

## Lawton Smith

---

**From:** Dqharvey@aol.com  
**Sent:** 17 February 2015 16:19  
**To:** lawtonsmith@supanet.com  
**Subject:** 1909

Dear Julian,

I hope you received my final list sent last Friday. If not, please let me know and I will send it again.  
You will not be interested in the figures below but I am sending them to you in any case;

Reverend	15
Captain	9
Doctor	4
Honourable	4
Lieutenant	3
Major	2
Sir	1
Staff Surgeon	1.

Those are the totals of players with titles!!

D.

## Lawton Smith

---

**From:** Dqharvey@aol.com  
**Sent:** 13 February 2015 19:03  
**To:** lawtonsmith@supanet.com  
**Subject:** 1909

Good evening, Julian.

Here is the last batch. I split the job into 5 parts as I have had a rather busy week with household duties etc., on my return from the beautiful island and devoted afternoons to the book. If you think I have been too fussy, please tell me. I will not be offended. I hope that I have not missed too much through doing it comparatively quickly.

Page 165 line 2 Bedfordshire lost 2, not 3, on first innings.

Page 166 line 2 Berkshire lost one on first innings, not none.

Page 170 In the heading to the Cambridgeshire averages the year should read 1909, not 1908.

Page 174 Cornwall. In the list of professionals, Vibart, not Vibert

Notes line 3 Hawken, not Hawkin (at least I think that is what my note says but I cannot read my own lousy writing)

Page 176 Dorset in the "final season" list at line 15 Barnes, not Banres, and Bosworth-Smith, not Bosworth-Smioth

Page 177 Notes line 3 Would not at 28.80 be preferable to and 28.80(Durham)

Page 179 Glamorgan. In the notes we seem to deal in Christian names where they are available. According to Andrew Hignell's history, Maxwell was James and Sweet-Escott was Edward.

Page 180 Notes line 3 "in 1908 whe he scored 656 to 50.46" should read "in 1908 when he scored 656 at 50.46".

Page 183 In respect of Match 94 I said that Geen took over as w/k. From what is shown on page 183 it looks as if the player's name was Green not Geen. An amendment to the Monmouthshire batting card in Match 94 is, therefore, needed as are two amendments to the Cornwall card for catches by Green. In the notes above the averages, for the sake of consistency, I think we should say that 1909 was "the last or only season that these 5....."

Green has become Geen in the averages. I have no way of checking this chap's name as he is not mentioned in 1910 Wisden.

Page 186 Again for consistency I think we should say 3 players who made their (not his) debut in the heading to the averages.

Page 187 In the notes above the averages insert "XI" after 2nd in line 2.

Page 188 Notes line 2 Edmund' not Edmind.

Page 191 Surrey secretary was Findlay not Findley.

Page 192 In line 1 of the notes "defeating Glamorgan"

Page 194 In the 7th wicket partnership for Bedfordshire in "milestones" we T A Brown. I have a feeling that Brown was a pro - he certainly was with Northamptonshire - and, if so, his initials need moving. The same applies to George Pollitt for the 8th and 9th partnerships. Again, in the bowling, Brown's initials need moving in 3 places. In the number of appearances below both Brown and Pollitt need adjustment.

Page 195 Cambridgeshire bowling - I think D.M. Hayward was a pro(3 places).

Page 196 Norfolk batting - Holland F.C. 5th wicket Relf A.E., 5th wicket (against) Hayward 6th Holland and 10th Davis were all pros.

Norfolk bowling Shore C.(4 places) and Tomlin E.

Page 197 These are all pros.

batting Ducat, Thompson & Baker  
partnerships Hayes, Ducat, Holland, Davis (twice), Rothery (twice), Hutchinson (not sure),  
Thompson, Makepeace and Ellis.  
bowling Thompson (2), Smith (2), Nice  
appearances Baker.

I appreciate that all these minor things will cause a lot of work and leave you to decide what to do about them. All my previous MC books are in the loft so I have not checked who was a pro and who was not. I cannot remember if Albert Relf began as an amateur in MC.

It is a big job typesetting all these figures and I certainly don't envy Peter.

If you have any queries on what I have done, don't hesitate to get in touch and' if it is urgent, you can get me on 0115 9273937.

Good luck.

David.

## Lawton Smith

---

**From:** Dqharvey@aol.com  
**Sent:** 12 February 2015 16:44  
**To:** lawtonsmith@supanet.com  
**Subject:** 1909

Page 125 Match 94 If the Tresawana brothers added 108 for the second wicket, and no doubt they did, the batting order, which shows the Dr as No.4 is wrong. Geen, not Geeson, took over as w/k when Diver bowled. (in Match 97 Arthur Carr, aged just over 16, made a century though not on debut)

Page 126 Match 99 line 3 insert "Dorset" after Debutants.

Page 146 Most catches in a match - 4 and over (15) - only 14 listed  
Most catches in a season - 10 and over (13) - only 11 listed

Page 149 Most extras in a match - 35 and over (19) - only 13 listed

Page 150 line 3 delete "Match  
David.

## Lawton Smith

---

**From:** Dqharvey@aol.com  
**Sent:** 11 February 2015 16:57  
**To:** lawtonsmith@supanet.com  
**Subject:** 1909

Nothing much to report to-day, Julian.

Page 88 Match 57 Given that the Bedfordshire not out batsmen had scored 16 and 10 and that the total was then 216-8, the 8th wicket would not have fallen at 216 as shown in the f o w

Page 92 Match 61 Donald Knight made his debut aged 15 years 2 months 18 days, scored 53 and made 2 catches. Is his youth worth a mention in the notes? Up to you.

Page 101 Match 70 Notes Hooman (not Harrison) and Orchard added 132.

Page 104 Match 73 Felixstowe needs an "s"

Page 119 Match 88 This one just made me smile (nothing wrong). There were 3 parsons, all opening bats. What a pity there was not a fourth. I wonder if Brooke bowled to the wet end.

David.

## Lawton Smith

---

**From:** Dqharvey@aol.com  
**Sent:** 10 February 2015 18:43  
**To:** lawtonsmith@supanet.com  
**Subject:** Re: 1909 Minor Counties Proof Copy

Dear Julian,

Here are a few more.

Page 42 Match 11. Iremonger's name is missing from its place in the bowling analyses, but is shown opposite Clifton's figures and needs to be deleted there.

Page 43 Match 12 There is something amiss with the f o w in Northumberland's second innings. The last man scored 2 not out but the f o w for wickets 9 and 10 are both shown as 157. Even if the batting order is wrong this cannot be right because no batsman was dismissed for 0.

Page 53 Match 22 The Bedfordshire batting needs tidying between King and Wharmby and, in line 4 of the notes, Cobbold is missing an "o".

Page 57 Match 26 There is a big gap between Nos. 10 and 11 in each Cheshire innings. In the gap, the figures 98 and 0 need deleting.

Page 66 Match 35 There is some mistake in connection with White's hat trick. Pilch went in at 9 and was bowled by Coleman, not White, according to the card. In any case, he made 2 runs so was evidently not part of the hat trick. I suspect Page, not Pilch, may have been involved but that would throw doubt on the batting order shown. The notes need amendment.

Page 70 Match 39 In the notes, line 4, 9 for 38, not 9 for 39.

Page 73 Match 42 Is it possible to close the gap between Armstrong and Barnes without much trouble to make it look a bit neater? I have no idea.

Page 74 Match 43 The "g" is missing from Buckinghamshire.

Page 80 Match 49 Notes line 4 delete the first "in". If no bowling analyses were found in any of the sources listed, where did the figures shown come from?

Hope you can follow all this.

David.

## Lawton Smith

---

**From:** Dqharvey@aol.com  
**Sent:** 09 February 2015 18:40  
**To:** lawtonsmith@supanet.com  
**Subject:** Re: 1909 Minor Counties Proof Copy

Dear Julian,

Thank you for sending 1909. I arrived home from Sri Lanka yesterday at midday and will do my best to get through it this week.

I will send any suggestions in batches if that is what you want, but am quite prepared to keep everything to the end if you wish.

Here goes:

Page 8 I think the question mark after Page 4 can be deleted (There is also a question mark on page 7 which requires the insertion of a page number).

Page 9 Second para line 4, delete "the"

Page 10 line 4 typo - separate above and mentioned

Page 11 According to the heading, two bowlers reached 700 wickets but only one is listed. The missing man appears to be H.E.White

Page 12 line 6. "Staffordshire", not "Staffrodshire"

line 9 "Yorkshire" not "Yorskhire"

under W.Brown line 8 his for is and in line 12 He not e

Page 14 Rev R.H. Moss. Both references to 1897 in line 13 should be to 1887 and in line 26 should read 1891 to 1896

Page 15 H.E.White line 25 "had" for the second "has"

Page 20 Match 82 was won on the first innings by Carmarthenshire, not Cornwall.

Page 34 Match 3 notes. I may be tying myself if knots over this but I think the fall of rain between innings would have prevented a start, rather than a re-start, of the Northumberland innings

I refuse to comment on the use of 12 p.m.(which in my view does not exist) rather than 12 noon!!!!

Just as an aside, I bet Robert Brooke will jump up and down when he reads the implication that Warwickshire were a bit lucky to win the first class championship in 1911, though, as a Kent supporter, it has rankled with me for many years.

David.