eight Hertfordshire wickets for 13 runs a fortnight back, and it was thought that the softish Felixstowe wicket would just suit him. The batsmen made some nice leg shots off Pigg, a fairly fast bowler. Quafe getting him in that direction twice for 3's. He, however, missed a straight one when 10, the first wicket falling at 15. Hollings made one or two fluky strokes, which nearly got him caught. W. Catchpole opened his account with a snick to the leg boundary, and then Collier dropped a sitter in the slips which ought to have given Hollings his coup when seven. The let off was of no account, for Hollings was bowled without addition. O. L. Alexander was missed at the wickets off Coulson before he had scored. The last-named sent down six successive maidens before Alexander drove him for a couple; the stroke was repeated next ball, and Collier made a fine left-handed attempt to catch him. The batsmen were together when the half-century was recorded, and both drew applause for some outs to the boundary. Alexander was particularly severe on Coulson, who had 12 knocked off him in the four overs succeeding the maidens referred to. At 60, A. E. Bellars relieved Pigg, whose father missed a hot'un at point off the first ball, which gave Alexander another life. The same batsman was next dropped by Thomas, Coulson again being the sufferer. At 74, W. Fromant bowled instead of the pro., and with his second ball got Catchpole nicely caught at square leg. The wicket had put on a useful 51. With H. L. Wilson in, Alexander credited himself with eleven in three balls from Bellars. This punishment brought B. W. Pigg on again, and Alexander sent up the 100 by cutting him to the boundary. In the same over a similar stroke enabled him to reach his 50. Wilson, who had been slow in starting, ran 4 for a pretty leg shot off Fromant. He gave a possible chance to Tebbutt at over at 114, but the fielder quite misjudged the ball, which fell harmlessly over his head. At lunch time the total was 119 for three.

B. W. Pigg and Coulson were the bowlers after lunch, and Wilson managed to seven in the former's first over, following this up with a couple of successive boundary drives off Coulson. Pigg bowled him next over for a well-hit 33. V. J. Lewis broke his duck with a fluky one through the slips for three, and Alexander drove the fast bowler for four, all runs Lewis sent on drove Coulson for similar number only so lose the company of Alexander at 149. The latter's innings was of rather a streaky nature, his best hits being hard square strokes; included in his shots were six 4's. With Penfold in, Lewis sent up 150 with a grand pull to leg off Pigg. He followed this up with two more 4's in the same direction. Pigg was proving pretty expensive, for Penfold twice landed him past the flag, and the result was that T. S. Thomas went on with his slow leg breaks. His first over yielded six. The batsmen were scoring at a good average, nearly all their strokes being well placed. One mis-hit into the slips by Lewis fell out of harm's way. A beautiful drive by the Ipswichian sent up 200, which had taken two hours to compile. Bellars relieved Coulson at 200, and Fromant tried again at the other end. The latter had the satisfaction of getting Lewis held at square leg, Ling bringing off a nice one-handed catch. The outgoing bat had played exceedingly well, the great majority of his runs coming from shots to the on. Like Alexander, he hit six 4's. The wicket had put on 72 runs, the most productive partnership so far. Penfold ought to have been out without addition, as he offered a simple catch to C. Pigg at point, but which was dropped in an unaccountable manner. V. F. Gaby, who had come in, shaped confidently, and the score was mounting up in an unexpected but gratifying fashion. Gaby was bowled just as 250 was signalled. The Bury professional reached 50, his first for the county—his usefulness in the past has mainly been in getting opponents out. A. B. Barnes was yoked without scoring, the score board showing 262-3. O. Penfold's splendid innings of 63 came to an end at 274; it was marred by only one chance, and he hit ten 4's, three 3's, and three 2's. Trudgett was whipper in, and immediately gave an unexpected chance to Watts at the wickets. At 283 the innings concluded, Henley being thrown out by Tebbutt.

Nearly two hours remained for Cambridgeshire to bat before the drawing of stumps. T. S. Thomas and Coulson faced the deliveries of Penfold and Trudgett. Penfold's first over was a maiden; Trudgett had three knocked off his, and then Penfold bowled Thomas. Without addition Penfold claimed O. W. Boccock as victim. A slight improvement was manifested with F. E. Collier partnering Coulson, but the total was only 28 when the latter was bowled by Penfold who had taken all three wickets for the cost of but six runs. A. S. Ling came in, and both he and Collier batted with some freedom, but both left at 54; Collier tipped one into the wicket-keeper's hands, from whence it bounced to Penfold, and the latter clean bowled Ling. Penfold at last caught H. C. Tebbutt two later. B. W. Pigg opened with a couple of skiers, and went for the bowling with a vengeance; when 12 he ought to have presented Trudgett with a wicket, but Wilson dropped a lofty one. Wilson made up for the mistake by smartly catching Tebbutt off the same bowler, making six out for 76. Watts only stayed in long enough to just break his duck, the seventh wicket falling at 80. W. Fromant failed to stop the collapse, taking his leave at 83, whilst four later A. E. Bellars was easily caught. This brought father and son together. The son had had some luck, and profited by it. By some hard hitting he sent up the hundred, but at 107 the elder Pigg was caught at the wickets. There was no time for Cambridge to go in again, and play will be resumed to-day at eleven o'clock.

Score!- SUFFOLK. Quafe, b B. W. Pigg... 10 Hollings, b Coulson... 8 W. Catchpole, c Coulson, b Fromant... 27 C. L. Alexander, b Coulson... 58 H. L. Wilson, b B. W. Pigg... 33 V. J. Lewis, c Ling, b Fromant... 43 Penfold, b Fromant... 63 V. O. F. Gaby, b Fromant... 15 A. B. Barnes, b Bennars... 0 H. C. Henley, run out... 8 Trudgett, not out... 2 Extras... 8

283 CAMBRIDGESHIRE. T. S. Thomas, b Penfold... 3 Coulson, b Penfold... 11 O. W. Boccock, b Penfold... 0 F. E. Collier, c Penfold, b Trudgett... 23 A. S. Ling, b Penfold... 14 H. C. Tebbutt, c Wilson, b Trudgett... 8 B. W. Pigg, not out... 54 W. Watts, c Alexander, b Trudgett... 1 W. Fromant, c Gaby, b Penfold... 2 A. E. Bellars, c Alexander, b Trudgett... 0 C. Pigg, c Hollings, b Penfold... 5 Extras... 6

107 SUFFOLK. O. M. R. W. Coulson... 24 11 63 2 B. W. Pigg... 20 2 83 2 A. E. Bellars... 16 3 87 1 W. Fromant... 15.1 2 45 4 T. S. Thomas... 4 17 - Penfold... 19.3 7 42 6 Trudgett... 19 5 69 4

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP

SUFFOLK v. CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

NO PLAY THROUGH RAIN. A good deal of rain fell during the night at Felixstowe, and, though it held fine during the first part of the morning, heavy clouds gathered just before the time fixed for resuming this match, which appeared a certainty for Suffolk and it rained hard till lunch time. Prospects of the weather were a little brighter then, but the ground was so saturated that there was little likelihood of play till four o'clock, owing to the absence of a drying wind. Suffolk gain three points upon the first innings. The match was left drawn.

Score!- SUFFOLK. Quafe, b B. W. Pigg... 10 Hollings, b Coulson... 8 W. Catchpole, c Coulson, b Fromant... 27 C. L. Alexander, b Coulson... 58 H. L. Wilson, b B. W. Pigg... 33 V. J. Lewis, c Ling, b Fromant... 43 Penfold, b Fromant... 63 V. O. F. Gaby, b Fromant... 15 A. B. Barnes, b Bennars... 0 H. C. Henley, run out... 8 Trudgett, not out... 2 Extras... 8

283 CAMBRIDGESHIRE. T. S. Thomas, b Penfold... 11 Coulson, b Penfold... 11 O. W. Boccock, b Penfold... 0 F. E. Collier, c Penfold, b Trudgett... 23 A. S. Ling, b Penfold... 14 H. C. Tebbutt, c Wilson, b Trudgett... 8 B. W. Pigg, not out... 34 W. Watts, c Alexander, b Trudgett... 1 W. Fromant, c Gaby, b Penfold... 2 A. E. Bellars, c Alexander, b Trudgett... 0 C. Pigg, c Hollings, b Penfold... 5 Extras... 6

107 SUFFOLK. O. M. R. W. Coulson... 24 11 63 2 B. W. Pigg... 20 2 83 2 A. E. Bellars... 16 3 87 1 W. Fromant... 15.1 2 45 4 T. S. Thomas... 4 17 - Penfold... 19.3 7 42 6 Trudgett... 19 5 69 4

No 30

PLAYED AT Felixstowe

Colin Mumford

MATCH NO. 1909/29
ON 1909 JULY 9, 10

SUFFOLK		FIRST INNINGS				
		RUNS	MINs	BALLS	6s	4s
1	W. Quaye	b Pegg B	10			
2	F. Hollings	b Coulson	8			
3	W. Catchpole	c Coulson b Fromant	27			
4	CL Alexander	b Coulson	58			6
5	H.L. Wilson	b Pegg B	33			
6	VJ Lewis	c Ling b Fromant	43			6
7	W. Penfold	b Fromant	63			10
8	VF Gaby	b Fromant	13			
9	AB Barnes	b Bellars	0			
10	HC Henley	run out	8			
11	F. Tredgett	not out	2			
EXTRAS		b lb w nb	18			
TOTAL			283			

CAMBRIDGESHIRE		FIRST INNINGS				
		RUNS	MINs	BALLS	6s	4s
1	T.S. Thomas	b Penfold	3			
2	H. Coulson	b Penfold	11			
3	D.W. Bocoock	b Penfold	0			
4	F.E. Collier	c Penfold b Tredgett	23			
5	A.S. Ling	b Penfold	14			
6	H.C. Tebbutt	c Wilson b Tredgett	8			
7	B.W. Pegg	not out	34			
8	G. Watts	c Alexander b Tredgett	1			
9	W. Fromant	c Gaby b Penfold	2			
10	A.E. Bellars	c Alexander b Tredgett	0			
11	C Pegg	c Hollings b Penfold	5			
EXTRAS		b lb w nb	6			
TOTAL			107			

CAMBRIDGESHIRE		O	M	R	W	w	nb	ORD	O	M	R	W	w	nb
1	Coulson	24	11	63	2									
2	Pegg B	20	2	83	2									
3	Bellars	16	3	57	1									
4	Fromant	15.1	2	45	4									
5	Thomas	4	0	17	0									
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
EXTRAS / RO		-	-	18	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL		79.1		283	10									

SUFFOLK		SECOND INNINGS				
		RUNS	MINs	BALLS	6s	4s
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
EXTRAS		b lb w nb				
TOTAL						

SUFFOLK		O	M	R	W	w	nb	ORD	O	M	R	W	w	nb
1	Penfold	19.3	7	42	6									
2	Tredgett	19	5	59	4									
3														
4														
5														
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
EXTRAS / RO		-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL		38.3		107	10									

SCORERS
CLOSE 1ST DAY Suffolk 283
bambs 107
No play 2nd day

DEBUTANTS

WKT	Suffolk		bambs	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
1	15			
2				
3			28	
4			54	
5			54	
6			76	
7			80	
8	262		83	
9	274		87	
10	283		107	

COMPLETED BY C.R. MUNFORD
DATE 2000 AUGUST

NOTES

SOURCES Durham's Ledger E.A.D.T. Full account K.S.C.T.

MATCH NUMBER 30 : SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE - MINOR COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP

At (), Felixstowe on Friday 9, Saturday 10 July 1909

Result: Match Drawn - Suffolk won on 1st innings by 176 runs Points: Suffolk 3 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: x x x x

Debutants: Suffolk none; Cambs none

First day score: Suffolk 283; Cambridgeshire 107

An match - no play on second day.

Suffolk		
Quaife, W	b B Pigg	10
H Hollings	b Coulson	8
W Catchpole	c Coulson b Fromant	27
C L Alexander	b Coulson	58
H L Wilson	b B Pigg	33
V J Lewis	c Ling b Fromant	43
Penfold, W	b Fromant	63
V F Gaby	b Fromant	13
A B Barnes	b Bellars	0
H C Henley	not out	8
Trudgett, F	not out	2
Extras	b, lb, nb	18
Total		283

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	15	24	74	135	149	221	251?	262	274	283
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Coulson	24	11	63	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
B Pigg	20	2	83	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellars	16	3	57	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fromant	15.1	2	45	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thomas	4	0	17	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extras	-	-	18	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	79.1	18	283	10	()	()				

Cambridgeshire		
T S Thomas	b Penfold	3
Coulson, H	b Penfold	11
O W Boccock	b Penfold	0
F E Collier	c Penfold b Trudgett	23
A S Ling	b Penfold	14
H C Tebbutt	c Wilson b Trudgett	8
B W Pigg	not out	34
Watts	c Alexander b Trudgett	1
W Fromant	c Gaby b Penfold	2
A E Bellars	c Alexander b Trudgett	0
C Pigg	c Hollings b Penfold	5
Extras	b, lb	6
Total		107

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	3	3	28	54	54	76	80	83	87	107
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Penfold	19.3	7	42	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trudgett	19	5	59	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extras	-	-	6	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	38.3	12	107	10	()	()				

Notes: Sources: Durham Ledger, E.A.D.T. full account, K.S.C.T. Completed by C R Munford August 2000.

No play on 2nd day. Alexander hit 6 x 4s, Lewis hit 6 x 4s, Penfold hit 10 x 4s.

Missing: Ground details; Toss; Umpires; Debutants; Who were the captains and wicket-keepers? Breakdown of Extras; Who bowled wides and no-balls (if any)? Fall of wickets.

May need checking: Points; Professionals

Contrib 29 July for 294 (DN)

CDN 10 July (DN) CC 16 July (DN) EAD 10, 12 July (DN) TS 10, 12 July (DN)

Match

MATCH NUMBER 30 : SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE

At The Town Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Friday 9, Saturday 10 [no play] July 1909

Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: Not known

Debutants: Suffolk none; Cambridgeshire none

First day score: As match - rain prevented play on second day

Suffolk

Quaife, W	b B W Pigg	10
Hollings, F	b Coulson	8
W Catchpole	c Coulson b Fromant	27
C L Alexander	b Coulson	58
* H L Wilson	b B W Pigg	33
V J Lewis	c Ling b Fromant	43
Penfold, W	b Fromant	63
V F Gaby	b Fromant	13
+ A B Barnes	b Bellars	0
H C Henley	run out	8
Trudgett, F	not out	2
Extras	?	18
Total		283

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	15	23?	74	135	149	221	251?	262	274	283
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Coulson	24	11	63	2	-	-
B W Pigg	20	2	83	2	-	-
Bellars	16	3	57	1	-	-
Fromant	15.1	2	45	4	-	-
Thomas	4	0	17	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	18	1	-	-
Total	79.1	18	283	10	-	-

Cambridgeshire

T S W Thomas	b Penfold	3
Coulson, H	b Penfold	11
O W Boccock	b Penfold	0
F E Collier	c Penfold b Trudgett	23
A S Ling	b Penfold	14
H C Tebbutt	c Wilson b Trudgett	8
B W Pigg	not out	34
+ Watts, G H	c Alexander b Trudgett	1
W Fromant	c Gaby b Penfold	2
A E Bellars	c Alexander b Trudgett	0
* C Pigg	c Hollings b Penfold	5
Extras	?	6
Total		107

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	3	3	28	54	54	76	80	83	87	107
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Penfold	19.3	7	42	6	-	-
Trudgett	19	5	59	4	-	-
Extras	-	-	6	0	-	-
Total	38.3	12	107	10	-	-

Notes: Match drawn with no play possible on the second day due to rain. Cambridgeshire were 176 runs behind on first innings. For Suffolk there were 10 fours in Penfold's 63 and 6 fours in Alexander's 58. Penfold also took 6 wickets in the visitors' first innings for 42 runs.
 [Missing: Umpires; Suffolk Captain - possibly Wilson; extras breakdown; in Suffolk inns check fall of 2nd and 7th wickets.]
 Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 10,12 July; D F Durham's Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford); Cambridge Chronicle 16 July, Cambridge Daily News 10 July; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 16 July; The Sportsman 10,12 July; Cricket 29 July, p 294 (Tony Webb).

Status: Draft match score edited by the Clearing House - to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement.

1909 Suffolk v Lancs Folescroft 1909 July 9

30

Suffolk captain H.L. Wilson leads the list in the team announcement
 - E.A.D.T. Therefore he was the captain

H.L. Wilson

Suffolk innings

FOW 2-23

The reports for CWN and CBN are the same.
 Reports state "with score at 23 Hollings struck a ball to
 Collier who dropped it. Hollings had then made 7, but the
 mistake did not prove very expensive, for he had only added
 one to his total when he was clean bowled".

The score therefore could not have been 23 when Hollings was
 out but it could have been 24 i.e. 23 + the single.

However this assumes no runs were scored by the other
 batsmen as ~~but~~ the single would take Hollings to
 the other end. ∴ 2-24 is possible but not certain

Report 2-23 ✓
 Think it unlikely ✓
 to accept 2-24 ✓

7-251 I think this is established because "Barnes
 came in Croyford hit Bellars for 2 4's and a 3 in
 succession, but the new comer was out to the fourth
 ball a yorker. 251 + 11 = 262 i.e. 8-262

7-251 ✓

AM 1/9/06

Agreed *[Signature]* 3/11/06

MATCH NUMBER 30 : SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE

At The Town Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Friday 9, Saturday 10 [no play] July 1909

Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: Not known

Debutants: Suffolk none; Cambridgeshire none

First day score: As match - rain prevented play on second day

Suffolk

Quaife, W	b B W Pigg	10
Hollings, F	b Coulson	8
W H Catchpole	c Coulson b Fromant	27
C L Alexander	b Coulson	58
* H L Wilson	b B W Pigg	33
V J Lewis	c Ling b Fromant	43
Penfold, W	b Fromant	63
V F Gaby	b Fromant	13
+ A B Barnes	b Bellars	0
H C Henley	run out	8
Trudgett, F G	not out	2
Extras		18
Total		283

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	15		74	135	149	221	251	262	274	283
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Coulson	24	11	63	2	-	-
B W Pigg	20	2	83	2	-	-
Bellars	16	3	57	1	-	-
Fromant	15.1	2	45	4	-	-
Thomas	4	0	17	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	18	1	-	-
Total	79.1	18	283	10	-	-

Cambridgeshire

T S W Thomas	b Penfold	3
Coulson, H	b Penfold	11
O W Boccock	b Penfold	0
F E Collier	c Penfold b Trudgett	23
A S Ling	b Penfold	14
H C Tebbutt	c Wilson b Trudgett	8
B W Pigg	not out	34
+ Watts, G H	c Alexander b Trudgett	1
W Fromant	c Gaby b Penfold	2
A E Bellars	c Alexander b Trudgett	0
* C Pigg	c Hollings b Penfold	5
Extras		6
Total		107

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	3	3	28	54	54	76	80	83	87	107
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Penfold	19.3	7	42	6	-	-
Trudgett	19	5	59	4	-	-
Extras	-	-	6	0	-	-
Total	38.3	12	107	10	-	-

Notes: Match drawn with no play possible on the second day due to rain. Cambridgeshire were 176 runs behind on first innings. For Suffolk there were 10 fours in Penfold's 63 and 6 fours in Alexander's 58. Penfold also took 6 wickets in the visitors' first innings for 42 runs.

[Missing: Umpires; in Suffolk innings fall of second wicket.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 10,12 July; Cambridge Chronicle 16 July, Cambridge Daily News 10 July; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 16 July; The Sportsman 10,12 July; Cricket 29 July, p 294.

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project - Latest Revision 21 May 2013 (CM/DFS/AJW).

MATCH NUMBER 30 : SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE

At The Town Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Friday 9, Saturday 10 [no play] July 1909

Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: Not known

Debutants: Suffolk none; Cambridgeshire none

First day score: As match - rain prevented play on second day

Suffolk

Quaife, W	b B W Pigg	10
Hollings, F	b Coulson	8
W Catchpole	c Coulson b Fromant	27
C L Alexander	b Coulson	58
* H L Wilson	b B W Pigg	33
V J Lewis	c Ling b Fromant	43
Penfold, W	b Fromant	63
V F Gaby	b Fromant	13
+ A B Barnes	b Bellars	0
H C Henley	run out	8
Trudgett, F G	not out	2
Extras		18
Total		283

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	15		74	135	149	221	251	262	274	283
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Coulson	24	11	63	2	-	-
B W Pigg	20	2	83	2	-	-
Bellars	16	3	57	1	-	-
Fromant	15.1	2	45	4	-	-
Thomas	4	0	17	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	18	1	-	-
Total	79.1	18	283	10	-	-

Cambridgeshire

T S W Thomas	b Penfold	3
Coulson, H	b Penfold	11
O W Boccock	b Penfold	0
F E Collier	c Penfold b Trudgett	23
A S Ling	b Penfold	14
H C Tebbutt	c Wilson b Trudgett	8
B W Pigg	not out	34
+ Watts, G H	c Alexander b Trudgett	1
H W Fromant	c Gaby b Penfold	2
A E Bellars	c Alexander b Trudgett	0
* C Pigg	c Hollings b Penfold	5
Extras		6
Total		107

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	3	3	28	54	54	76	80	83	87	107
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Penfold	19.3	7	42	6	-	-
Trudgett	19	5	59	4	-	-
Extras	-	-	6	0	-	-
Total	38.3	12	107	10	-	-

Notes: Match drawn with no play possible on the second day due to rain. Cambridgeshire were 176 runs behind on first innings. For Suffolk there were 10 fours in Penfold's 63 and 6 fours in Alexander's 58. Penfold also took 6 wickets in the visitors' first innings for 42 runs.

[Missing: Umpires; in Suffolk innings fall of second wicket.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 10,12 July; Cambridge Chronicle 16 July, Cambridge Daily News 10 July; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 16 July; The Sportsman 10,12 July; Cricket 29 July, p 294.

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project - Latest Revision 15 June 2014 (CM/DFS/AJW).